

SOME NEW LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide some bounds for the quantity $\|f(y) - f(x)\|$ where $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, a Banach algebra, with the spectra $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$. We show among others that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R ,

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty}}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}$$

and

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\},$$

where $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$ and, in general the bounds are not comparable, meaning that one can be better than the other one depending on the elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra. An *algebra norm* on \mathcal{B} is a map $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, and, further: $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. The normed algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *Banach algebra* if $\|\cdot\|$ is a *complete norm*. We assume that the Banach algebra is *unital*, this means that \mathcal{B} has an identity 1 and that $\|1\| = 1$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital algebra. An element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is *invertible* if there exists an element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $ab = ba = 1$. The element b is unique; it is called the *inverse* of a and written a^{-1} or $\frac{1}{a}$. The set of invertible elements of \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. If $a, b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ then $ab \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ and $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$.

For a unital Banach algebra we also have:

- (i) If $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} < 1$, then $1 - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (ii) $\{a \in \mathcal{B} : \|1 - a\| < 1\} \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (iii) $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is an *open subset* of \mathcal{B} ;
- (iv) The map $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}) \ni a \mapsto a^{-1} \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is continuous.

For simplicity, we denote $z1$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and 1 is the identity of \mathcal{B} , by z . The *resolvent set* of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\rho(a) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})\};$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, Analytic functions, Lipschitz type inequalities, Exponential and logarithmic function on Banach algebra.

the *spectrum* of a is $\sigma(a)$, the complement of $\rho(a)$ in \mathbb{C} , and the *resolvent function* of a is $R_a : \rho(a) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, $R_a(z) := (z - a)^{-1}$. For each $z, w \in \rho(a)$ we have the identity

$$R_a(w) - R_a(z) = (z - w) R_a(z) R_a(w).$$

We also have that

$$\sigma(a) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|a\|\}.$$

The *spectral radius* of a is defined as

$$\nu(a) = \sup \{|z| : z \in \sigma(a)\}.$$

Let \mathcal{B} a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

- (i) The resolvent set $\rho(a)$ is open in \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) For any *bounded linear functionals* $\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the function $\lambda \circ R_a$ is analytic on $\rho(a)$;
- (iii) The spectrum $\sigma(a)$ is compact and nonempty in \mathbb{C} ;
- (iv) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > \nu(a)$, we have $a^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=r} \xi^n (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi$;
- (v) We have $\nu(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital Banach algebra, $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and G be a domain of \mathbb{C} with $\sigma(a) \subset G$. If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on G , we define an element $f(a)$ in \mathcal{B} by

$$(1.1) \quad f(a) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} f(\xi) (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi,$$

where $\delta \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}(\delta)$, the inside of δ .

It is well known (see for instance [3, pp. 201-204]) that $f(a)$ does not depend on the choice of δ and the *Spectral Mapping Theorem* (SMT)

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(f(a)) = f(\sigma(a))$$

holds.

Concerning other basic definitions and facts in the theory of Banach algebras, the reader can consult the classical books [12] and [14].

For some recent norm inequalities for functions on Banach algebras, see [6], [2] and [4]-[10].

In this paper we provide some bounds for the quantity $\|f(y) - f(x)\|$ where $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, a Banach algebra, with the spectra $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$. We show among others that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$, where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R ,

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty}}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y) - f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$ and, in general, the bounds are not comparable, meaning that one can be better than the other one depending on the elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We have the following simple identity:

Lemma 1. *Let $a, b \in B$ with $a \neq b$ and assume that the closed segment $[a, b] := \{(1-t)a + tb, t \in [0, 1]\} \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. Then we have the representation*

$$(2.1) \quad b^{-1} - a^{-1} = \int_0^1 ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} (a-b) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} dt.$$

Proof. Consider the function with values in Banach algebra B , $\varphi_{a,b} : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$,

$$\varphi_{a,b}(t) = ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1}.$$

This is well defined for $t \in [0, 1]$.

We recall the following simple identity for the two invertible elements a, b in B

$$a^{-1} - b^{-1} = a^{-1} (b-a) b^{-1}.$$

For $t \in (0, 1)$ and h in a neighborhood of 0 such that $t+h \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{a,b}(t+h) - \varphi_{a,b}(t) \\ &= ((1-(t+h))a + (t+h)b)^{-1} - ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} \\ &= ((1-(t+h))a + (t+h)b)^{-1} ((1-t)a + tb - (1-(t+h))a - (t+h)b) \\ & \quad \times ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} \\ &= h((1-(t+h))a + (t+h)b)^{-1} (a-b) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, for $h \neq 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varphi_{a,b}(t+h) - \varphi_{a,b}(t)}{h} \\ &= ((1-(t+h))a + (t+h)b)^{-1} (a-b) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\varphi'_{a,b}(t) = ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} (a-b) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1}$$

that holds for $t \in (0, 1)$.

By the use of Bochner's integral, [13], for functions with values in Banach algebras, we have

$$\varphi_{a,b}(1) - \varphi_{a,b}(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi'_{a,b}(t) dt,$$

which is the desired equality (2.1). □

Corollary 1. *Let $x, y \in B$ with $x \neq y$ and assume that $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$, then*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1-y)^{-1} - (1-x)^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 (1 - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (1 - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Follows by Lemma 1 by taking $b = 1 - y$ and $a = 1 - x$.

We have the following representation result for the difference $f(y) - f(x)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$ and γ a closed rectifiable path in D and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad f(y) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} d\xi \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left(\int_0^1 (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt \right) d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the Riesz functional calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad f(y) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - y)^{-1} d\xi - \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left[(\xi - y)^{-1} - (\xi - x)^{-1} \right] d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \xi^{-1} \left[\left(1 - \frac{y}{\xi}\right)^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi}\right)^{-1} \right] d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\| \frac{y}{\xi} \right\|, \left\| \frac{x}{\xi} \right\| < 1$ for $\xi \in \gamma$, then we can apply Corollary 1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(1 - \frac{y}{\xi}\right)^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi}\right)^{-1} \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left(1 - (1-t)\frac{x}{\xi} - t\frac{y}{\xi}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{\xi} - \frac{x}{\xi}\right) \left(1 - (1-t)\frac{x}{\xi} - t\frac{y}{\xi}\right)^{-1} dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 \xi (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \xi^{-1} \left[\left(1 - \frac{y}{\xi}\right)^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi}\right)^{-1} \right] d\xi \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \xi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 \xi (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt \right) d\xi \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left(\int_0^1 (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt \right) d\xi \\
 &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} d\xi \right) dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used Fubini's theorem.

By utilising (2.4), we get (2.3). \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have, by taking the norm in (2.3), that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| |d\xi| \right) dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| \|y-x\| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| |d\xi| \right) dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y-x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \int_0^1 \left(\left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& =: \|y-x\| B(f, x, y),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first inequality in (2.5).

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(f, x, y) & := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \int_0^1 \left(\left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \leq (1-t) \left\| \frac{x}{\xi} \right\| + t \left\| \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| < 1-t+t=1$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, hence

$$\left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[(1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right]^k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\|^k \\
&= \left(1 - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left(\frac{|\xi|}{|\xi|} - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
&= |\xi| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, which implies that

$$\left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \leq |\xi|^2 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-2} \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
&\leq \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.6) we derive the second inequality in (2.5).

By the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\| &\geq |\xi| - (1-t)\|x\| - t\|y\| \\
&= (1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|) > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1}$$

and thus

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Taking the integral over $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\
& = -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1} dt \\
& = -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1} \Big|_0^1 \\
& = \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-1} - (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-1}] \\
& = \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} = \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\|y\| \neq \|x\|$, which proves the last part of (2.5).

If $\|y\| = \|x\|$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|x\|)]^{-2} dt = (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2},
\end{aligned}$$

which also gives the last bound for $\|y\| = \|x\|$. \square

The inequality between the first and last term in (2.5) was obtained in [6] with a different technique. Here two refinements are also provided due to the identity (2.3).

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 and if*

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\xi|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R . By taking γ parametrized by $\xi(t) = Re^{2\pi it}$ where $t \in [0, 1]$, then $d\xi(t) = 2\pi i Re^{2\pi it} dt$, $|d\xi(t)| = 2\pi R dt$, $|\xi| = R$ and by (2.5)*

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| dt \\
& \leq \frac{R \|y - x\|}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$, then we have the simpler inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \\
& \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty}}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the following upper bounds:

Theorem 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in \gamma$. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, then for $g_{\xi}(t) := (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
& = (|\xi| - \|(1 - (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2))x + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)y\|)^{-2} \\
& = (|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-2}
\end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\
& \leq \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\xi| - \|\alpha [(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta [(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\ & \geq |\xi| - \alpha \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\| > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\xi| - \|\alpha [(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta [(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-1} \\ & \leq (|\xi| - \alpha \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\begin{aligned} & g_\xi(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\ & \leq (|\xi| - \alpha \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-2} \\ & = (\alpha [|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta [|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the convexity of the function $(\cdot)^{-2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha [|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta [|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-2} \\ & \leq \alpha [|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|]^{-2} + \beta [|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|]^{-2} \\ & = \alpha g_\xi(t_1) + \beta g_\xi(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$g_\xi(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \leq \alpha g_\xi(t_1) + \beta g_\xi(t_2),$$

which proves the convexity of g_ξ on $[0, 1]$.

By using the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality, see for instance [11, p. 11], for g_ξ on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\int_0^1 g_\xi(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [g_\xi(1) + g_\xi(0)] + g_\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} [g_\xi(1) + g_\xi(0)]$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] + \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

By making use of this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of Theorem 1 we then derive the desired result (2.10). \square

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 and if*

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \\
& \quad \times \int_{\gamma} \left[\frac{(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}}{2} + \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R . Then by (2.10),*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \int_{\gamma} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \quad \times \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi it})| dt
\end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. From inequality (2.9) we have the following bound for the quantity $\frac{1}{\|f\|_{R,\infty}} \|f(y) - f(x)\|$

$$B_{1,R}(x, y) := \frac{R \|y - x\|}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}$$

while from (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{2,R}(x, y) & := \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$.

By taking $R = 1$, $x, y \in (-1, 1)$, $\|\cdot\| = |\cdot|$ and doing a 3-dimensional plot for the difference $B_{1,R}(x, y) - B_{2,R}(x, y)$ on the box $(x, y) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$, we observe that some time one bound is better than the other.

The same conclusion then applies to the inequalities

$$(2.14) \quad \|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty}}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the domain D and $x, y \in B$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R .

3. SOME EXAMPLES

The *modified Bessel function of the first kind* $I_\nu(z)$ for real number ν can be defined by the power series as [1, p. 376]

$$I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{k!\Gamma(\nu+k+1)},$$

where Γ is the *gamma function*. For $n = 0$ we have $I_0(z)$ given by

$$I_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{(k!)^2}.$$

An integral formula for real number ν is

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(\nu\theta) d\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh t - \nu t} dt,$$

which simplifies for ν an integer n to

$$I_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(n\theta) d\theta.$$

For $n = 0$ we have

$$I_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} d\theta.$$

Consider the exponential function $f(a) = \exp a$, $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Assume that $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$ for some $R > 0$. Observe that

$$|\exp(Re^{2\pi it})| = |\exp[R(\cos(2\pi t) + i \sin(2\pi t))]| = \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)]$$

and, by changing the variable $\theta = 2\pi t$, we get $dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \int_0^1 \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\pi^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I_0(R) + I_0(-R)) = I_0(R). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R . By (2.8) for the exponential function we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & \|\exp y - \exp x\| \\ & \leq R \|y - x\| \\ & \times \int_0^1 \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)] \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\ & \leq RI_0(R) \|y - x\| \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \\ & \leq \frac{RI_0(R) \|y - x\|}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)} \end{aligned}$$

and from (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \|\exp y - \exp x\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \\
& \times \int_0^1 \exp [R \cos (2\pi t)] \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq RI_0(R) \|y - x\| \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} RI_0(R) \|y - x\| \\
& \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} RI_0(R) \|y - x\| [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the *power series* $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$ ($|\lambda| < \rho$). If $\nu(a) < \rho$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j a^j$ converges in the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} because $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| \|a^j\| < \infty$, and we can define $f(a)$ to be its sum. Clearly $f(a)$ is well defined and there are many examples of important functions on a Banach algebra \mathcal{B} that can be constructed in this way. We define the associated function

$$\lambda \mapsto f_A(\lambda) : D(0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f_A(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \lambda^n.$$

As some natural examples that are useful for applications, we can point out that, if

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\
& g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cos \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\
& h(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sin \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\
& l(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);
\end{aligned}$$

then the corresponding functions constructed by the use of the absolute values of the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & f_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\
& g_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cosh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};
\end{aligned}$$

$$h_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sinh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$l_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1).$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with non-negative coefficients are:

$$(3.6) \quad \exp(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

$$\sin^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} (2n+1) n!} \lambda^{2n+1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

$$\tanh^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1)$$

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha) \Gamma(n+\beta) \Gamma(\gamma)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(n+\gamma)} \lambda^n, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0,$$

$$\lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

where Γ is *Gamma function*.

Lemma 2. *Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. We have*

$$(3.7) \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq f_A(|\lambda|) \text{ for } \lambda \in D(0, \rho).$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in D(0, \rho)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\lambda)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j \lambda^j| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = f_A(|\lambda|), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement. \square

Proposition 1. *Let f be an analytic function on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R)$ and $0 < R < \rho$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|f(y) - f(x)\| \\ &\leq R \|y - x\| f_A(R) \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\ &\leq R \|y - x\| f_A(R) \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \leq \frac{R f_A(R) \|y - x\|}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \|f(y) - f(x)\| \\
 & \leq R \|y - x\| f_A(R) \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \right) dt \\
 & \leq R \|y - x\| f_A(R) \int_0^1 (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-2} ds \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| f_A(R) \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Remarks 1, 2 and Lemma 2. As examples, one can consider the functions f and f_A listed above.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, **55**, 1972.
- [2] M. V. Boldea, S. S. Dragomir and M. Megan, New bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality. *PanAmer. Math. J.* **26** (2016), no. 3, 71–88.
- [3] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis, Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for power series in Banach algebras. *SUT J. Math.* **50** (2014), no. 1, 25–45.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Lipschitz type for power series in Banach algebras. *Ann. Math. Sil.* **No. 29** (2015), 61–83.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Lipschitz-type inequalities for analytic functions in Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2019), no. 3, 489–497.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **11** (24) (2015), no. 2, 253–266.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea, C. Buşe and M. Megan, Norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 2014:294, 19 pp.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Further bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via Grüss-Lupaş type inequalities for p -norms. *Mem. Grad. Sch. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Ser. B Math.* **49** (2016), 15–34.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Inequalities for Chebyshev functional in Banach algebras. *Cubo* **19** (2017), no. 1, 53–77.
- [11] S.S. Dragomir and C.E.M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000. (ONLINE: <http://ajmaa.org/RGMIA/monographs.php>).
- [12] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [13] J. Mikusiński, *The Bochner Integral*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1978.
- [14] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, 1973.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE, IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA