

**DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE
MATRICES VIA JENSEN'S INEQUALITY FOR EXPONENTIAL
FUNCTION**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove among others that, if $(A_j)_{j=1,\dots,m}$ are positive definite matrices of order n and $p_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [9, pp. 211-212]

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad J_n(A) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1.1) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities.

Ky Fan ([1, p. 63] or [9, p. 212]), namely

$$(1.2) \quad \det((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (1.2) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [8], see also [9, p. 212]

$$(1.3) \quad \det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (1.3) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1}\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j)]^{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$(1.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right],$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1.1) one can also prove the result, see [9, p. 212],

$$(1.5) \quad \det(A) = \det(A_{1n}) \leq \det(A_{1k}) \det(A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the determinant $\det(A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det(A_{rs}) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$(1.6) \quad \det(A) \leq a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}.$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$(1.7) \quad [\det(A + B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det(A)]^{1/n} + [\det(B)]^{1/n}$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [9]. For some recent results see [3]-[7].

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we prove among others that, if $(A_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ are positive definite matrices and $p_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j =$

1, then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \\
&\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

2. INEQUALITIES VIA SINGLE INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

We have the following result:

Theorem 1. *Assume that $(A_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ are positive definite and $p_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - m^{n/2+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
&\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - m^{n/2+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad 0 &\leq \min \{t, 1-t\} \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq t [\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - [\det(tA_1 + (1-t)A_2)]^{-1/2} \\
&\leq \max \{t, 1-t\} \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all, we recall the following result obtained by the author in [2] that provides a refinement and a reverse for the weighted Jensen's discrete inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \Phi(x_j) - \Phi \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \Phi(x_j) - \Phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right) \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \Phi(x_j) - \Phi \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function defined on the convex subset C of the linear space X , $\{x_j\}_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \subset C$ are vectors and $\{p_j\}_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}}$ are nonnegative numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

For $m = 2$ we deduce from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad 0 &\leq 2 \min \{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)}{2} - \Phi \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right] \\
&\leq t\Phi(x) + (1-t)\Phi(y) - \Phi(tx + (1-t)y) \\
&\leq 2 \max \{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)}{2} - \Phi \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in C$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take $\Phi(t) = \exp(t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(x_j) - \exp \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp(x_j) - \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right) \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(x_j) - \Phi \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp_j \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking $x_j = -\langle A_j x, x \rangle$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \\
&\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) - \exp \left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) - \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j x, x \right\rangle\right) \\
 &\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) - \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle\right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the integral on \mathbb{R}^n , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle\right) dx \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j x, x \right\rangle\right) dx \\
 &\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle\right) dx \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which by the representation (1.1) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m J_n(A_j) - J_n\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j J_n(A_j) - J_n\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j\right) \\
 &\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m J_n(A_j) - J_n\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\left[\det\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right)\right]^{1/2}} \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{p_j}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j\right)\right]^{1/2}} \\
 &\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\left[\det\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right)\right]^{1/2}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{m}{\frac{1}{m^{n/2}} [\det(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j)]^{1/2}} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{p_j}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{[\det(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j)]^{1/2}} \\
&\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{m}{\frac{1}{m^{n/2}} [\det(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j)]^{1/2}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 1. *If A_1, A_2 are positive definite, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(tA_1 + (1-t)A_2)]^{-1/2} dt \\
&\leq \frac{3}{4} \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the integral in (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad 0 &\leq \int_0^1 \min\{t, 1-t\} dt \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(tA_1 + (1-t)A_2)]^{-1/2} dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \max\{t, 1-t\} dt \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} - 2^{n/2+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1/2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \min\{t, 1-t\} dt = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \max\{t, 1-t\} dt = \frac{3}{4},$$

hence by (2.8) we get (2.7). \square

Remark 1. If we take $A_j = B_j^{-2}$, where $B_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then by (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(B_j) - m^{n/2+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m B_j^{-2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \det(B_j) - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j B_j^{-2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
 &\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(B_j) - m^{n/2+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m B_j^{-2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad 0 &\leq \min \{t, 1-t\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n/2+1} \left[\det(B_1^{-2} + B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\leq t \det(B_1) + (1-t) \det(B_2) - \left[\det(tB_1^{-2} + (1-t)B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} \\
 &\leq \max \{t, 1-t\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n/2+1} \left[\det(B_1^{-2} + B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7) we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n/2+1} \left[\det(B_1^{-2} + B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\det(B_1) + \det(B_2)}{2} - \int_0^1 \left[\det(tB_1^{-2} + (1-t)B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{4} \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n/2+1} \left[\det(B_1^{-2} + B_2^{-2}) \right]^{-1/2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

3. INEQUALITIES VIA DOUBLE INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

If we take the square in the representation (1.1), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2. *Assume that $(A_j)_{j=1, \dots, m}$ are positive definite and $p_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min \{t, 1-t\} \\ &\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq t [\det(A_1)]^{-1} + (1-t) [\det(A_2)]^{-1} - [\det(tA_1 + (1-t)A_2)]^{-1} \\ &\leq \max \{t, 1-t\} \\ &\quad \times \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By taking $x_j = -\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y, y \right\rangle \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) \\
&\quad - \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j y, y \right\rangle\right) \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y, y \right\rangle\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the double integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ in this inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) dx dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) dx dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) dx dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy \right].
\end{aligned}$$

If we use the representation (3.1), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m K_n(A_j) - K_n\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j K_n(A_j) - K_n\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j\right) \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m K_n(A_j) - K_n\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\det(A_j)} - \frac{1}{\det\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right)} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{p_j}{\det(A_j)} - \frac{1}{\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j\right)} \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\det(A_j)} - \frac{1}{\det\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right)} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq m \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^n \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j A_j\right) \right]^{-1} \\
&\leq m \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - m^n \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j\right) \right]^{-1} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.2). \square

Corollary 2. *If A_1, A_2 are positive definite, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(tA_1 + (1-t)A_2)]^{-1} dt \\
&\leq \frac{3}{4} \left[[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(A_1 + A_2)]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. *If we take $A_j = B_j^{-1}$, where $B_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then by (2.1) we get*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(B_j) - m^{n+1} \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m B_j^{-1}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \det(B_j) - \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j B_j^{-1}\right) \right]^{-1} \\
&\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(B_j) - m^{n+1} \left[\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m B_j^{-1}\right) \right]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & 0 \leq \min \{t, 1 - t\} \\
 & \times \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n+1} [\det(B_1^{-1} + B_2^{-1})]^{-1} \right] \\
 & \leq t \det(B_1) + (1 - t) \det(B_2) - [\det(tB_1^{-1} + (1 - t)B_2^{-1})]^{-1} \\
 & \leq \max \{t, 1 - t\} \\
 & \times \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n+1} [\det(B_1^{-1} + B_2^{-1})]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7) we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n+1} [\det(B_1^{-1} + B_2^{-1})]^{-1} \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{\det(B_1) + \det(B_2)}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(tB_1^{-1} + (1 - t)B_2^{-1})]^{-1} dt \\
 & \leq \frac{3}{4} \left[\det(B_1) + \det(B_2) - 2^{n+1} [\det(B_1^{-1} + B_2^{-1})]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

4. THE CASE OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [9, p. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$(4.1) \quad K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \overline{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)},$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 2 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the following result as well:

Theorem 3. Assume that $(H_j)_{j=1,\dots,m}$ are Hermitian positive definite and $p_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(H_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m H_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(H_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j H_j \right) \right]^{-1} \\
&\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m [\det(H_j)]^{-1} - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m H_j \right) \right]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad 0 &\leq \min \{t, 1-t\} \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(H_1)]^{-1} + [\det(H_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(H_1 + H_2)]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq t [\det(H_1)]^{-1} + (1-t) [\det(H_2)]^{-1} - [\det(tH_1 + (1-t)H_2)]^{-1} \\
&\leq \max \{t, 1-t\} \\
&\quad \times \left[[\det(H_1)]^{-1} + [\det(H_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(H_1 + H_2)]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[[\det(H_1)]^{-1} + [\det(H_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(H_1 + H_2)]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{[\det(H_1)]^{-1} + [\det(H_2)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(tH_1 + (1-t)H_2)]^{-1} dt \\
&\leq \frac{3}{4} \left[[\det(H_1)]^{-1} + [\det(H_2)]^{-1} - 2^{n+1} [\det(H_1 + H_2)]^{-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. Now, if we take $H_j = K_j^{-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with K_j are Hermitian positive definite matrices, then we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad 0 &\leq \min_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(K_j) - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m K_j^{-1} \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \det(K_j) - \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j K_j^{-1} \right) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{p_j\} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \det(K_j) - m^{n+1} \left[\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m K_j^{-1} \right) \right]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $m = 2$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad 0 &\leq \min \{t, 1 - t\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\det(K_1) + \det(K_2) - 2^{n+1} \left[\det(K_1^{-1} + K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq t \det(K_1) + (1 - t) \det(K_2) - \left[\det(tK_1^{-1} + (1 - t)K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \max \{t, 1 - t\} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\det(K_1) + \det(K_2) - 2^{n+1} \left[\det(K_1^{-1} + K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\det(K_1) + \det(K_2) - 2^{n+1} \left[\det(K_1^{-1} + K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\det(K_1) + \det(K_2)}{2} - \int_0^1 \left[\det(tK_1^{-1} + (1 - t)K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{4} \left[\det(K_1) + \det(K_2) - 2^{n+1} \left[\det(K_1^{-1} + K_2^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

[1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
 [2] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (3) (2006), 471-476.
 [3] Y. Li, L. Yongtao, Z. Huang Feng and W. Liu, Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **23** (2020), no. 2, 477-485.
 [4] M. Lin and G. Sinnamon, Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard's determinant inequality. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **606** (2020), 192-200
 [5] J.-T. Liu, Q.-W. Wang and F.-F. Sun, Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **20** (2017), no. 2, 537-542.
 [6] W. Luo, Further extensions of Hartfiel's determinant inequality to multiple matrices. *Spec. Matrices* **9** (2021), 78-82.
 [7] M. Ito, Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 949-966.

- [8] L. Mirsky, An inequality for positive definite matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62** (1955), 428-430.
- [9] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA