

**L_1 -NORM OSTROWSKI AND TRAPEZOID TYPE
INEQUALITIES FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN BANACH
ALGEBRAS**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with spectra $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. In this paper we show among others that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\|, \right. \\ & \left. \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi| \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Some examples for exponential function and functions defined by power series in Banach algebras are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1997, Dragomir and Wang proved the following Ostrowski type inequality [13] for the 1-norm of the derivative:

Theorem 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \left| f(u) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|u - \frac{a+b}{2}|}{b-a} \right] \|f'\|_{[a,b],1},$$

for all $u \in [a, b]$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the Lebesgue norm on $L_1[a, b]$, i.e., we recall it

$$\|g\|_{[a,b],1} := \int_a^b |g(t)| dt.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

Note that the fact that $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best constant for differentiable functions was proved in [17].

The following version of trapezoid inequality was obtained by Cerone, Dragomir and Pearce in 2000, see [3].

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebras, Analytic functions, Exponential and logarithmic function on Banach algebra, Power series.

Theorem 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have the trapezoid inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{(u-a)f(a) + (b-u)f(b)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|u - \frac{a+b}{2}|}{b-a} \right] \|f'\|_{[a,b],1},$$

for all $u \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

In order to extend these inequalities for functions defined on Banach algebras, we need the following preparations.

Let \mathcal{B} be an algebra. An *algebra norm* on \mathcal{B} is a map $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, and, further: $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. The normed algebra $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *Banach algebra* if $\|\cdot\|$ is a *complete norm*. We assume that the Banach algebra is *unital*, this means that \mathcal{B} has an identity 1 and that $\|1\| = 1$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital algebra. An element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is *invertible* if there exists an element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $ab = ba = 1$. The element b is unique; it is called the *inverse* of a and written a^{-1} or $\frac{1}{a}$. The set of invertible elements of \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. If $a, b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ then $ab \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ and $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$.

For a unital Banach algebra we also have:

- (i) If $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} < 1$, then $1 - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (ii) $\{b \in \mathcal{B} : \|1 - b\| < 1\} \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$;
- (iii) $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is an *open subset* of \mathcal{B} ;
- (iv) The map $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}) \ni a \mapsto a^{-1} \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is continuous.

For simplicity, we denote $z1$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and 1 is the identity of \mathcal{B} , by z . The *resolvent set* of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\rho(a) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})\};$$

the *spectrum* of a is $\sigma(a)$, the complement of $\rho(a)$ in \mathbb{C} , and the *resolvent function* of a is $R_a : \rho(a) \rightarrow \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, $R_a(z) := (z - a)^{-1}$. For each $z, w \in \rho(a)$ we have the identity

$$R_a(w) - R_a(z) = (z - w) R_a(z) R_a(w).$$

We also have that

$$\sigma(a) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|a\|\}.$$

The *spectral radius* of a is defined as

$$\nu(a) = \sup \{|z| : z \in \sigma(a)\}.$$

Let \mathcal{B} a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

- (i) The resolvent set $\rho(a)$ is open in \mathbb{C} ;
- (ii) For any *bounded linear functionals* $\lambda : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the function $\lambda \circ R_a$ is analytic on $\rho(a)$;
- (iii) The spectrum $\sigma(a)$ is compact and nonempty in \mathbb{C} ;
- (iv) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > \nu(a)$, we have $a^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=r} \xi^n (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi$;
- (v) We have $\nu(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a unital Banach algebra, $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and G be a domain of \mathbb{C} with $\sigma(a) \subset G$. If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on G , we define an element $f(a)$ in \mathcal{B} by

$$(1.3) \quad f(a) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} f(\xi) (\xi - a)^{-1} d\xi,$$

where $\delta \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(a) \subset \text{ins}(\delta)$, the inside of δ .

It is well known (see for instance [4, pp. 201-204]) that $f(a)$ does not depend on the choice of δ and the *Spectral Mapping Theorem* (SMT)

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma(f(a)) = f(\sigma(a))$$

holds.

Concerning other basic definitions and facts in the theory of Banach algebras, the reader can consult the classical books [14] and [18].

For some recent norm inequalities for functions on Banach algebras, see [7], [2] and [5]-[11].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$. By the convexity of G we have that $\sigma((1-t)x + ty) \subset G$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and we can define the auxiliary function $f_{x,y} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad f_{x,y}(t) := f((1-t)x + ty), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Lemma 1. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$. The function $f_{x,y} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$ as a function of t and we have*

$$(2.2) \quad f'_{x,y}(t) = D(f)((1-t)x + ty)(y-x)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$, where $D(f)(\cdot)(\cdot)$ is the Fréchet derivative of function f as a function defined on the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} by equation (1.3).

We also have the lateral derivatives

$$(2.3) \quad f'_{x,y}(0+) = D(f)(x)(y-x) \quad \text{and} \quad f'_{x,y}(1-) = D(f)(y)(y-x).$$

Proof. We prove only for the interior points $t \in (0, 1)$. Let h be in a small interval around 0 such that $t+h \in (0, 1)$. Then for $h \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_{x,y}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-(t+h))x + (t+h)y) - f((1-t)x + ty)}{h} \\ &= \frac{f((1-t)x + ty + h(y-x)) - f((1-t)x + ty)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{x,y}(t) &= \frac{df_{x,y}(t)}{dt} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_{x,y}(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f((1-t)x + ty + h(y-x)) - f((1-t)x + ty)}{h} \right] \\ &= D(f)((1-t)x + ty)(y-x), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.5).

The proof is similar for the lateral derivatives. \square

Lemma 2. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the domain G and $x \in \mathcal{B}$, with $\sigma(x) \subset G$, then for $v \in \mathcal{B}$ we have*

$$(2.4) \quad D(f)(x)(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} v (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi,$$

where $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$, the inside of γ .

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a small interval around 0 such that for h in this interval $\sigma(x + hv) \subset \text{ins}(\delta) \subset G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x + hv) - f(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x - hv)^{-1} d\xi - \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left[(\xi - x - hv)^{-1} - (\xi - x)^{-1} \right] d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} h \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) \left[(\xi - x - hv)^{-1} v (\xi - x)^{-1} \right] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which gives for $h \neq 0$ that

$$\frac{f(x + hv) - f(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x - hv)^{-1} v (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi.$$

By taking the limit over $h \rightarrow 0$ and using the properties of the integral, we get (2.4). \square

Lemma 3. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad f'_{x,y}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} d\xi$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

We also have the lateral derivatives

$$(2.6) \quad f'_{x,y}(0+) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - x)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - x)^{-1} d\xi,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad f'_{x,y}(1-) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\xi) (\xi - y)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - y)^{-1} d\xi.$$

The proof is obvious by Lemmas 1 and 2.

Lemma 4. *With the assumptions of Lemma 3 we have the bounds*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{\min \{ (|\xi| - \|x\|)^2, (|\xi| - \|y\|)^2 \}} |d\xi|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. By taking the norm in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} (y-x) (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\| |d\xi| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\xi| \\
 & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-2} \left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\xi|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$, which proves the first inequality in (2.8).

Since

$$\left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \leq (1-t) \left\| \frac{x}{\xi} \right\| + t \left\| \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| < 1 - t + t = 1$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, hence

$$\left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[(1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right]^k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\| & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\|^k \\
 & = \left(1 - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
 & = \left(\frac{|\xi|}{|\xi|} - \left\| (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} + t \frac{y}{\xi} \right\| \right)^{-1} \\
 & = |\xi| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$, which implies that

$$\left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \leq |\xi|^2 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| |\xi|^{-2} \left\| \left(1 - (1-t) \frac{x}{\xi} - t \frac{y}{\xi} \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\xi| \\ & \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} |d\xi| \end{aligned}$$

and we derive the second inequality in (2.8).

By the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\| & \geq |\xi| - (1-t)\|x\| - t\|y\| \\ & = (1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-1} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1}$$

and thus

$$(|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} \leq [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. This proves the third inequality in (2.8).

By the convexity of the power function $(\cdot)^{-2}$ we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} \\ & \leq (1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, which proves the fourth inequality in (2.8).

Finally, observe that

$$(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} \leq \max \left\{ (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}, (|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} \right\}$$

and the last part of (2.8) is thus proved. \square

Corollary 1. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open*

disk centered in 0 and of radius R , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| \left\| (Re^{2\pi is} - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| (R - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| [(1-t)(R - \|x\|) + t(R - \|y\|)]^{-2} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \left[(1-t)(R - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(R - \|y\|)^{-2} \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
& \leq \frac{R \|y - x\|}{\min \left\{ (R - \|x\|)^2, (R - \|y\|)^2 \right\}} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

It follows by taking γ parametrized by $\xi(s) = Re^{2\pi is}$ where $s \in [0, 1]$, then $d\xi(s) = 2\pi i Re^{2\pi is} ds$, $|d\xi(s)| = 2\pi R ds$, $|\xi| = R$ and by (2.8) we get (2.10).

Remark 1. If $\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty$, then from (2.8) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad & \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left\| (\xi - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left[(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\min \left\{ (|\xi| - \|x\|)^2, (|\xi| - \|y\|)^2 \right\}} |d\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also, if $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} |f(Re^{2\pi is})| < \infty$, then from (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \int_0^1 \left\| (Re^{2\pi is} - (1-t)x - ty)^{-1} \right\|^2 ds \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} (R - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} [(1-t)(R - \|x\|) + t(R - \|y\|)]^{-2} \\
& \leq R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \left[(1-t)(R - \|x\|)^{-2} + t(R - \|y\|)^{-2} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty}}{\min \left\{ (R - \|x\|)^2, (R - \|y\|)^2 \right\}},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

We have the following upper bounds for the 1-norm of the derivative:

Lemma 5. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad & \int_0^1 \left\| f'_{x,y}(t) \right\| dt \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in \gamma$. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, then for $g_{\xi}(t) := (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2}$, $t \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
 & = (|\xi| - \|(1 - (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2))x + (\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)y\|)^{-2} \\
 & = (|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\
 & \leq \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\| \\
 & \geq |\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\| > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (|\xi| - \|\alpha[(1-t_1)x + t_1y] + \beta[(1-t_2)x + t_2y]\|)^{-1} \\
 & \leq (|\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_{\xi}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
 & \leq (|\xi| - \alpha\|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\| + \beta\|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|)^{-2} \\
 & = (\alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the convexity of the function $(\cdot)^{-2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|] + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|])^{-2} \\
 & \leq \alpha[|\xi| - \|(1-t_1)x + t_1y\|]^{-2} + \beta[|\xi| - \|(1-t_2)x + t_2y\|]^{-2} \\
 & = \alpha g_{\xi}(t_1) + \beta g_{\xi}(t_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$g_\xi(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \leq \alpha g_\xi(t_1) + \beta g_\xi(t_2),$$

which proves the convexity of g_ξ on $[0, 1]$.

By using the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality, see for instance [12, p. 11], for g_ξ on $[0, 1]$ we get

$$\int_0^1 g_\xi(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [g_\xi(1) + g_\xi(0)] + g_\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} [g_\xi(1) + g_\xi(0)]$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] + \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] \end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \in \gamma$.

From (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_0^1 \left(\int_\gamma |f(\xi)| (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} |d\xi| \right) dt \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired result (2.13). \square

Corollary 2. *Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R , then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad & \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. If $\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty$, then from (2.13) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $\|f\|_{R, \infty} := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi i s})| < \infty$, then from (2.14) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y-x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y-x\| \|f\|_{R, \infty} [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}].
\end{aligned}$$

From a different perspective we also have the following upper bound:

Lemma 6. With the assumptions of Lemma 5,

$$(2.17) \quad \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y-x\| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|.$$

Proof. From (2.8) we get, by taking the integral and by using Fubini's theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y-x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(\int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \right) |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-2} dt \\
& = -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|)]^{-1} dt \\
& = -\frac{1}{\|x\| - \|y\|} \left[(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|y\|) \right]^{-1} \Big|_0^1 \\
& = \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-1} - (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-1} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{\|y\| - \|x\|} \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} = \frac{1}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\|y\| \neq \|x\|$, which, by (2.18), proves the last part of (2.17).

If $\|y\| = \|x\|$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (|\xi| - \|(1-t)x + ty\|)^{-2} dt \\ & \leq \int_0^1 [(1-t)(|\xi| - \|x\|) + t(|\xi| - \|x\|)]^{-2} dt = (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which also gives the bound for $\|y\| = \|x\|$. \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Corollary 2,*

$$(2.19) \quad \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \leq \frac{R\|y-x\|}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds.$$

Remark 3. *If $\|f\|_{\gamma,\infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty$, then from (2.17) we derive*

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma,\infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\xi|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)}.$$

Also, if $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi is})| < \infty$, then from (2.14) we derive the simple bound

$$(2.21) \quad \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \leq \frac{R\|y-x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty}}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)}.$$

Remark 4. *From inequality (2.21) we have the following bound for the quantity*

$$\frac{1}{\|f\|_{R,\infty}} \int_0^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt$$

$$B_{1,R}(x,y) := \frac{R\|y-x\|}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)}$$

while from (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2,R}(x,y) & := \frac{1}{2} R \|y-x\| \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R-\|y\|)^{-2} + (R-\|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$.

By taking $R = 1$, $x, y \in (-1, 1)$, $\|\cdot\| = |\cdot|$ and doing a 3-dimensional plot for the difference $B_{1,R}(x,y) - B_{2,R}(x,y)$ on the box $(x,y) \in (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$, we observe that this difference takes both positive and negative values showing that some time one bound is better than the other.

Therefore the same conclusion applies for the inequalities (2.16) and (2.21) meaning that some time one inequality is better than the other.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We have the following Ostrowski and midpoint type inequalities in terms of 1-norm:

Theorem 3. Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$. Then we have the norm inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ & \leq \lambda \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + (1-\lambda) \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the midpoint inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1}.$$

Proof. If we use the integration by parts formula for the Bochner's integral, then we have

$$\int_0^\lambda t f'_{x,y}(t) dt = \lambda f_{x,y}(\lambda) - \int_0^\lambda f_{x,y}(t) dt$$

and

$$\int_\lambda^1 (t-1) f'_{x,y}(t) dt = (1-\lambda) f_{x,y}(\lambda) - \int_\lambda^1 f_{x,y}(t) dt,$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Adding these two equalities, we obtain the Montgomery type identity (see for example [16, p. 565]):

$$(3.3) \quad f_{x,y}(\lambda) - \int_0^1 f_{x,y}(t) dt = \int_0^\lambda t f'_{x,y}(t) dt + \int_\lambda^1 (t-1) f'_{x,y}(t) dt,$$

namely, by (2.2), the equality of interest in terms of Fréchet derivative

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \\ & = \int_a^\lambda t D(f)((1-t)x + ty)(y-x) dt \\ & + \int_\lambda^1 (t-1) D(f)((1-t)x + ty)(y-x) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm in (3.3) and use the integral's properties, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & \left\| f_{x,y}(\lambda) - \int_0^1 f_{x,y}(t) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \left\| \int_0^\lambda t f'_{x,y}(t) dt \right\| + \left\| \int_\lambda^1 (t-1) f'_{x,y}(t) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \int_0^\lambda t \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt + \int_\lambda^1 (1-t) \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
 & \leq \lambda \int_0^\lambda \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt + (1-\lambda) \int_\lambda^1 \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
 & = \lambda \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + (1-\lambda) \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \\
 & = \max\{\lambda, 1-\lambda\} \left(\|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \right) \\
 & = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1},
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.1). □

Corollary 4. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \times \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y - x\| \int_{\gamma} |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Lemma 5 and the last inequality in (3.1).

Remark 5. If $\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty$, then from (3.6) and (3.7) we derive for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad &\left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
&\quad \times \int_{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} |d\xi| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
&\quad \times \int_{\gamma} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad &\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y - x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5. Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open

disk centered in 0 and of radius R , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y - x\| \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Corollary 1 and the last inequality in (3.1).

Remark 6. If $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi is})| < \infty$, then from (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad & \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y-x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R-\|y\|)^{-2} + (R-\|x\|)^{-2} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y-x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[(R-\|y\|)^{-2} + (R-\|x\|)^{-2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The interested reader may state sharper inequalities than these by using Lemma 5 and (3.1), but they are more complicated and we do not state them here.

Theorem 4. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$. Then we have the norm inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \lambda \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + (1-\lambda) \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1}.$$

Proof. If we use the integration by parts formula for the Bochner's integral, we have

$$\int_0^1 (t-\lambda) f'_{x,y}(t) dt = (1-\lambda) f_{x,y}(1) + \lambda f_{x,y}(0) - \int_0^1 f_{x,y}(t) dt,$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, namely we have the following equality of interest in terms of Fréchet derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.16) \quad & (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \\
 & = \int_0^1 (t-\lambda) f'_{x,y}(t) dt = \int_0^1 (t-\lambda) D(f)((1-t)x + ty)(y-x) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm in (3.16), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 |t-\lambda| \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
 & = \int_0^\lambda (\lambda-t) \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt + \int_\lambda^1 (t-\lambda) \|f'_{x,y}(t)\| dt \\
 & \leq \lambda \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + (1-\lambda) \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \\
 & \leq \max\{\lambda, 1-\lambda\} \left(\|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,\lambda],1} + \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[\lambda,1],1} \right) \\
 & = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \|f'_{x,y}\|_{[0,1],1},
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the inequality (3.14). \square

Corollary 6. *Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.17) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
 & \times \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.18) \quad & \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y-x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi| \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left(|\xi| - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y-x\| \int_\gamma |f(\xi)| \left[(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] |d\xi|.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Lemma 4 and the last inequality in (3.14).

Remark 7. If $\|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} := \sup_{\xi \in \gamma} |f(\xi)| < \infty$, then from (3.17) and (3.18) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.19) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} \left(\left| \xi - \frac{x+y}{2} \right| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\gamma} \left(\left| \xi - \frac{x+y}{2} \right| \right)^{-2} |d\xi| \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|y-x\| \|f\|_{\gamma, \infty} \int_{\gamma} [(|\xi| - \|y\|)^{-2} + (|\xi| - \|x\|)^{-2}] |d\xi|.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 7. Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times [(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2}] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad & \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y - x\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y - x\| \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by Corollary 1 and the last inequality in (3.14).

Remark 8. If $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi is})| < \infty$, then from (3.19) and (3.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \\
& \quad \times \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.24) \quad & \left\| \frac{f(y) + f(x)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|y - x\| \|f\|_{R,\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(R - \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \right)^{-2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} R \|f\|_{R,\infty} \|y - x\| \left[(R - \|y\|)^{-2} + (R - \|x\|)^{-2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By utilising Lemma 6 we have the following set of bounds as well:

Corollary 8. Assume that $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on the convex domain G and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \neq y$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset G$ while $\gamma \subset G$ is taken to be close rectifiable curve in G and such that $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset \text{ins}(\gamma)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad & \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y - x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have

$$(3.26) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|.$$

We also have

$$(3.27) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|y-x\| \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and

$$(3.28) \quad \left\| \frac{f(y) + f(x)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \|y-x\| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(\xi)|}{(|\xi| - \|y\|)(|\xi| - \|x\|)} |d\xi|.$$

Remark 9. Assume that $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function on the convex domain D and $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R) \subset D$ where $D(0, R)$ is an open disk centered in 0 and of radius R , then from Corollary 8 we derive,

$$(3.29) \quad \left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{R\|y-x\|}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(3.30) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{R\|y-x\|}{2(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds.$$

We also have

$$(3.31) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{R\|y-x\|}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and

$$(3.32) \quad \left\| \frac{f(y) + f(x)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{R\|y-x\|}{2(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds.$$

If $\|f\|_{R,\infty} := \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |f(Re^{2\pi is})| < \infty$, then we can replace $\int_0^1 |f(Re^{2\pi is})| ds$ in the inequalities (3.29)-(3.32) by $\|f\|_{R,\infty}$.

4. SOME EXAMPLES

The *modified Bessel function of the first kind* $I_\nu(z)$ for real number ν can be defined by the power series as [1, p. 376]

$$I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\nu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)},$$

where Γ is the *gamma function*. For $n = 0$ we have $I_0(z)$ given by

$$I_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}z^2\right)^k}{(k!)^2}.$$

An integral formula for real number ν is

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(\nu\theta) d\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh t - \nu t} dt,$$

which simplifies for ν an integer n to

$$I_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} \cos(n\theta) d\theta.$$

For $n = 0$ we have

$$I_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos \theta} d\theta.$$

Consider the exponential function $f(a) = \exp a$, $a \in \mathcal{B}$. Assume that $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$ for some $R > 0$. Observe that

$$|\exp(Re^{2\pi it})| = |\exp[R(\cos(2\pi t) + i \sin(2\pi t))]| = \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)]$$

and, by changing the variable $\theta = 2\pi t$, we get $dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad & \int_0^1 \exp[R \cos(2\pi t)] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\pi^{2\pi} \exp[R \cos \theta] d\theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I_0(R) + I_0(-R)) = I_0(R). \end{aligned}$$

By utilising Remark 9 written for $f(t) = \exp t$ we get for $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\|, \|y\| < R$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & \left\| \exp((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 \exp((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{RI_0(R) \|y-x\|}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \exp\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 \exp((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{R \|y-x\| I_0(R)}{2(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)}.$$

We also have

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda) \exp y + \lambda \exp x - \int_0^1 \exp((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{R \|y-x\| I_0(R)}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right]$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and, in particular,

$$(4.5) \quad \left\| \frac{\exp y + \exp x}{2} - \int_0^1 \exp((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{R \|y-x\| I_0(R)}{2(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)}.$$

Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the *power series* $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$ ($|\lambda| < \rho$). If $\nu(a) < \rho$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j a^j$ converges in the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} because $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| \|a^j\| < \infty$, and we can define $f(a)$ to be its sum. Clearly $f(a)$ is well defined and there are many examples of important functions on a Banach algebra \mathcal{B} that can be constructed in this way. We define the associated function

$$\lambda \mapsto f_A(\lambda) : D(0, \rho) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f_A(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \lambda^n.$$

As some natural examples that are useful for applications, we can point out that, if

$$(4.6) \quad f(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1+\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cos \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ h(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sin \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ l(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1);$$

then the corresponding functions constructed by the use of the absolute values of the coefficients are

$$(4.7) \quad f_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \lambda^n = \ln \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1); \\ g_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \lambda^{2n} = \cosh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ h_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \lambda^{2n+1} = \sinh \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \\ l_A(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in D(0, 1).$$

Other important examples of functions as power series representations with non-negative coefficients are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad \exp(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \\
 \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0,1); \\
 \sin^{-1}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}(2n+1)n!} \lambda^{2n+1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0,1); \\
 \tanh^{-1}(\lambda) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \lambda^{2n-1}, \quad \lambda \in D(0,1) \\
 {}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\beta)\Gamma(\gamma)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\gamma)} \lambda^n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, \\
 &\lambda \in D(0,1);
 \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is *Gamma function*.

Lemma 7. *Let f be an analytic functions on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. We have*

$$(4.9) \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq f_A(|\lambda|) \text{ for } \lambda \in D(0, \rho).$$

Proof. For $\lambda \in D(0, \rho)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(\lambda)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \lambda^j \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j \lambda^j| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| |\lambda|^j = f_A(|\lambda|),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement. \square

Proposition 1. *Let f be an analytic function on the open disk $D(0, \rho)$ given by the power series $f(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \lambda^j$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \subset D(0, R)$ and $0 < R < \rho$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad &\left\| f((1-\lambda)x + \lambda y) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{R \|y-x\| f_A(R)}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and

$$(4.11) \quad \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{R \|y-x\| f_A(R)}{2(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.12) \quad &\left\| (1-\lambda)f(y) + \lambda f(x) - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{R \|y-x\| f_A(R)}{(R-\|y\|)(R-\|x\|)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \left| \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and

$$(4.13) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \right\| \leq \frac{R \|y - x\| f_A(R)}{2(R - \|y\|)(R - \|x\|)}.$$

The proof follows by Corollary 8 and Lemma 7. As examples, one can consider the functions f and f_A listed above.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, **55**, 1972.
- [2] M. V. Boldea, S. S. Dragomir and M. Megan, New bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via a Grüss-Lupaş type inequality. *PanAmer. Math. J.* **26** (2016), no. 3, 71–88.
- [3] P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir and C. E. M. Pearce, A generalized trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation. *Turkish J. Math.* **24** (2000), no. 2, 147–163.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis, Second Edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities for power series in Banach algebras. *SUT J. Math.* **50** (2014), no. 1, 25–45
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Lipschitz type for power series in Banach algebras. *Ann. Math. Sil.* **No. 29** (2015), 61–83.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Lipschitz-type inequalities for analytic functions in Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2019), no. 3, 489–497.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **11** (24) (2015), no. 2, 253–266.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea, C. Buşe and M. Megan, Norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 2014:294, 19 pp.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Further bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via Grüss-Lupaş type inequalities for p -norms. *Mem. Grad. Sch. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Ser. B Math.* **49** (2016), 15–34.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, Inequalities for Chebyshev functional in Banach algebras. *Cubo* **19** (2017), no. 1, 53–77.
- [12] S.S. Dragomir and C.E.M. Pearce, *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000. (ONLINE: <http://ajmaa.org/RGMIA/monographs.php>).
- [13] S. S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_1 norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **28**(1997), 239-244.
- [14] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [15] J. Mikusiński, *The Bochner Integral*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1978.
- [16] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities for Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [17] T. C. Peachey, A. McAndrew and S. S. Dragomir, The best constant in an inequality of Ostrowski type, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **30** (1999), No. 3, 219-222.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, 1973.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE, IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA