

**$p$ -SCHATTEN NORM INEQUALITIES FOR WEIGHTED  
ČEBYŠEV'S FUNCTIONAL VIA A CERONE-DRAGOMIR  
RESULT**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR<sup>1,2</sup>

ABSTRACT. An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is said to belong to the *von Neumann-Schatten class*  $\mathcal{B}_p(H)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  if the  $p$ -Schatten norm is finite

$$\|A\|_p := [\text{tr}(|A|^p)]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

For  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  a probability density distribution we define the functional

$$D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k B_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k B_k.$$

If  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset \mathcal{B}_p(H)$ ,  $\{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset \mathcal{B}_q(H)$  are sequences of operators, then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ ,

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q} := \left( 0, \|\Delta B_1\|_q, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \right)$$

and  $\Delta B_i := B_{i+1} - B_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are the usual forward differences.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space consisting of a set  $\Omega$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of parts of  $\Omega$  and a countably additive and positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

For a  $\mu$ -measurable function  $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with  $w(x) \geq 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , consider the Lebesgue space  $L_w(\Omega, \mu) := \{h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h \text{ is } \mu\text{-measurable and } \int_{\Omega} w(x) |h(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty\}$ . Assume  $\int_{\Omega} w(x) d\mu(x) = 1$ . In order to simplify the notation for the integrals, we do not write the variable, namely, instead of  $\int_{\Omega} w(x) d\mu(x)$  we simply write  $\int_{\Omega} w d\mu$ .

If  $h, k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are  $\mu$ -measurable functions and  $h, k, hk \in L_w(\Omega, \mu)$ , then we may consider the weighted Čebyšev functional in the following form

$$D_w(h, k) := \int_{\Omega} whk d\mu - \int_{\Omega} wh d\mu \int_{\Omega} wk d\mu.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 47A60.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -Schatten norms, Grüss' inequality, Čebyšev's inequality, Norm inequalities.

The following result is known in the literature as the Grüss inequality, see for instance [7]:

$$(1.1) \quad |D_w(h, k)| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma - \gamma) (\Delta - \delta),$$

provided

$$(1.2) \quad -\infty < \gamma \leq h \leq \Gamma < \infty, \quad -\infty < \delta \leq k \leq \Delta < \infty$$

$\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$ . The constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  is sharp in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

For classical and more recent upper bounds related to the Čebyšev functional see [3]-[7] and [10]-[18].

Assume that  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are  $n$ -tuples of real numbers and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  a density distribution, namely  $p_k \geq 0$  with  $P_n := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$ . We consider the *weighted Čebyšev's functional*

$$D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k a_k b_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k a_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k b_k.$$

In the case when  $\mathbf{p} = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$  is the *uniform distribution* we also consider the *Čebyšev's functional*

$$D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

In [7] Cerone and Dragomir proved among others the following *refinement of Grüss' discrete inequality*:

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are  $n$ -tuples of real numbers and so that  $-\infty < \gamma \leq a_k \leq \Gamma < \infty$ ,  $-\infty < \delta \leq b_k \leq \Delta < \infty$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta - \delta) \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| b_k - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j b_j \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta - \delta) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n p_k b_k^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k b_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\Delta - \delta) (\Gamma - \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

The constants  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{4}$  are best possible.

In particular, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta - \delta) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| b_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\Delta - \delta) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\Delta - \delta) (\Gamma - \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

In order to extend these results for  $p$ -Schatten norms we need the following preparations.

Let  $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . If  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , we say that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is of *trace class* if

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of  $\|A\|_1$  does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$  the set of trace class operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  to be

$$(1.6) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  an orthonormal basis of  $H$ . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if  $H$  is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.6) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

**Theorem 2.** *We have:*

(i) *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  then  $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and*

$$(1.7) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ , then  $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and*

$$(1.8) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii)  *$\text{tr}(\cdot)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$  with  $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$ ;*

(iv) *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$  then  $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$  and  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ ;*

(v)  *$\mathcal{B}_{fin}(H)$ , the space of operators of finite rank, is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ .*

An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  is said to belong to the *von Neumann-Schatten class*  $\mathcal{B}_p(H)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  if the  $p$ -Schatten norm is finite [21, p. 60-64]

$$\|A\|_p := [\text{tr}(|A|^p)]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

For  $1 < p < q < \infty$  we have that

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{B}_1(H) \subset \mathcal{B}_p(H) \subset \mathcal{B}_q(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \|A\|_1 \geq \|A\|_p \geq \|A\|_q \geq \|A\|.$$

For  $p \geq 1$  the functional  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a *norm* on the  $*$ -ideal  $\mathcal{B}_p(H)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}_p(H), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a Banach space.

Also, see for instance [21, p. 60-64],

$$(1.11) \quad \|A\|_p = \|A^*\|_p, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_p(H)$$

$$(1.12) \quad \|AB\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_p, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}_p(H)$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad \|AB\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|, \quad \|BA\|_p \leq \|B\| \|A\|_p, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_p(H), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

This implies that

$$(1.14) \quad \|CAB\|_p \leq \|C\| \|A\|_p \|B\|, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_p(H), \quad B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

In terms of  $p$ -Schatten norm we have the Hölder inequality for  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

$$(1.15) \quad (|\operatorname{tr}(AB)| \leq) \|AB\|_1 \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_q, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_p(H), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_q(H).$$

For the theory of trace functionals and their applications the reader is referred to [20] and [21].

For some classical trace inequalities see [8], [9], and [19], which are continuations of the work of Bellman [1].

## 2. 1-SCHATTEN NORM INEQUALITIES

Let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution. For  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in B(H)$  we define the functional

$$(2.1) \quad D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) := \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k B_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k B_k.$$

The following inequality of Grüss type holds.

**Theorem 3.** For  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$ ,  $\{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_q(H)$  are sequences of operators and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution, then we have the inequality:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 \\ & \leq D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p} := \left( 0, \|\Delta A_1\|_p, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \right), \quad \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q} := \left( 0, \|\Delta B_1\|_q, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \right)$$

and  $\Delta A_k := A_{k+1} - A_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are the usual forward differences.

In particular, if  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_2(H)$ , then for  $p = q = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 \\
 & \leq D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},2}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},2}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_2 \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_2 \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_2 \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us start with the following identity in Banach algebra  $B(H)$  which can be proved by direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k B_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k B_k &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j (A_j - A_i)(B_j - B_i) \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (A_j - A_i)(B_j - B_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $i < j$ , we can write  $A_j - A_i = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k$  and  $B_j - B_i = \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \Delta B_l$ . Using the generalized triangle inequality and the property (1.15), we have successively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \sum_{l=k}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_1 \\
 & \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \left\| \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_1 \\
 & \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \left\| \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \right\|_p \left\| \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_q \\
 & \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right) \\
 &= D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which prove the first inequality in (2.2).

Now, if we use the Cerone-Dragomir inequality (1.3) for  $(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q})| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the second part of (2.2).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In the case of uniformly distributed probabilities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & \|D_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 \\
& \leq D_n(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, we have:

**Theorem 4.** For  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$ ,  $\{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_q(H)$  are sequences of operators and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution, then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 \leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{e} := (1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

In particular, if  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_2(H)$ , then for  $p = q = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, 2}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad \times \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (2.4) we also derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \max_{k \in \{i, \dots, j-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\
 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\
 &= \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right) \\
 &= \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^n p_i p_j (j-i) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \right) \\
 &= \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ . This proves the first inequality in (2.6).

Now, by the use of (1.3) we get for  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad |D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q})| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the last part of (2.6).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in (B_p(H))^n$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in (B_q(H))^n$  for  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad \|D_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_n(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} n \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q} := \left( 0, \|\Delta B_1\|_q, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \right)$ .

In particular, if  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in (B_2(H))^n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad \|D_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_n(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},2}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} n \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},2} := \left( 0, \|\Delta B_1\|_2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2 \right)$ .

*Proof.* From (2.6) we get for the uniform distribution that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad \|D_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_n(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n j \right| \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q.
\end{aligned}$$



Now, observe that, if  $[\cdot]$  is the integer part function, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^n \left| k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right| \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \left| k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right| + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \left| k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right| \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{n+1}{2} - k \right) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \left( k - \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{n+1}{2} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} k + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n k - \frac{n+1}{2} \left( n - \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \right) \\
 &= 2 \frac{n+1}{2} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - \frac{n+1}{2} n - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} k + \sum_{k=1}^n k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} k \\
 &= 2 \frac{n+1}{2} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - \frac{n+1}{2} n + \frac{n+1}{2} n - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} k \\
 &= 2 \frac{n+1}{2} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - 2 \frac{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor (\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1)}{2} = \\
 &= (n+1) \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \\
 &= \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \left[ (n+1) - \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] - 1 \right] = \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \left( n - \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} \right] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.12), we derive (2.10).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** For  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$ ,  $\{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_q(H)$  are sequences of operators and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution. If  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ , then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})]^{1/\beta} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p,\alpha} &: = \left( 0, \|\Delta A_1\|_p^\alpha, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right), \\
\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q,\beta} &: = \left( 0, \|\Delta B_1\|_q^\beta, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_2(H)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},2,\alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},2,\beta})]^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\
&\times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As above we have

$$(2.15) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q.$$

By Hölder's inequality for  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$  we have for  $j > i$  that

$$\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \leq (j-i)^{1/\beta} \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \leq (j-i)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta},$$

which gives, by multiplication, that

$$\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q \leq (j-i) \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

Therefore, by weighted Hölder's inequality we can state

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\ & \leq \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \left[ \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \right]^\alpha \right]^{1/\alpha} \\ & \quad \times \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \left[ \left( \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right]^\beta \right]^{1/\beta} \\ & = \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right]^{1/\alpha} \\ & \quad \times \left[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right]^{1/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j (j-i) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right) \\ & = D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A},p,\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (j-i) \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta = D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B},q,\beta}).$$

Therefore, by (2.16)

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_q \\ \leq [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})]^{1/\beta}.$$

Utilising (2.15) and (2.17) we derive the first inequality in (2.13).

By (1.3) we have

$$(2.18) \quad |D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha,$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad |D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta.$$

Therefore by (2.18) and (2.19) we get

$$[D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})]^{1/\beta} \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \right]^{1/\alpha} \\ \times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \right]^{1/\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{1/\alpha} \\
&\times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right]^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \left[ \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right]^{1/\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right]^{1/\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the second part of (2.13).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in (B_p(H))^n$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in (B_q(H))^n$  for  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})]^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^\beta \right)^{1/\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ .

In particular, if  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_2(H)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.21) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, 2, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, 2, \beta})]^{1/\beta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_2^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_2^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** *If we take in (2.13)  $\alpha = p$  and  $\beta = q$ , then we get*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.22) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_1 &\leq [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, p})]^{1/p} [D_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, q})]^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^q \right)^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_q^q \right)^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we use the properties of trace, we derive from (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.23) \quad &\left| \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k B_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k B_k \right) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \\
&\times \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_k|^p \right) \right]^{1/p} \left[ \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\Delta B_k|^q \right) \right]^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in (B_p(H))^n$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in (B_q(H))^n$  for  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

In particular, if  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n), \mathbf{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in (B_2(H))^n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.24) \quad &\left| \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k B_k - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k A_k \sum_{k=1}^n p_k B_k \right) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \right)^2 \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_k|^2 \right) \operatorname{tr} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\Delta B_k|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. $p$ -SCHATTEN NORMS INEQUALITIES

We have the following results in terms of  $p$ -Schatten norms:

**Theorem 6.** For  $p \geq 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$  are sequences of operators and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution, then we

have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_p &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, p}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad \times \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the generalized triangle inequality and the property (1.12), we have successively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \sum_{l=k}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \left\| \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \left\| \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \Delta A_k \right\|_p \left\| \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \Delta B_l \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \|\Delta B_l\|_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

By utilising a similar argument to the one from the proof of Theorem 4 we derive the desired result (3.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** For  $p \geq 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$  are sequences of operators, then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad \|D_n(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_p &\leq \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p D_n(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, p}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \\
 &\quad \times \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} \|\Delta A_k\|_p \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we also have:

**Theorem 7.** For  $p \geq 1$ , assume that  $\{A_k\}_{k=0}^n, \{B_k\}_{k=0}^n \subset B_p(H)$  are sequences of operators and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a probability density distribution. If  $\alpha, \beta > 1$

with  $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ , then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_p \\
& \leq [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, \alpha})]^{1/\alpha} [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, \beta})]^{1/\beta} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & \|D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})\|_p \leq [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, p, 2})]^{1/2} [D_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{B}, q, 2})]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \left| k - \sum_{j=1}^n j p_j \right| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 p_k - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k p_k \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} (n-1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta A_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|\Delta B_k\|_p^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bellman, Some inequalities for positive definite matrices, in: E.F. Beckenbach (Ed.), *General Inequalities 2*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on General Inequalities, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980, pp. 89–90.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp.
- [3] M. Biernacki, Sur une inégalité entre les intégrales due à Tchebyscheff. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska* (Poland), **A5**(1951), 23-29.
- [4] K. Boukerrioua, and A. Guezane-Lakoud, On generalization of Čebyšev type inequalities. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** (2007), no. 2, Article 55, 4 pp.
- [5] P. L. Čebyšev, O približennyh vyraženiach odnih integralov čerez drugie. *Soobščeniya i protokoly zasedaniy Matematičeskogo obščestva pri Imperatorskom Har'kovskom Universitete* No. 2, 93–98; *Polnoe sobranie sočineniy P. L. Čebyševa*. Moskva–Leningrad, 1948a, (1882), 128-131.
- [6] P. L. Čebyšev, Ob odnom rjade, dostavljajuščem predel'nye veličiny integralov pri razloženií podintegral'noj funkcii na množeteli. *Priloženi k 57 tomu Zapisk Imp. Akad. Nauk*, No. 4; *Polnoe sobranie sočineniy P. L. Čebyševa*. Moskva–Leningrad, 1948b, (1883), 157-169.
- [7] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, A refinement of the Grüss inequality and applications, *Tamkang J. Math.* **38**(2007), No. 1, 37-49. Preprint available at *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **5**(2) (2002), Art. 14. [ONLINE <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v5n2.html>].
- [8] D. Chang, A matrix trace inequality for products of Hermitian matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **237** (1999) 721–725.



- [9] I. D. Coop, On matrix trace inequalities and related topics for products of Hermitian matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994) 999–1001.3.
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. xii+121 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1520-6.
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of Ostrowski and Trapezoidal Type*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012. x+112 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4614-1778-1.
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea, C. Buşe and M. Megan, Norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* **2014**, 2014:294 Online <http://www.journalofinequalitiesandapplications.com/content/2014/1/294>.
- [13] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New norm inequalities of Čebyšev type for power series in Banach algebras, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 65. Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a65.pdf>.
- [14] S. S. Dragomir, M. V. Boldea and M. Megan, New bounds for Čebyšev functional for power series in Banach algebras via a Grüss'-Lupaş type inequality, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 104. Online <http://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a104.pdf>.
- [15] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005..
- [16] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von  $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$ , *Math. Z.*, **39**(1935), 215-226.
- [17] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [18] D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, History, variations and generalisations of the Čebyšev inequality and the question of some priorities. *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* **No. 461–497** (1974), 1–30.
- [19] H. Neudecker, A matrix trace inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **166** (1992) 302–303.
- [20] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [21] V. A. Zagrebnov, *Gibbs Semigroups*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Volume 273, Birkhäuser, 2019

<sup>1</sup>MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

*E-mail address:* sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

*URL:* <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

<sup>2</sup>DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.