

**UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE OPERATOR
CONVEXITY DIFFERENCE IN TERMS OF THE SECOND
DERIVATIVE**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show among others that, if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A, B > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$ for some constants k, K, m, M , then

$$0 \leq m^2 f''(K) t(1-t) \leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \leq M^2 f''(k) t(1-t).$$

In particular, if $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$, then we have the following upper bounds

$$0 \leq (1-t)A^p + tB^p - ((1-t)A + tB)^p \leq (K-k)^2 p(p-1) k^{p-2} t(1-t),$$

for $p \in [1, 2]$,

$$0 \leq \ln((1-t)A + tB) - (1-t)\ln(A) - t\ln(B) \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k^2} t(1-t)$$

and

$$0 \leq (1-t)A \ln A + tB \ln B - ((1-t)A + tB) \ln((1-t)A + tB) \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k} t(1-t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by $T > 0$) if T is positive and invertible. By $A \geq B$ we understand that $A - B \geq 0$.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (*operator concave*) on I if

$$(OC) \quad f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \leq (\geq) (1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I . Notice that a function f is operator concave if $-f$ is operator convex. A real valued continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be operator monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ holds for any $A \geq B > 0$.

For some fundamental results on operator convex (operator concave) and operator monotone functions, see [5] and the references therein.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Operator convex functions, Jensen's difference, Operator power function. Operator logarithmic function.

As examples of such functions, we note that $f(t) = t^r$ is operator monotone on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The function $f(t) = t^r$ is operator convex on $(0, \infty)$ if either $1 \leq r \leq 2$ or $-1 \leq r \leq 0$ and is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$ if $0 \leq r \leq 1$. The logarithmic function $f(t) = \ln t$ is operator monotone and operator concave on $(0, \infty)$. The entropy function $f(t) = -t \ln t$ is operator concave on $(0, \infty)$. The exponential function $f(t) = e^t$ is neither operator convex nor operator monotone.

We have the following representation of operator convex functions [1, p. 147]:

Theorem 1. *A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it has the representation*

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = f(0) + f'_+(0)t + ct^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{t^2\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $c \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$$

holds.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when $t > 0$, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [1, p. 145]

$$(1.3) \quad t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Let f be an operator convex function on I . For $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators with spectra in I , we consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{SA}_{\varphi(I)}(H)$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_{(A,B)}(t) := f((1-t)A + tB).$$

For $x \in H$ we can also consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B);x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) := \langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \rangle = \langle f((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle.$$

We have the following basic fact, see for instance [4]:

Lemma 1. *Let f be an operator convex function on I . For any $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is well defined and convex in the operator order. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ and $x \in H$ the function $\varphi_{(A,B);x}$ is convex in the usual sense on $[0, 1]$.*

A continuous function $g : \mathcal{SA}_I(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* in $A \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ along the direction $B \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators on H , if the following limit exists in the strong topology of $\mathcal{B}(H)$

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla g_A(B) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(A + sB) - g(A)}{s} \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

If the limit (1.6) exists for all $B \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$, then we say that f is *Gâteaux differentiable* in A and we can write $g \in \mathcal{G}(A)$. If this is true for any A in an open set \mathcal{S} from $\mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we write that $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S})$.

If g is a continuous function on I , by utilising the continuous functional calculus the corresponding function of operators will be denoted in the same way.

For two distinct operators $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$ we consider the segment of selfadjoint operators

$$[A, B] := \{(1-t)A + tB \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We observe that $A, B \in [A, B]$ and $[A, B] \subset \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$.

The following result also holds, see for instance [4]:

Lemma 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B)}$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$ and*

$$(1.7) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(t) = \nabla f_{(1-t)A+tB}(B-A), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Also we have for the lateral derivative that

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(0+) = \nabla f_A(B-A)$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \varphi'_{(A,B)}(1-) = \nabla f_B(B-A).$$

In the recent paper [3], see also [4] for the weighted version, we obtained the following reverses of Hermite-Hadamard inequalities:

Theorem 2. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)] \end{aligned}$$

and

Theorem 3. *Let f be an operator convex function on I and $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. If $f \in \mathcal{G}([A, B])$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} [\nabla f_B(B-A) - \nabla f_A(B-A)]. \end{aligned}$$

For recent papers on operator Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, see [6]-[9] and [11]-[15].

In this paper we show among others that, if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A, B > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$ for some constants k, K, m, M , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq m^2 f''(K) t(1-t) \leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq M^2 f''(k) t(1-t). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$, then we have the following upper bounds

$$0 \leq (1-t)A^p + tB^p - ((1-t)A + tB)^p \leq (K-k)^2 p(p-1) k^{p-2} t(1-t),$$

for $p \in [1, 2]$,

$$0 \leq \ln((1-t)A + tB) - (1-t)\ln(A) - t\ln(B) \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k^2} t(1-t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)A \ln A + tB \ln B - ((1-t)A + tB) \ln ((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k} t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

2. GENERAL RESULTS

We have the following result:

Lemma 3. *Let $\varphi : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function on the interval \mathring{I} , the interior of I . If there exists the constants d, D such that*

$$(2.1) \quad d \leq \varphi''(t) \leq D \text{ for any } t \in \mathring{I},$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\nu(1-\nu)d(b-a)^2 &\leq (1-\nu)\varphi(a) + \nu\varphi(b) - \varphi((1-\nu)a + \nu b) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\nu(1-\nu)D(b-a)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathring{I}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^2 d \leq \frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2} - \varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8}(b-a)^2 D,$$

for any $a, b \in \mathring{I}$.

The constant $\frac{1}{8}$ is best possible in both inequalities in (2.3).

Proof. We consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_D : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi_D(x) = \frac{1}{2}Dx^2 - \varphi(x)$. The function φ_D is differentiable on \mathring{I} and $\varphi_D''(x) = D - \varphi''(x) \geq 0$, showing that φ_D is a convex function on \mathring{I} .

By the convexity of φ_D we have for any $a, b \in \mathring{I}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-\nu)\varphi_D(a) + \nu\varphi_D(b) - \varphi_D((1-\nu)a + \nu b) \\ &= (1-\nu)\left(\frac{1}{2}Da^2 - \varphi(a)\right) + \nu\left(\frac{1}{2}Db^2 - \varphi(b)\right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2}D((1-\nu)a + \nu b)^2 - \varphi_D((1-\nu)a + \nu b)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D\left[(1-\nu)a^2 + \nu b^2 - ((1-\nu)a + \nu b)^2\right] \\ &\quad - (1-\nu)\varphi(a) - \nu\varphi(b) + \varphi_D((1-\nu)a + \nu b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\nu(1-\nu)D(b-a)^2 - (1-\nu)\varphi(a) - \nu\varphi(b) + \varphi_D((1-\nu)a + \nu b), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the second inequality in (2.2).

The first inequality follows in a similar way by considering the auxiliary function $\varphi_d : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi_d(x) = \varphi(x) - \frac{1}{2}dx^2$ that is twice differentiable and convex on \mathring{I} .

If we take $\varphi(x) = x^2$, then (2.1) holds with equality for $d = D = 2$ and (2.3) reduces to an equality as well. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable function on the interval $(0, 1)$. If there exists the constants d, D such that*

$$(2.4) \quad d \leq \varphi''(t) \leq D \text{ for any } t \in (0, 1),$$

then

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2}\nu(1-\nu)d \leq (1-\nu)\varphi(0) + \nu\varphi(1) - \varphi(\nu) \leq \frac{1}{2}\nu(1-\nu)D$$

for any $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{8}d \leq \frac{\varphi(0) + \varphi(1)}{2} - \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8}D.$$

We have the following representations of the derivatives:

Lemma 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$. Assume that the operator function generated by f is twice Fréchet differentiable on the segment $[A, B]$ then we have that $f_{A,B}$ is twice differentiable on $[0, 1]$,*

$$(2.7) \quad f'_{A,B}(t) = D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad f''_{A,B}(t) = D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A, B - A)$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$.

We also have the lateral derivatives

$$(2.9) \quad f'_{A,B}(0+) = D(f)(A)(B - A), \quad f'_{A,B}(1-) = D(f)(B)(B - A),$$

$$(2.10) \quad f''_{A,B}(0+) = D^2(f)(A)(B - A, B - A),$$

and

$$f''_{A,B}(1-) = D^2(f)(B)(B - A, B - A).$$

Proof. We prove only for the interior points $t \in (0, 1)$. Let h be in a small interval around 0 such that $t + h \in (0, 1)$. Then for $h \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_{A,B}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-(t+h))A + (t+h)B) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{A,B}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A)) - f((1-t)A + tB)}{h} \right] \\ &= D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B - A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{df_{A,B}(t+h)}{dt} - \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \right] \\ &= \frac{D(f)((1-(t+h))A + (t+h)B)(B-A) - D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A)}{h} \\ &= \frac{D(f)((1-t)A + tB + h(B-A))(B-A) - D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 f_{A,B}(t)}{dt^2} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \left[\frac{df_{A,B}(t+h)}{dt} - \frac{df_{A,B}(t)}{dt} \right] \right\} \\ &= D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A, B-A), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.8).

The lateral derivatives follow in a similar way. \square

Theorem 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{SA}_I(H)$, with $A \neq B$ and f an operator convex function on I . Assume that the operator function generated by f is twice Fréchet differentiable on the segment $[A, B]$ and there exists the constants $K > k \geq 0$ such that*

$$(2.11) \quad k(B-A)^2 \leq D^2(f)((1-s)A + sB)(B-A, B-A) \leq K(B-A)^2$$

for all $s \in (0, 1)$.

Then for all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}kt(1-t)(B-A)^2 &\leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}Kt(1-t)(B-A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $x \in H$ we can consider the auxiliary function $\varphi_{(A,B);x} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) := \left\langle \varphi_{(A,B)}(t)x, x \right\rangle = \langle f((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle.$$

Then

$$\varphi'_{(A,B);x}(t) = \langle D(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A)x, x \rangle$$

and

$$\varphi''_{(A,B);x}(t) = \langle D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A, B-A)x, x \rangle$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$.

From the condition (2.11) we get

$$k \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle \leq \varphi''_{(A,B);x}(t) \leq K \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

By using inequality (2.5) we derive

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}t(1-t)k \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq (1-t)\varphi_{(A,B);x}(0) + t\varphi_{(A,B);x}(1) - \varphi_{(A,B);x}(t) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}t(1-t)K \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$.

From (2.13) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2}t(1-t)k \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle \\
 & \leq (1-t) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle + t \langle f(B)x, x \rangle - \langle f((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2}t(1-t)K \left\langle (B-A)^2 x, x \right\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in H$, which is equivalent to the operator inequality (2.12). \square

3. SOME INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE OPERATORS

For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$, we can define the following mapping:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(t) & := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) t^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (t + \lambda - \lambda)^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(t + \lambda)^2 - 2\lambda(t + \lambda) + \lambda^2 \right] (t + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[(t + \lambda) - 2\lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[t - \lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$ we have.

We have the following representation of the Fréchet derivative $D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$ as a function of positive selfadjoint operators:

Lemma 5. *For all $U > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V) & \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (U + \lambda)^{-1} [UVU + \lambda(VU + UV)] (U + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$, the class of all selfadjoint operators on H .

Proof. Let $U > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)$ and by (3.1) and we have for t in a small open interval around 0 that

$$\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(U + tV) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[U + tV - \lambda + \lambda^2 (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(U + tV) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(U) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[U + tV - \lambda + \lambda^2 (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[U - \lambda + \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ tV + \lambda^2 \left[(U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ tV + \lambda^2 \left[(U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} (-tV) (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= t \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 \left[(U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V) \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(U + tV) - \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(U)}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 \left[(U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right] \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

for $U > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$, which proves the first identity in (3.2).

Define for $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$U_\lambda := V - \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply U_λ both sides by $U + \lambda$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(U + \lambda)U_\lambda(U + \lambda) &= (U + \lambda)V(U + \lambda) - \lambda^2 V \\
&= (UV + \lambda V)(U + \lambda) - \lambda^2 V \\
&= UVU + \lambda VU + \lambda UV + \lambda^2 V - \lambda^2 V \\
&= UVU + \lambda(VU + UV).
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $(U + \lambda)^{-1}$ we get

$$U_\lambda = (U + \lambda)^{-1} [UVU + \lambda(VU + UV)] (U + \lambda)^{-1},$$

which, by (3.3), implies the second representation in (3.1). \square

Corollary 2. *Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad D(f)(U)(V) &= f'_+(0)V + c(UV + VU) \\
 &+ \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &= f'_+(0)V + c(UV + VU) \\
 &+ \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (U + \lambda)^{-1} [UVU + \lambda(VU + UV)] (U + \lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $U > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$.

Proof. For $\ell(t) = t$, we have by (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(f)(U)(V) &= D[f(0) + f'_+(0)\ell + c\ell^2 + \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)](U)(V) \\
 &= D[f(0)](U)(V) + f'_+(0)D(\ell)(U)(V) + cD(\ell^2)(U)(V) \\
 &+ D[\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)](U)(V) \\
 &= f'_+(0)V + c(UV + VU) + D[\mathcal{C}(w, \mu)](U)(V)
 \end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 5, the identity (3.5) is obtained. \square

For the case of second Fréchet derivative $D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))$, we have the representation:

Lemma 6. *For all $U > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V) &= 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$.

Proof. Let $U > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$. We have by the properties of the Fréchet second derivative that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V)}{t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe, by (3.2), that we have for t in a small open interval around 0,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U + tV)(V) &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V) \\
&= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&\quad - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left\{ V - \lambda^2 (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \\
&\quad \times \left[(U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} \right] d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$W_{t,\lambda} := (U + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1} V (U + tV + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides of $W_{t,\lambda}$ with $\lambda + U + tV$, the we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda + U + tV) W_{t,\lambda} (\lambda + U + tV) \\
&= (\lambda + U + tV) (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} (\lambda + U + tV) - V \\
&= \left(1 + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} \right) V \left(1 + t (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \right) - V \\
&= \left(V + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \right) \left(1 + t (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \right) - V \\
&= V + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \\
&\quad + t^2 V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V - V \\
&= 2tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V + t^2 V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \\
&= t \left[2V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \right].
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the equality by $(\lambda + U + tV)^{-1}$ both sides, we get for $t \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} = (\lambda + U + tV)^{-1} \left[2V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V + tV (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V \right] \\
&\quad \times (\lambda + U + tV)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7), then we get

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} \right) = 2(\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U + tV)(V) - D(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V)}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} d\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{W_{t,\lambda}}{t} \right) d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

then by (3.6) we obtain the desired representation (3.5). \square

Corollary 3. *If $0 < q \leq U \leq Q$ and $0 \leq n^2 \leq V^2 \leq N^2$ for some constants q, Q, n, N , then*

$$(3.10) \quad 0 < n^2 \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(Q) \leq D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V) \leq N^2 \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(q).$$

Proof. Since

$$0 < \lambda + q \leq \lambda + U \leq \lambda + Q$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$, hence

$$0 < (\lambda + Q)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + U)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + q)^{-1}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we multiply both sides by V we get

$$0 < (\lambda + Q)^{-1} V^2 \leq V(\lambda + U)^{-1} V \leq (\lambda + q)^{-1} V^2.$$

Further, if we multiply both sides by $(\lambda + U)^{-1}$ we get

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &< (\lambda + Q)^{-1} (\lambda + U)^{-1} V^2 (\lambda + U)^{-1} \\ &\leq (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} \\ &\leq (\lambda + q)^{-1} (\lambda + U)^{-1} V^2 (\lambda + U)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq n^2 \leq V^2 \leq N^2$, then by multiplying both sides by $(\lambda + U)^{-1}$, we get

$$(3.12) \quad n^2 (\lambda + U)^{-2} \leq (\lambda + U)^{-1} V^2 (\lambda + U)^{-1} \leq N^2 (\lambda + U)^{-2}$$

and since

$$0 < (\lambda + Q)^{-2} \leq (\lambda + U)^{-2} \leq (\lambda + q)^{-2}$$

then by (3.11) and (3.12), we get

$$0 < n^2 (\lambda + Q)^{-3} \leq (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} \leq N^2 (\lambda + q)^{-3},$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

If we multiply by $\lambda^2 w(\lambda)$ and integrate, then we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &< n^2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + Q)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq N^2 \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + q)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the derivative over t in (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'(w, \mu)(t) &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[t - \lambda + \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-1} \right]' d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-2} \right] d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(t) &= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-2} \right]' d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \lambda^2 (t + \lambda)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$.

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + Q)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(Q)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + q)^{-3} d\mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(q)$$

then we get, by (3.5) that,

$$0 < n^2 \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V) \leq N^2 \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(q),$$

which proves the desired result. \square

Theorem 5. Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1), then

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} D^2(f)(U)(V, V) \\ = 2 \left[cV^2 + \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 w(\lambda) (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} V (\lambda + U)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $U > 0$ and $V \in \mathcal{SA}(H)$.

Proof. We have by (1.1) that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} D^2(f)(U)(V, V) \\ = D^2[f(0) + f'_+(0)\ell + c\ell^2 + \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)](U)(V, V) \\ = D^2(f(0))(U)(V, V) + f'_+(0) D^2(\ell)(U)(V, V) \\ + cD^2(\ell^2)(U)(V, V) + D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V) \\ = cD^2(\ell^2)(U)(V, V) + D^2(\mathcal{C}(w, \mu))(U)(V, V). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(\ell^2)(U)(V, V) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(\ell^2)(U + tV)(V) - D(\ell^2)(U)(V)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(U + tV)V + V(U + tV) - UV - VU}{t} \\ &= 2V^2, \end{aligned}$$

then by (3.15) and (3.5) we get (3.14). \square

Corollary 4. Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ and has the representation (1.1). If $0 < q \leq U \leq Q$ and $0 \leq n^2 \leq V^2 \leq N^2$ for some constants q, Q, n, N , then

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq 2n^2 f''(Q) \leq 2n^2 f''(q) + 2c(V^2 - n^2) \\ \leq D^2(f)(U)(V, V) \leq 2N^2 f''(q) + 2c(V^2 - N^2) \leq 2N^2 f''(q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since, by (1.1),

$$f(t) = f(0) + f'_+(0)t + ct^2 + \mathcal{C}(w, \mu)(t), \quad t > 0,$$

hence

$$\mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(t) = f''(t) - 2c.$$

Then by (3.10) and (3.14), we get

$$0 < 2n^2 \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(Q) \leq D^2(f)(U)(V, V) - 2cV^2 \leq 2N^2 \mathcal{C}''(w, \mu)(q),$$

namely

$$0 < 2n^2 [f''(Q) - 2c] \leq D^2(f)(U)(V, V) - 2cV^2 \leq 2N^2 [f''(q) - 2c],$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 < 2n^2 [f''(Q) - 2c] + 2cV^2 \leq D^2(f)(U)(V, V) \leq 2N^2 [f''(q) - 2c] + 2cV^2.$$

The rest is obvious and we omit the details. \square

Theorem 6. *Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A, B > 0$. If $0 \leq k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$ for some constants k, K, m, M , then*

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq m^2 f''(K) t(1-t) &\leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq M^2 f''(k) t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

If $0 \leq k \leq \frac{A+B}{2} \leq K$, then

$$(3.18) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{4} m^2 f''(K) \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} M^2 f''(k).$$

Proof. Since for $U := (1-t)A + tB$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $k \leq U \leq K$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$, then by (3.16) we have

$$0 \leq 2m^2 f''(K) \leq D^2(f)((1-t)A + tB)(B-A, B-A) \leq 2M^2 f''(k).$$

By a similar argument to the one in Theorem 4 we also get

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 f''(K) t(1-t) &\leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq M^2 f''(k) t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, which proves the desired result (3.17). \square

Corollary 5. *With the assumption of Theorem 6 and if $0 \leq k \leq A, B \leq K$, then (3.17) and (3.18) are valid.*

Remark 1. *If the selfadjoint operator T satisfies the condition $-u \leq T \leq u$, for $u > 0$, then $-u \|x\|^2 \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle \leq u \|x\|^2$, $x \in H$ which is equivalent to $|\langle Tx, x \rangle| \leq u \|x\|^2$, $x \in H$ and implies that $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in H} |\langle Tx, x \rangle| \leq u$. For $x \in H$ we have $0 \leq \langle T^2 x, x \rangle = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \|x\|^2 \leq u^2 \|x\|^2$, which implies that $0 \leq T^2 \leq u^2$.*

Now, if $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$, then $-(K-k) \leq B-A \leq K-k$ which implies that $(B-A)^2 \leq (K-k)^2$. Therefore, by (3.17) we get the following simple upper bounds

$$(3.19) \quad 0 \leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) - f((1-t)A + tB) \leq (K-k)^2 f''(k) t(1-t)$$

and, in particular,

$$(3.20) \quad 0 \leq \frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} (K-k)^2 f''(k).$$

4. SOME EXAMPLES

Assume that $A, B > 0$ and $0 < k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$, for $t \in [0, 1]$ or, for simplicity, $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$ for some constants k, K, m, M .

For $p \in [1, 2]$, we consider the power function $f(t) = t^p$. This function is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) = 0$. Also we have $f''(t) = p(p-1)t^{p-2}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then by Theorem 6 we have the power inequalities

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq m^2 p(p-1) K^{p-2} t(1-t) \leq (1-t)A^p + tB^p - ((1-t)A + tB)^p \\ \leq M^2 p(p-1) k^{p-2} t(1-t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

If $0 < k \leq \frac{A+B}{2} \leq K$, then

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{4} m^2 p(p-1) K^{p-2} \leq \frac{A^p + B^p}{2} - \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^p \leq \frac{1}{4} M^2 p(p-1) k^{p-2}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the function $f(t) = -\ln(t+\varepsilon)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$. We have $f'_+(0) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Also $f''(t) = \frac{1}{(t+\varepsilon)^2}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then by Theorem 6 we have the logarithmic inequalities

$$0 \leq m^2 \frac{1}{(K+\varepsilon)^2} t(1-t) \\ \leq \ln((1-t)A + tB + \varepsilon) - (1-t)\ln(A+\varepsilon) - t\ln(B+\varepsilon) \\ \leq M^2 \frac{1}{(k+\varepsilon)^2} t(1-t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

By taking the limit over $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ we derive the inequality of interest

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \frac{m^2}{K^2} t(1-t) \leq \ln((1-t)A + tB) - (1-t)\ln(A) - t\ln(B) \leq \frac{M^2}{k^2} t(1-t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, provided $0 < k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$.

If $0 < k \leq \frac{A+B}{2} \leq K$, then

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \leq \frac{m^2}{4K^2} \leq \ln\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - \frac{\ln(A) + \ln(B)}{2} \leq \frac{M^2}{4k^2}.$$

For $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$, the inequalities (4.3) and (4.4) also hold.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the function $f(t) = (t+\varepsilon)\ln(t+\varepsilon)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$. We have $f'_+(0) = \ln\varepsilon + 1$. Also $f''(t) = \frac{1}{t+\varepsilon}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then by Theorem 6 we have the logarithmic inequalities

$$0 \leq m^2 \frac{1}{K+\varepsilon} t(1-t) \\ \leq (1-t)(A+\varepsilon)\ln(A+\varepsilon) + t(B+\varepsilon)\ln(B+\varepsilon) \\ - ((1-t)A + tB + \varepsilon)\ln((1-t)A + tB + \varepsilon) \\ \leq M^2 \frac{1}{k+\varepsilon} t(1-t)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

By taking the limit over $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ we derive the inequality of interest

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{m^2}{K}t(1-t) \\ &\leq (1-t)A \ln A + tB \ln B - ((1-t)A + tB) \ln((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq \frac{M^2}{k}t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, provided $0 < k \leq (1-t)A + tB \leq K$, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $m^2 \leq (B-A)^2 \leq M^2$.

If $0 < k \leq \frac{A+B}{2} \leq K$, then

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \leq \frac{m^2}{4K} \leq \frac{A \ln A + B \ln B}{2} - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{M^2}{4k}.$$

For $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$ we also have (4.5) and (4.6).

Now, if $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$, then $-(K-k) \leq B-A \leq K-k$, which implies that $(B-A)^2 \leq (K-k)^2$. Therefore, by the above inequalities (4.1)-(4.6) we have the following upper bounds

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \leq (1-t)A^p + tB^p - ((1-t)A + tB)^p \leq (K-k)^2 p(p-1)k^{p-2}t(1-t),$$

for $p \in [1, 2]$,

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \leq \ln((1-t)A + tB) - (1-t) \ln(A) - t \ln(B) \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k^2}t(1-t)$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)A \ln A + tB \ln B - ((1-t)A + tB) \ln((1-t)A + tB) \\ &\leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{k}t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the following upper bounds for the *Jensen's difference*

$$(4.10) \quad 0 \leq \frac{A^p + B^p}{2} - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^p \leq \frac{1}{4}(K-k)^2 p(p-1)k^{p-2}, \quad p \in [1, 2],$$

$$(4.11) \quad 0 \leq \ln \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - \frac{\ln(A) + \ln(B)}{2} \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{4k^2}.$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad 0 \leq \frac{A \ln A + B \ln B}{2} - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \leq \frac{(K-k)^2}{4k},$$

if $0 < k \leq A, B \leq K$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, A note on Young's inequality. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **111** (2017), no. 2, 349–354.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of operator Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, Preprint *RGMI Res. Rep. Coll.* **22** (2019), Art. 87, 10 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v22/v22a87.pdf>].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses of operator Féjer's inequalities, *Tokyo J. Math.* **44** (2021), No. 1, 16 pp., DOI: 10.3836/tjm/1502179330.

- [5] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [6] A. G. Ghazanfari, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are operator convex. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **10** (2016), no. 8, 1695–1703.
- [7] A. G. Ghazanfari, The Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator s -convex functions. *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.* **6** (2014), no. 3, 52–61.
- [8] J. Han and J. Shi, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator convex function. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 11, 6035–6041.
- [9] B. Li, Refinements of Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.* **8** (2013), no. 9-12, 463–467.
- [10] G. K. Pedersen, Operator differentiable functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36** (1) (2000), 139-157.
- [11] A. Taghavi, V. Darvish, H. M. Nazari and S. S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator geometrically convex functions. *Monatsh. Math.* **181** (2016), no. 1, 187–203.
- [12] M. Vivas Cortez, E. J. H. Hernández, Refinements for Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator h -convex function. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 5, 1299–1307.
- [13] M. Vivas Cortez, E. J. H. Hernández, On some new generalized Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for product of two operator h -convex functions. *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **11** (2017), no. 4, 983–992.
- [14] S.-H. Wang, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for operator convex functions on the coordinates. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10** (2017), no. 3, 1116–1125
- [15] S.-H. Wang, New integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for operator m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **22** (2017), no. 4, 744–753.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA