

**ON THE SUBADDITIVITY OF OPERATOR MONOTONE
FUNCTIONS FOR POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT
SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Assume that f is an operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ and $A, B > 0$. In this paper we show among other that, if $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then

$$\begin{aligned} & k [f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)] (A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0), \end{aligned}$$

which generalizes and improves a recent result of Moslehian and Najafi. In particular we obtain that

$$k(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2} \leq A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r$$

for $r \in (0, 1]$.

If if $A+B \leq K$, then the reverse inequality also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0) \\ & \leq K^2 [f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)] (A+B)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the power inequality

$$A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r \leq K^2(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2}.$$

Some integral inequalities are also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. A real valued continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [1, p. 145]

$$(1.1) \quad t^r = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} t \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{u+t}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Operator monotone functions, Operator inequalities, Löwner-Heinz inequality. Logarithmic operator inequalities, Integral inequalities.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(1.2) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [7], see for instance [1, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.3) \quad f(t) = f(0) + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

The interested reader may find several examples of monotone operator functions in [2], [3], [4], [9] and [10].

Assume that $A, B \geq 0$. In the recent paper [8], Moslehian and Najafi showed that $AB + BA$ is positive if and only if the following *operator subadditivity property* holds

$$(1.5) \quad f(A+B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$$

for all nonnegative operator monotone functions f on $[0, \infty)$. For some interesting consequences of this result see [8].

In this paper we show among other that, if $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then for any operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & k[f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)](A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0), \end{aligned}$$

which generalizes and improves the result of Moslehian and Najafi (1.5). In particular we obtain that

$$k(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2} \leq A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r$$

for $r \in (0, 1]$.

If $A+B \leq K$, then the reverse inequality also holds

$$\begin{aligned} & f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0) \\ & \leq K^2[f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)](A+B)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the power inequality

$$A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r \leq K^2(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2}.$$

Some integral inequalities are also provided.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start with the following lemma of interest in itself:

Lemma 1. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.3). Then for all $A, B > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad & f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} \left[A(B+\lambda)^{-1}BA + B(A+\lambda)^{-1}AB \right] \\
&\quad \times (A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \lambda(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} (BA+AB)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $A, B > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, define

$$(2.2) \quad K_\lambda := (A+\lambda)^{-1} + (B+\lambda)^{-1} - (A+B+\lambda)^{-1}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad & f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0) \\
&= f(0) + bA + \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad + f(0) + bA + \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - f(0) + b(A+B) - \int_0^\infty \lambda(A+B)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) - f(0) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \lambda(A+B)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

For $T > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \lambda T(T+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(T+\lambda-\lambda)(T+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda(1-\lambda(T+\lambda)^{-1}) d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad & \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty \lambda A(A+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \lambda(A+B)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda(1-\lambda(A+\lambda)^{-1}) d\mu(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty \lambda(1-\lambda(B+\lambda)^{-1}) d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty \lambda(1-\lambda(A+B+\lambda)^{-1}) d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda(1-\lambda K_\lambda) d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

For $A, B > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, define

$$W_\lambda := 1 - \lambda K_\lambda,$$

where K_λ is given in (2.2).

We have successively

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + B + \lambda) W_\lambda (A + B + \lambda) \\ &= (A + B + \lambda) (1 - \lambda K_\lambda) (A + B + \lambda) \\ &= (A + B + \lambda)^2 - \lambda (A + B + \lambda) K_\lambda (A + B + \lambda) \\ &= (A + B + \lambda) (A + B + \lambda) \\ &\quad - \lambda \left[B (A + \lambda)^{-1} B + A (B + \lambda)^{-1} A + 2(A + B) + \lambda \right] \\ &= A^2 + BA + \lambda A + AB + B^2 + \lambda B + \lambda A + \lambda B + \lambda^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda B (A + \lambda)^{-1} B - \lambda A (B + \lambda)^{-1} A - 2\lambda (A + B) - \lambda^2 \\ &= A^2 + B^2 + BA + AB - \lambda B (A + \lambda)^{-1} B - \lambda A (B + \lambda)^{-1} A \\ &= A (B + \lambda)^{-1} (B + \lambda) A - \lambda A (B + \lambda)^{-1} A \\ &\quad + B (A + \lambda)^{-1} (A + \lambda) B - \lambda B (A + \lambda)^{-1} B + BA + AB \\ &= A (B + \lambda)^{-1} BA + B (A + \lambda)^{-1} AB + BA + AB, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(2.5) \quad W_\lambda = (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \left[A (B + \lambda)^{-1} BA + B (A + \lambda)^{-1} AB + BA + AB \right] \\ \times (A + B + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

By (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(A) + f(B) - f(A + B) - f(0) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda W_\lambda d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \left[A (B + \lambda)^{-1} BA + B (A + \lambda)^{-1} AB \right] \\ &\quad \times (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.1) is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.3). Then for all $A, B > 0$ we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A + B) - f(0) \\ \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (A + B)^2 (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda).$$

Proof. Since $A, B > 0$, hence

$$0 < (B + \lambda)^{-1} B \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 < (A + \lambda)^{-1} A \leq 1$$

and by multiplying both sides with A and B respectively, we get

$$0 < A(B + \lambda)^{-1} BA \leq A^2 \text{ and } 0 < B(A + \lambda)^{-1} AB \leq B^2$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we multiply both sides by $(A + B + \lambda)^{-1}$ with $\lambda \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} A(B + \lambda)^{-1} BA(A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\leq (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} A^2 (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} B(A + \lambda)^{-1} AB(A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\leq (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} B^2 (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we add these inequality, multiply by $\lambda \geq 0$ and integrate, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \left[A(B + \lambda)^{-1} BA + B(A + \lambda)^{-1} AB \right] \\ &\quad \times (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (A^2 + B^2) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we add to these inequalities the same quantity

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \left[A(B + \lambda)^{-1} BA + B(A + \lambda)^{-1} AB \right] \\ &\quad \times (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (A^2 + B^2) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (BA + AB) (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (A + B)^2 (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and by employing the identity (2.1), we derive (2.6). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 and if $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & k [f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)](A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0). \end{aligned}$$

If $AB + BA \geq k \geq 0$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & 0 \leq k [f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)](A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $AB + BA \geq k$, then by multiplying both sides by $(A+B+\lambda)^{-1}$ for $\lambda \geq 0$, we get

$$(A+B+\lambda)^{-1}(BA+AB)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} \geq k(A+B+\lambda)^{-2}.$$

If we multiply by $\lambda \geq 0$ and integrate, then we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & k \int_0^\infty \lambda (A+B+\lambda)^{-2} d\mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda (A+B+\lambda)^{-1} (BA+AB)(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If we take the derivative over t in (1.3), then we get

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} = b + \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

Taking the derivative over t in (2.9), we get

$$\frac{tf'(t) - f(t) + f(0)}{t^2} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{(t+\lambda)^2} d\mu(\lambda), \quad t > 0.$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B))(A+B)^{-2} \\ & = \int_0^\infty \lambda (A+B+\lambda)^{-2} d\mu(\lambda) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.9) we derive (2.7).

The inequality (2.8) follows by that fact that

$$(f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B))(A+B)^{-2} \geq 0.$$

□

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 and if $(0 <) A+B \leq K$, then*

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(A) + f(B) - f(A+B) - f(0) \\ & \leq K^2 [f(A+B) - f(0) - (A+B)f'(A+B)](A+B)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $0 < A+B \leq K$, hence $(A+B)^2 \leq K^2$. If we multiply this inequality both sides by $(A+B+\lambda)^{-1}$, we get

$$(A+B+\lambda)^{-1}(A+B)^2(A+B+\lambda)^{-1} \leq K^2(A+B+\lambda)^{-2}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we multiply by $\lambda \geq 0$ and integrate, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} (A + B)^2 (A + B + \lambda)^{-1} \mu(\lambda) \\ & \leq K^2 \int_0^\infty \lambda (A + B + \lambda)^{-2} \mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and by the second inequality in (2.6) we deduce the desired result (2.11). \square

The symmetrized product of two operators $A, B \in B(H)$ is defined by $S(A, B) = AB + BA$. In general, the symmetrized product of two operators A, B is not positive (see for instance [9]). Also Gustafson [5] showed that if $0 \leq m \leq A \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then we have the lower bound

$$(2.12) \quad S(A, B) \geq 2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M - m)(N - n) =: k,$$

which can take positive or negative values depending on the parameters m, M, n, N .

Corollary 3. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$. If $0 \leq m \leq A \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then we have the upper and lower bounds*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M - m)(N - n) \right] \\ & \times [f(A + B) - f(0) - (A + B)f'(A + B)](A + B)^{-2} \\ & \leq f(A) + f(B) - f(A + B) - f(0) \\ & \leq (M + N)^2 [f(A + B) - f(0) - (A + B)f'(A + B)](A + B)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

3. SOME INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

We have the following integral inequality.

Proposition 1. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and that $C, D > 0$ such that $CD + DC \geq k$. Then we have the integral inequalities*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}k[f(C + D) - f(0) - (C + D)f'(C + D)](C + D)^{-2} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 f((1 - t)C + tD) dt - \frac{f(C + D) + f(0)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $CD + DC \geq 0$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{f(C + D) + f(0)}{2} \leq \int_0^1 f((1 - t)C + tD) dt.$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and put $A = (1 - t)C + tD$, $B = tC + (1 - t)D$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= ((1 - t)C + tD)(tC + (1 - t)D) \\ &= (1 - t)tC^2 + t^2DC + (1 - t)^2CD + t(1 - t)D^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} BA &= (tC + (1 - t)D)((1 - t)C + tD) \\ &= t(1 - t)C^2 + (1 - t)^2DC + t^2CD + (1 - t)tD^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& AB + BA \\
&= 2t(1-t)C^2 + \left[(1-t)^2 + t^2\right](CD + DC) + 2(1-t)tD^2 \\
&= 2t(1-t)C^2 + (1+2t^2-2t)(CD + DC) + 2(1-t)tD^2 \\
&= 2t(1-t)(C^2 - CD - DC + D^2) + CD + DC \\
&= 2t(1-t)(C - D)^2 + CD + DC \geq k
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

From (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & k[f(C + D) - f(0) - (C + D)f'(C + D)](C + D)^{-2} \\
& \leq f((1-t)C + tD) + f(tC + (1-t)D) - f(C + D) - f(0)
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Taking the integral and observing that

$$\int_0^1 f((1-t)C + tD) dt = \int_0^1 f(tC + (1-t)D) dt,$$

then by (3.3) we obtain (3.1). \square

Proposition 2. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and that $C, D > 0$ such that $C + D \leq K$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \int_0^1 f((1-t)C + tD) dt - \frac{f(C + D) + f(0)}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}K^2 [f(C + D) - f(0) - (C + D)f'(C + D)](C + D)^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows from (2.11) by a similar argument to the one from the proof of Proposition 1. \square

Further, we have

Proposition 3. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and that $C, D > 0$ such that $CD + DC \geq 0$. Then we have the integral inequalities*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^1 f((1-t)C + tD) dt + f(0) \leq \int_0^1 f(tC) dt + \int_0^1 f(tD) dt$$

Proof. If $CD + DC \geq 0$, then $t(1-t)CD + t(1-t)DC \geq 0$ and by (2.8) for $A = (1-t)C$ and $B = tD$, we get

$$0 \leq f((1-t)C) + f(tD) - f((1-t)C + tD) - f(0),$$

namely

$$f((1-t)C + tD) + f(0) \leq f((1-t)C) + f(tD),$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral and observe that

$$\int_0^1 f((1-t)C) dt = \int_0^1 f(sC) ds,$$

then we obtain the desired result (3.5). \square

Proposition 4. *Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and that $C, D > 0$ such that $C, D \leq K$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 f(tC) dt + \int_0^1 f(tD) dt - \int_0^1 f((1-t)C + tD) dt - f(0) \\ & \leq K^2 \left[\int_0^1 f((1-t)C + tD) ((1-t)C + tD)^{-2} dt \right. \\ & \quad - f(0) \int_0^1 ((1-t)C + tD)^{-2} dt \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^1 ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} f'((1-t)C + tD) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (2.11) for $A = (1-t)C$, $B = tD$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f((1-t)C) + f(tD) - f((1-t)C + tD) - f(0) \\ & \leq K^2 [f((1-t)C + tD) - f(0) - ((1-t)C + tD) f'((1-t)C + tD)] \\ & \quad \times ((1-t)C + tD)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and by integrating this inequality, we derive (3.6). \square

4. SOME EXAMPLES

Consider the function $f(t) = (t+a)^r$ for $a \geq 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that $A, B > 0$.

If $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & k \left[(A+B+a)^r - a^r - r(A+B)(A+B+a)^{r-1} \right] (A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq (A+a)^r + (B+a)^r - (A+B+a)^r - a^r. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 0$, we derive

$$(4.2) \quad k(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2} \leq A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r.$$

If $0 \leq m \leq A \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then by (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(N-n) \right] \\ & \times \left[(A+B+a)^r - a^r - r(A+B)(A+B+a)^{r-1} \right] (A+B)^{-2} \\ & \leq (A+a)^r + (B+a)^r - (A+B+a)^r - a^r. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 0$, we derive

$$\left[2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(N-n) \right] (1-r)(A+B)^{r-2} \leq A^r + B^r - (A+B)^r.$$

If $AB + BA \geq 0$, then by (4.1) we derive

$$(A+B+a)^r + a^r \leq (A+a)^r + (B+a)^r.$$

If $A + B \leq K$, then by (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + a)^r + (B + a)^r - (A + B + a)^r - a^r \\ & \leq K^2 \left[(A + B + a)^r - a^r - r(A + B)(A + B + a)^{r-1} \right] (A + B)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for $a \geq 0$ and, in particular,

$$(4.3) \quad A^r + B^r - (A + B)^r \leq K^2 (1 - r) (A + B)^{r-2}.$$

Consider the operator monotone function $f(t) = -(t + a)^{-p}$, for $a > 0$, $p \in (0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that $A, B > 0$.

If $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & k \left[a^{-p} - (A + B + a)^{-p} - p(A + B)(A + B + a)^{-p-1} \right] (A + B)^{-2} \\ & \leq (A + B + a)^{-p} + a^{-p} - (B + a)^{-p} - (A + a)^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & k \left[a^{-1} - (A + B + a)^{-1} - (A + B)(A + B + a)^{-2} \right] (A + B)^{-2} \\ & \leq (A + B + a)^{-1} + a^{-1} - (B + a)^{-1} - (A + a)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A + B \leq K$, then by (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + B + a)^{-p} + a^{-p} - (B + a)^{-p} - (A + a)^{-p} \\ & \leq K^2 \left[a^{-p} - (A + B + a)^{-p} - p(A + B)(A + B + a)^{-p-1} \right] (A + B)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and for $p = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (A + B + a)^{-1} + a^{-1} - (B + a)^{-1} - (A + a)^{-1} \\ & \leq K^2 \left[a^{-1} - (A + B + a)^{-1} - (A + B)(A + B + a)^{-2} \right] (A + B)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the operator convex function $f(t) = \ln(t + a)$, for $a > 0$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume that $A, B > 0$.

If $AB + BA \geq k$, k a real number, then by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & k \left[\ln(A + B + a) - \ln a - (A + B)(A + B + a)^{-1} \right] (A + B)^{-2} \\ & \leq \ln(A + a) + \ln(B + a) - \ln(A + B + a) - \ln a. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 1$, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & k \left[(A + B)^{-2} \ln(A + B + 1) - (A + B)^{-1} (A + B + 1)^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq \ln(A + 1) + \ln(B + 1) - \ln(A + B + 1). \end{aligned}$$

If $A + B \leq K$, then by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln(A + a) + \ln(B + a) - \ln(A + B + a) - \ln a \\ & \leq K^2 \left[\ln(A + B + a) - \ln a - (A + B)(A + B + a)^{-1} \right] (A + B)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 1$, we derive

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \ln(A + 1) + \ln(B + 1) - \ln(A + B + 1) \\ & \leq K^2 \left[(A + B)^{-2} \ln(A + B + 1) - (A + B)^{-1} (A + B + 1)^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $A, B > 0$ such that $AB + BA \geq k$, then by Proposition 2.1 for the power function $f(t) = t^r$, $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{2}k(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2} \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^r dt - \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^r.$$

If $AB + BA \geq 0$, then

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^r \leq \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^r dt \left(\leq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^r \right).$$

The second inequality in (4.7) follows by the operator concavity of the power function $f(t) = t^r$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and holds for any $A, B \geq 0$.

If $A, B > 0$ such that $A + B \leq K$, then by (3.4)

$$(4.8) \quad \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^r dt - \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^r \leq \frac{1}{2}K^2(1-r)(A+B)^{r-2}.$$

If $A, B > 0$ such that $AB + BA \geq 0$, then by (3.5)

$$(4.9) \quad \left(\frac{A^r + B^r}{2} \leq \right) \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^r dt \leq \frac{A^r + B^r}{r+1}.$$

The first inequality in (4.9) follows by the operator concavity of the power function and holds for any $A, B \geq 0$.

If $A, B > 0$ such that $A, B \leq K$, then

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{A^r + B^r}{r+1} - \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^r dt \leq K^2(1-r) \int_0^1 ((1-t)A + tB)^{r-2} dt.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [2] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, *Sci. Math.* **1** (1998) 301–306.
- [3] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **429** (2008) 972–980.
- [4] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [5] K. Gustafson, Interaction antieigenvalues. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **299** (1) (2004), 174–185.
- [6] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, *Math. Ann.* **123** (1951) 415–438.
- [7] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, *Math. Z.* **38** (1934) 177–216.
- [8] M. S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, Around operator monotone functions, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **71** (2011), 575–582.
- [9] M. S. Moslehian, H. Najafi, An extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 2359–2365.
- [10] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.