

PERTURBED LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we provide some bounds for the quantity $\|f(y) - f(x)\|$ where $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, a Banach algebra, with the spectra $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$. We show among others that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\ & \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{R \|f\|_{R, \infty} \|y - x\|^2}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\ & \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\ & \leq R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3}] \right. \\ & \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$ and, in general the bounds are not comparable, meaning that one can be better than the other one depending on the elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra. An *algebra norm* on \mathcal{B} is a map $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, and, further: $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. The normed algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *Banach algebra* if $\|\cdot\|$ is a *complete norm*. We assume that the Banach algebra is *unital*, this means that \mathcal{B} has an identity 1 and that $\|1\| = 1$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital algebra. An element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is *invertible* if there exists an element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $ab = ba = 1$. The element b is unique; it is called the *inverse* of a and written a^{-1} or $\frac{1}{a}$. The set of invertible elements of \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. If $a, b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ then $ab \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ and $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$.

For a unital Banach algebra we also have:

¹1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, Analytic functions, Lipschitz type inequalities, Exponential and logarithmic function on Banach algebra.

- (i) If $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} < 1$, then $1 - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (ii) $\{a \in \mathcal{B}: \|1 - b\| < 1\} \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (iii) $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is an *open subset* of \mathcal{B} ;
- (iv) The map $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}) \ni a \mapsto a^{-1} \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is continuous.

For simplicity, we denote $z1$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and 1 is the identity of \mathcal{B} , by z . The *resolvent set* of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\rho(a) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})\};$$

the *spectrum* of a is $\sigma(a)$, the complement of $\rho(a)$ in \mathbb{C} , and the *resolvent function* of a is $R_a : \rho(a) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, $R_a(z) := (z - a)^{-1}$. For each $z, w \in \rho(a)$ we have the identity

$$R_a(w) - R_a(z) = (z - w) R_a(z) R_a(w).$$

We also have that

$$\sigma(a) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|a\|\}.$$

The *spectral radius* of a is defined as

$$\nu(a) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \sigma(a)\}.$$

Let \mathcal{B} a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

- (i) The resolvent set $\rho(a)$ is open in \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) For any *bounded linear functionals* $\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the function $\lambda \circ R_a$ is analytic on $\rho(a)$;
- (iii) The spectrum $\sigma(a)$ is compact and nonempty in \mathbb{C} ;
- (iv) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > \nu(a)$, we have $a^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=r} \xi^n (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi$;
- (v) We have $\nu(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital Banach algebra, $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and G be a domain of \mathbb{C} with $\sigma(a) \subset G$. If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on G , we define an element $f(a)$ in \mathcal{B} by

$$(1.1) \quad f(a) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} f(\xi) (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi,$$

where $\delta \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}(\delta)$, the inside of δ .

It is well known (see for instance [3, pp. 201-204]) that $f(a)$ does not depend on the choice of δ and the *Spectral Mapping Theorem* (SMT)

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(f(a)) = f(\sigma(a))$$

holds.

Concerning other basic definitions and facts in the theory of Banach algebras, the reader can consult the classical books [13] and [15].

Let $\mathfrak{Hol}(a)$ be the set of all the functions that are analytic in a neighborhood of $\sigma(a)$. Note that $\mathfrak{Hol}(a)$ is an algebra where if $f, g \in \mathfrak{Hol}(a)$ and f and g have domains $D(f)$ and $D(g)$, then fg and $f + g$ have domain $D(f) \cap D(g)$. $\mathfrak{Hol}(a)$ is not, however a Banach algebra.

The following result is known as the *Riesz Functional Calculus Theorem* [?, p. 201-203]:

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{B} a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{B}$.*

- (a) *The map $f \mapsto f(a)$ of $\mathfrak{Hol}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an algebra homomorphism.*
- (b) *If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$ has radius of convergence $r > \nu(a)$, then $f \in \mathfrak{Hol}(a)$ and $f(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k a^k$.*

- (c) If $f(z) \equiv 1$, then $f(a) = 1$.
- (d) If $f(z) = z$ for all z , $f(a) = a$.
- (e) If $f, f_1, \dots, f_n \dots$ are analytic on G , $\sigma(a) \subset G$ and $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of G , then $\|f_n(a) - f(a)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (f) The Riesz Functional Calculus is unique and if a, b are commuting elements in \mathcal{B} and $f \in \mathfrak{Hol}(a)$, then $f(a)b = bf(a)$.

For some recent norm inequalities for functions on Banach algebras, see [6], [2] and [4]-[11].

In the recent paper [7], we provided some bounds for the quantity $\|f(y) - f(x)\|$ where $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, a Banach algebra, with the spectra $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$. We showed among others that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R ,

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty}}{(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y) - f(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$ and, in general the bounds are not comparable, meaning that one can be better than the other one depending on the elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

In this paper we establish among others that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{R \|f\|_{R, \infty} \|y - x\|^2}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\ &\leq R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$ and, in general the bounds are not comparable, meaning that one can be better than the other one depending on the elements $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We consider the function $\ell^{-1} : \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}) \mapsto \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, $\ell^{-1}(a) = a^{-1}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. The function ℓ^{-1} is Fréchet differentiable in a and the Fréchet derivative is*

$$(2.1) \quad D(\ell^{-1})(a)(v) = -a^{-1}va^{-1}$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is open then for $v \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a small interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ with $\delta > 0$ such that $a + tv \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{-1}(a + tv) - \ell^{-1}(a) &= (a + tv)^{-1} - a^{-1} = (a + tv)^{-1}(a - a - tv)a^{-1} \\ &= -t(a + tv)^{-1}va^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

For $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $t \neq 0$, we get

$$\frac{\ell^{-1}(a + tv) - \ell^{-1}(a)}{t} = -(a + tv)^{-1}va^{-1}$$

and by taking the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (2.1). \square

Lemma 2. *Let $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. The function ℓ^{-1} is twice Fréchet differentiable in a and the second Fréchet derivative is*

$$(2.2) \quad D^2(\ell^{-1})(a)(v, u) = a^{-1}(va^{-1}u + ua^{-1}v)a^{-1}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$.

In particular,

$$(2.3) \quad D^2(\ell^{-1})(a)(v, v) = 2a^{-1}va^{-1}va^{-1}$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is open then for $v, u \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a small interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ with $\delta > 0$ such that $a + tv, \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Then

$$D(\ell^{-1})(a + tv)(u) - D(\ell^{-1})(a)(u) = a^{-1}ua^{-1} - (a + tv)^{-1}u(a + tv)^{-1}$$

for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

Consider

$$U_t := a^{-1}ua^{-1} - (a + tv)^{-1}u(a + tv)^{-1}$$

with $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

If we multiply U_t both sides by $a + tv$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (a + tv)U_t(a + tv) &= (a + tv)a^{-1}ua^{-1}(a + tv) - u \\ &= (u + tva^{-1}u)(1 + ta^{-1}v) - u \\ &= u + tva^{-1}u + tua^{-1}v + t^2va^{-1}ua^{-1}v - u \\ &= t(va^{-1}u + ua^{-1}v) + t^2va^{-1}ua^{-1}v \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

By multiplying this equality both sides by $(a + tv)^{-1}$, we get

$$U_t = t(a + tv)^{-1}(va^{-1}u + ua^{-1}v + tva^{-1}ua^{-1}v)(a + tv)^{-1}$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{D(\ell^{-1})(a+tv)(u) - D(\ell^{-1})(a)(u)}{t} \\ &= (a+tv)^{-1} (va^{-1}u + ua^{-1}v + tva^{-1}ua^{-1}v) (a+tv)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $t \neq 0$ and by taking the limit over $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (2.2). \square

Theorem 2. *Let $a, b \in B$ with $a \neq b$ and assume that the closed segment $[a, b] := \{(1-t)a + by, t \in [0, 1]\} \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. Then we have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad & b^{-1} - a^{-1} + a^{-1}(b-a)a^{-1} \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} (b-a) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times (b-a) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the Taylor's type formula with integral remainder, see for instance [3, p. 112] for the general case of functions for functions defined on normed spaces and with values in Banach spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & f(x) = f(y) + D(f)(y)(x-y) \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(f)((1-t)y + tx)(x-y, x-y) dt \end{aligned}$$

that holds for functions f which are of class C^2 on an open and convex subset \mathcal{O} in the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and $y, x \in \mathcal{O}$.

If we write this equality for ℓ^{-1} , $\mathcal{O} = [a, b]$, $x = b$ and $y = a$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \ell^{-1}(b) = \ell^{-1}(a) + D(\ell^{-1})(a)(b-a) \\ & \quad + \int_0^1 (1-t) D^2(\ell^{-1})((1-t)a + tb)(b-a, b-a) dt \end{aligned}$$

and, since by Lemmas 1 and 2

$$D(\ell^{-1})(a)(b-a) = -a^{-1}(b-a)a^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & D^2(\ell^{-1})((1-t)a + tb)(b-a, b-a) \\ &= 2((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} (b-a) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1} (b-a) ((1-t)a + tb)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then by (2.6) we get (2.4). \square

Corollary 1. *Let $x, y \in B$ with $x \neq y$ and assume that $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & (1-y)^{-1} - (1-x)^{-1} - (1-x)^{-1}(y-x)(1-x)^{-1} \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) (1 - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (1 - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times (y-x) (1 - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By taking $a = 1 - x$, $b = 1 - y$ in (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - y)^{-1} - (1 - x)^{-1} - (1 - x)^{-1} (y - x) (1 - x)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1 - t) ((1 - t)(1 - x) + t(1 - y))^{-1} (1 - y - (1 - x)) \\
&\quad \times ((1 - t)(1 - x) + t(1 - y))^{-1} ((1 - y) - (1 - x)) \\
&\quad \times ((1 - t)(1 - x) + t(1 - y))^{-1} dt \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1 - t) (1 - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} (x - y) (1 - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (x - y) (1 - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.7). \square

Theorem 3. Let $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D$ and γ a closed rectifiable path in D and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^1 (1 - t) \left(\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} (y - x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} d\xi \right) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\left\| \frac{y}{\xi} \right\|, \left\| \frac{x}{\xi} \right\| < 1$ for $\xi \in \gamma$ then we can apply Corollary 1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 - \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right)^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{\xi} - \frac{x}{\xi} \right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1 - t) \left(1 - (1 - t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{\xi} - \frac{x}{\xi} \right) \left(1 - (1 - t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{y}{\xi} - \frac{x}{\xi} \right) \left(1 - (1 - t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi (\xi - y)^{-1} - \xi (\xi - x)^{-1} - \xi (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} \\
&= 2\xi \int_0^1 (1 - t) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (y - x) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\xi - y)^{-1} - (\xi - x)^{-1} - (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1 - t) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (y - x) (\xi - (1 - t)x - ty)^{-1} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

If we multiply by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} f(\xi)$ and integrate on γ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - y)^{-1} d\xi - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \\
& - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \\
& = 2 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} dt \right) d\xi \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} d\xi \right) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used Fubini's theorem.

By making use of Riesz functional calculus, we derive the identity (2.8). \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)| |d\xi|}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2 (|\xi| - \|y\|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have, by taking the norm in (2.8), that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_{\gamma} \left\| f(\xi) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| |d\xi| \right) dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-t) \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| \|y-x\| \\
& \quad \times \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| \|y-x\| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| |d\xi| dt \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi} \|y-x\|^2 \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 |d\xi| \right) dt \\
& =: \|y-x\|^2 C(f, x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
C(f, x, y) &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 |d\xi| \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 (1-t) \left(\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-3} \left\| \left(\xi - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^3 |d\xi| \right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-3} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| \left(\xi - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \leq (1-t) \left\| \frac{x}{\xi} \right\| + t \left\| \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| < 1-t+t=1$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, hence

$$\left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[(1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right]^k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\|^k \\
&= \left(1 - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left(\frac{|\xi|}{|\xi|} - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
&= |\xi| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, which implies that

$$\left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^3 \leq |\xi|^3 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-3} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| \left(\xi - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
&\leq \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-3} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) |\xi|^3 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
&= \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.10) we derive the second inequality in (2.9).

By the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\| &\geq |\xi| - (1-t)\|x\| - t\|y\| \\ &= (1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1}$$

and thus

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-3}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

By multiplying with $1-t$ and integrating over $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 (1-t)(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-t)[(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-3} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(\|x\| - \|y\|)} \\ &\times \int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\ &=: I(x, y, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

for $\|y\| \neq \|x\|$.

Using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\ &= (1-t)[(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} \Big|_0^1 \\ &+ \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt - (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1} \Big|_0^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-1} - (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} = \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (1-t) \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} - \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi| - \|y\|} - \frac{1}{|\xi| - \|x\|} \right) \\
&= \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2 (|\xi| - \|y\|)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$I(x, y, \xi) = \frac{1}{2(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2 (|\xi| - \|y\|)}$$

for $\|y\| \neq \|x\|$.

This implies that

$$C(f, x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)| |d\xi|}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2 (|\xi| - \|y\|)}.$$

By utilising (2.10) we then get (2.9).

For $\|y\| = \|x\|$ we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-t) [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-3} dt = \frac{1}{2(|\xi| - \|x\|)^3}$$

and the inequality (2.9) also holds with $\|x\|$ instead of $\|y\|$. \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 and if*

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \\
& \times \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \\
& \times \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\xi|}{(|\xi| - \|x\|)^2 (|\xi| - \|y\|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R . By taking γ parametrized by $\xi(t) = Re^{2\pi it}$*

where $t \in [0, 1]$, then $d\xi(t) = 2\pi i R e^{2\pi i t} dt$, $|d\xi(t)| = 2\pi R dt$, $|\xi| = R$ and by (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i t} f(Re^{2\pi i t}) (Re^{2\pi i t} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi i t} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
 & \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \\
 & \times \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi i t})| \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi i t} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
 & \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi i t})| dt \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
 & \leq \frac{R \|y - x\|^2}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi i t})| dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi i t})| < \infty$, then we have the simpler inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i t} f(Re^{2\pi i t}) (Re^{2\pi i t} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi i t} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
 & \leq 2R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\|^2 \\
 & \times \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi i t} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
 & \leq 2R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\|^2 \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
 & \leq \frac{R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\|^2}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following alternative upper bounds:

Theorem 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\left| |\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right| \right)^{-3} |d\xi| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in \gamma$. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, then for $h_{\xi}(t) := (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
&= (|\xi| - \|(1 - (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2))x + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)y\|)^{-3} \\
&= (|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-3}
\end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\
&\leq \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\
&\geq |\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\| > 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-1} \\
&\leq (|\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
&\leq (|\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-3} \\
&= (\alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-3}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the convexity of the function $(\cdot)^{-3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-3} \\
&\leq \alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|]^{-3} + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|]^{-3} \\
&= \alpha h_{\xi}(t_1) + \beta h_{\xi}(t_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$h_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \leq \alpha h_{\xi}(t_1) + \beta h_{\xi}(t_2),$$

which proves the convexity of h_{ξ} on $[0, 1]$.

By using the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality, see for instance [12, p. 11], for h_{ξ} on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\int_0^1 h_{\xi}(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [h_{\xi}(1) + h_{\xi}(0)] + h_{\xi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} [h_{\xi}(1) + h_{\xi}(0)]$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}] + \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}]
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

By making use of this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}] |d\xi| \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of Theorem 2 we then derive the desired result (2.14). \square

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 and if*

$$\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y - x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^3 dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^1 (1-t) (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-3} dt \right) |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}] |d\xi| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} |d\xi| \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-3} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-3}] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open*

disk centered in 0 and of radius R . Then by (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\
& \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R,\infty} \\
& \times \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R,\infty} \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\} \\
& \leq R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$.

Remark 3. We observe that from (2.13) and (2.16) we have the following upper bounds

$$B_1(x, y, R, f) := \frac{R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\|^2}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2(x, y, R, f) & := R \|y - x\|^2 \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

for the quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\
& \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ and $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi it})| < \infty$.

A 3d-plot for the difference of the quantities

$$K_1(x, y) := \frac{1}{(1 - \|x\|)^2 (1 - \|y\|)}$$

and

$$K_2(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 - \|y\|)^{-3} + (1 - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] + \left(1 - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3}$$

for $(x, y) \in (0.8, 0.9) \times (-0.9, -0.8)$ and $\|\cdot\| = |\cdot|$ shows that it takes both positive and negative values, meaning that some time the bound B_1 is better than B_2 and other time is worse, depending on the values of $(x, y) \in (0.8, 0.9) \times (-0.9, -0.8)$.

3. SOME EXAMPLES

The *modified Bessel function of the first kind* $I_\nu(z)$ for real number ν can be defined by the power series as [1, p. 376]

$$I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)},$$

where Γ is the *gamma function*. For $n = 0$ we have $I_0(z)$ given by

$$I_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{(k!)^2}.$$

An integral formula for real number ν is

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(\nu\theta) d\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh t - \nu t} dt,$$

which simplifies for ν an integer n to

$$I_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(n\theta) d\theta.$$

For $n = 0$ we have

$$I_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} d\theta.$$

Consider the exponential function $f(a) = \exp a$, $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Assume that $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$ for some $R > 0$. Observe that

$$|\exp(Re^{2\pi it})| = |\exp[R(\cos(2\pi t) + i \sin(2\pi t))]| = \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)]$$

and, by changing the variable $\theta = 2\pi t$, we get $dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \int_0^1 \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\pi^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I_0(R) + I_0(-R)) = I_0(R). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R . By (2.12) for the exponential function we get

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \exp y - \exp x - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} \exp(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \\
&\times \int_0^1 \exp [R \cos (2\pi t)] \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
&\leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 I_0(R) \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
&\leq \frac{R \|y - x\|^2 I_0(R)}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)}
\end{aligned}$$

and from (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad &\left\| \exp y - \exp x \right. \\
&\left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} \exp (Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
&\leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 \int_0^1 \exp [R \cos (2\pi t)] \\
&\times \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
&\leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 I_0(R) \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
&\leq R \|y - x\|^2 I_0(R) \\
&\times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\} \\
&\leq R \|y - x\|^2 I_0(R) \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the *power series* $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$ ($|\lambda| < \rho$). If $\nu(a) < \rho$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j a^j$ converges in the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} because $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| \|a^j\| < \infty$, and we can define $f(a)$ to be its sum. Clearly $f(a)$ is well defined and there are many examples of important functions on a Banach algebra \mathcal{B} that can be constructed in this way. We define the associated function

$$\lambda \mapsto f_A(\lambda) : D(0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f_A(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \lambda^n.$$

As some natural examples that are useful for applications, we can point out that, if

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad &f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\
&g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cos \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};
\end{aligned}$$

$$h(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sin \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$l(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

then the corresponding functions constructed by the use of the absolute values of the coefficients are

$$(3.5) \quad f_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

$$g_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cosh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$h_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sinh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$l_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1).$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with non-negative coefficients are:

$$(3.6) \quad \exp(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

$$\sin^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)n!} \lambda^{2n+1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

$$\tanh^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1)$$

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\beta)\Gamma(\gamma)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\gamma)} \lambda^n, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0,$$

$$\lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

where Γ is *Gamma function*.

Lemma 3. *Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. We have*

$$(3.7) \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq f_A(|\lambda|) \text{ for } \lambda \in D(0, \rho).$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in D(0, \rho)$ we have

$$|f(\lambda)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j \lambda^j|$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = f_A(|\lambda|),$$

which proves the statement. \square

Proposition 1. *Let f be an analytic function on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R)$ and $0 < R < \rho$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\
& \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \\
& \times \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
& \leq \frac{R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R)}{(R - \|x\|)^2 (R - \|y\|)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \left\| f(y) - f(x) \right. \\
& \left. - R \int_0^1 e^{2\pi it} f(Re^{2\pi it}) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} (y - x) (Re^{2\pi it} - x)^{-1} dt \right\| \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \\
& \times \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) \left\| (Re^{2\pi it} - (1-s)x - sy)^{-1} \right\|^3 ds \right) dt \\
& \leq 2R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \int_0^1 (1-s) (R - \|(1-s)x + sy\|)^{-3} ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-3} \right\} \\
& \leq R \|y - x\|^2 f_A(R) \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-3} + (R - \|x\|)^{-3} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Remarks 1, 2 and Lemma 3. As examples, one can consider the functions f and f_A listed above.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, **55**, 1972.
- [2] M. V. Boldea, S. S. Dragomir and M. Megan, New bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality. *PanAmer. Math. J.* **26** (2016), no. 3, 71–88.
- [3] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis, Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for power series in Banach algebras. *SUT J. Math.* **50** (2014), no. 1, 25–45
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Lipschitz type for power series in Banach algebras. *Ann. Math. Sil.* **No. 29** (2015), 61–83.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Lipschitz-type inequalities for analytic functions in Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2019), no. 3, 489–497.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Some new Lipschitz type inequalities for analytic functions in Banach algebras, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 23 (2020), Art. 141,
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **11** (24) (2015), no. 2, 253–266.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea, C. Buşe and M. Megan, Norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 2014:294, 19 pp.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Further bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via Grüss-Lupaş type inequalities for p -norms. *Mem. Grad. Sch. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Ser. B Math.* **49** (2016), 15–34.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Inequalities for Chebyshev functional in Banach algebras. *Cubo* **19** (2017), no. 1, 53–77.
- [12] S.S. Dragomir and C.E.M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000. (ONLINE: <http://ajmaa.org/RGMIA/monographs.php>).
- [13] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [14] J. Mikusiński, *The Bochner Integral*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1978.
- [15] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, 1973.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE, IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA