

**DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE
MATRICES VIA CARTWRIGHT-FIELD'S RESULT FOR
ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC WEIGHTED MEANS**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Assume that $A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are positive definite matrices of order n . In this paper we prove among others that, if $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in the operator order, for some positive constant ℓ , and I_n is the unity matrix of order n , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det (2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det (A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det (A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_k \geq 0$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [9, pp. 211-212]

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad J_n(A) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1.1) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities.

Ky Fan ([1, p. 63] or [9, p. 212]), namely

$$(1.2) \quad \det((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (1.2) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [8], see also [9, p. 212]

$$(1.3) \quad \det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (1.3) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1}\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j)]^{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$(1.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right],$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1.1) one can also prove the result, see [9, p. 212],

$$(1.5) \quad \det(A) = \det(A_{1n}) \leq \det(A_{1k}) \det(A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the determinant $\det(A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det(A_{rs}) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$(1.6) \quad \det(A) \leq a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}.$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$(1.7) \quad [\det(A+B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det(A)]^{1/n} + [\det(B)]^{1/n}$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [9]. For some recent results see [3]-[7].

2. ONE INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

In [2], D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field obtained the following lower and upper bounds for the difference between the *weighted arithmetic* and *geometric means*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k \left(x_k - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j\right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j - \prod_{k=1}^m x_k^{p_k} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k \left(x_k - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j\right)^2,$$

where $p_k \geq 0, 0 < a \leq x_k \leq b$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

Theorem 1. Assume that A_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are positive definite matrices. If $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in the operator order, for some positive constant ℓ , and I_n is the unity matrix of order n , then

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right) \right]^{-1/2},$$

where $p_k \geq 0$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

If $\frac{M}{2} I_n < A_j \leq M I_n$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, where $M > 0$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2A_j - M I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(A_j + A_k - M I_n)]^{-1/2} \right].$$

Proof. Observe that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m p_k \left(x_k - \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right)^2 \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k \left(x_k^2 - 2x_k \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right)^2 \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j + \sum_{k=1}^m p_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right)^2 \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k x_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m p_j p_k x_j x_k = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) x_k^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k x_j x_k$$

and the inequality (2.1) can be written in a more convenient form for what follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & \frac{1}{2b} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) x_k^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k x_j x_k \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j x_j - \prod_{k=1}^m x_k^{p_k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2a} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) x_k^2 - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k x_j x_k \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j \leq M I_n$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $-\ell \|x\|^2 \geq -\langle A_j x, x \rangle \geq -M \|x\|^2$, which implies that

$$\exp(-\ell \|x\|^2) \geq \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) \geq \exp(-M \|x\|^2)$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If we take $a = \exp(-M \|x\|^2)$, $x_j = \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $b = \exp(-\ell \|x\|^2)$ in (2.5), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{2 \exp(-\ell \|x\|^2)} \\
& \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp(-\langle 2A_j x, x \rangle) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp(-\langle (A_j + A_k) x, x \rangle) \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) - \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \exp(-M \|x\|^2)} \\
& \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp(-\langle 2A_j x, x \rangle) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp(-\langle (A_j + A_k) x, x \rangle) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(- \langle 2A_j x, x \rangle + \ell \|x\|^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(- \langle (A_j + A_k) x, x \rangle + \ell \|x\|^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp \left(- \langle A_j x, x \rangle \right) - \exp \left(- \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(- \langle 2A_j x, x \rangle + M \|x\|^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(- \langle (A_j + A_k) x, x \rangle + M \|x\|^2 \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(- \langle (2A_j - \ell I_n) x, x \rangle \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(- \langle (A_j + A_k - \ell I_n) x, x \rangle \right) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp \left(- \langle A_j x, x \rangle \right) - \exp \left(- \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(- \langle (2A_j - M I_n) x, x \rangle \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(- \langle (A_j + A_k - M I_n) x, x \rangle \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j$, hence $2A_j - \ell I_n > 0$, $A_j + A_k - \ell I_n > 0$, $k, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and by taking the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ in the first inequality in (2.6), then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \langle (2A_j - \ell I_n) x, x \rangle \right) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \langle (A_j + A_k - \ell I_n) x, x \rangle \right) dx \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \langle A_j x, x \rangle \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1-p_k) J_n(2A_j - \ell I_n) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k J_n(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j J_n(A_j) - J_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{p_k (1-p_k)}{[\det(2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{1/2}} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \frac{p_j p_k}{[\det(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{1/2}} \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{p_j}{[\det(A_j)]^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{[\det(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.2).

If $\frac{M}{2} I_n < A_j \leq M I_n$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then $2A_j - M I_n > 0$ and $A_j + A_k - M I_n > 0$ for $j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. By making use of the second inequality in (2.6) and take the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1-p_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (2A_j - M I_n) x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A_j + A_k - M I_n) x, x \rangle) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m p_j J_n(A_j) - J_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1-p_k) J_n(2A_j - M I_n) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k J_n(A_j + A_k - M I_n) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.3). \square

Remark 1. If we take $p_k = \frac{1}{m}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m^2} \left[(m-1) \sum_{k=1}^m [\det(2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [\det(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m A_k \right) \right]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

From (2.3) we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1/2} - \left[\det \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m A_k \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2m^2} \left[(m-1) \sum_{k=1}^m [\det(2A_j - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [\det(A_j + A_k - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\frac{M}{2} I_n < A_j \leq MI_n$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Corollary 1. Assume that A_1, A_2 are positive definite matrices. If $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_1, A_2$ in the operator order, then

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) \left[[\det(2A_1 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(2A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2[\det(A_1 + A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ & \leq (1-t) [\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} \\ & \quad - [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$.

If $\frac{M}{2} I_n < A_1, A_2 \leq MI_n$ for some $M > 0$, then

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1-t) [\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2} \\ & \quad - [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) \left[[\det(2A_1 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(2A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2[\det(A_1 + A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$.

The proof follows by Theorem 1 on taking $m = 2$, $q_1 = 1 - t$, $q_2 = t$ where $t \in (0, 1)$.

Corollary 2. With the assumptions of Corollary 1 we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{12} \left[[\det(2A_1 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(2A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2[\det(A_1 + A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1/2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_1, A_2$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1/2} dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{12} \left[[\det(2A_1 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(2A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right. \\ \left. - 2[\det(A_1 + A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right],$$

provided that $\frac{M}{2}I_n < A_1, A_2 \leq MI_n$.

3. TWO INTEGRALS REPRESENTATION

If we take the square in the representation (1.1), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy,$$

hence

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2. *Assume that $A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are positive definite matrices. If $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in the operator order, for some positive constant ℓ , and I_n is the unity matrix of order n , then*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right] \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right) \right]^{-1},$$

where $p_k \geq 0$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

If $\frac{M}{2}I_n < A_j \leq MI_n$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, where $M > 0$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k \right) \right]^{-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2A_j - MI_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(A_j + A_k - MI_n)]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j \leq MI_n$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$-\ell (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \geq -\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle \geq -M (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2),$$

which implies that

$$\exp \left(-\ell (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right) \geq \exp \left(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle \right) \geq \exp \left(-M (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right)$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If we take $a = \exp \left(-M (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right)$, $x_j = \exp \left(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle \right)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $b = \exp \left(-\ell (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \right)$ in (2.5), then we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(-\langle (2A_j - \ell) x, x \rangle - \langle (2A_j - \ell) y, y \rangle \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(-\langle (A_j + A_k - \ell) x, x \rangle - \langle (A_j + A_k - \ell) y, y \rangle \right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \exp \left(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle \right) \\ & \quad - \exp \left(-\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k y, y \right\rangle \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \exp \left(-\langle (2A_j - M) x, x \rangle - \langle (2A_j - M) y, y \rangle \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \exp \left(-\langle (A_j + A_k - M) x, x \rangle - \langle (A_j + A_k - M) y, y \rangle \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j$, hence $2A_j - \ell I_n > 0$, $A_j + A_k - \ell I_n > 0$, $k, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and by taking the double integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ in the first inequality in (3.4), then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (2A_j - \ell) x, x \rangle - \langle (2A_j - \ell) y, y \rangle) dx dy \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k \\
&\quad \times \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A_j + A_k - \ell) x, x \rangle - \langle (A_j + A_k - \ell) y, y \rangle) dx dy \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle A_j x, x \rangle - \langle A_j y, y \rangle) dx dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy,
\end{aligned}$$

namely, by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) K_n(2A_j - \ell I_n) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k K_n(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n) \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j J_n(A_j) - J_n\left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k A_k\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which, by (3.1) gives (3.2).

The inequality (3.3) follows by the second inequality in (3.4) and we omit the details. \square

Remark 2. If we take $p_k = \frac{1}{m}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in (3.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{m^2} \left[(m-1) \sum_{k=1}^m [\det(2A_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [\det(A_j + A_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m A_k\right) \right]^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

From (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m A_k \right) \right]^{-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2m^2} \left[(m-1) \sum_{k=1}^m [\det(2A_j - MI_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} [\det(A_j + A_k - MI_n)]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. *Assume that A_1, A_2 are positive definite matrices. If $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_1, A_2$ in the operator order, then*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{2} t (1-t) \left[[\det(2A_1 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} + [\det(2A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 [\det(A_1 + A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq (1-t) [\det(A_1)]^{-1} + t [\det(A_2)]^{-1} \\ & \quad - [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$.

If $\frac{M}{2} I_n < A_1, A_2 \leq MI_n$ for some $M > 0$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1-t) [\det(A_1)]^{-1} + t [\det(A_2)]^{-1} \\ & \quad - [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} t (1-t) \left[[\det(2A_1 - MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(2A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 [\det(A_1 + A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$.

The proof follows by Theorem 2 on taking $m = 2, q_1 = 1 - t, q_2 = t$ where $t \in (0, 1)$.

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Corollary 3 we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{12} \left[[\det(2A_1 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} + [\det(2A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 [\det(A_1 + A_2 - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $0 < \ell I_n \leq A_1, A_2$ and

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{[\det(A_1)]^{-1} + [\det(A_2)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A_1 + tA_2)]^{-1} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{12} \left[[\det(2A_1 - MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(2A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 [\det(A_1 + A_2 - MI_n)]^{-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\frac{M}{2}I_n < A_1$, $A_2 \leq MI_n$.

4. THE CASE OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}z_i\overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [9, p. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$(4.1) \quad K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \overline{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)},$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 2 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the following result as well:

Theorem 3. *Assume that H_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are Hermitian positive definite matrices. If $0 < \ell I_n \leq H_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ in the operator order, for some positive constant ℓ , and I_n is the unity matrix of order n , then*

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2H_j - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(H_j + H_k - \ell I_n)]^{-1} \right] \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(H_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k H_k \right) \right]^{-1},$$

where $p_k \geq 0$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$.

If $\frac{M}{2}I_n < H_j \leq MI_n$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, where $M > 0$, then

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m p_j [\det(H_j)]^{-1} - \left[\det \left(\sum_{k=1}^m p_k H_k \right) \right]^{-1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k (1 - p_k) [\det(2H_j - MI_n)]^{-1} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} p_j p_k [\det(H_j + H_k - MI_n)]^{-1} \right].$$

Similar particular cases may be stated to the ones from the previous section, however we do not present them here.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] D. I. Cartwright, M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71** (1978), 36-38.

- [3] Y. Li, L. Yongtao, Z. Huang Feng and W. Liu, Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **23** (2020), no. 2, 477–485.
- [4] M. Lin and G. Sinnamon, Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard’s determinant inequality. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **606** (2020), 192–200
- [5] J.-T. Liu, Q.-W. Wang and F.-F. Sun, Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **20** (2017), no. 2, 537–542.
- [6] W. Luo, Further extensions of Hartfiel’s determinant inequality to multiple matrices. *Spec. Matrices* 9 (2021), 78–82.
- [7] M. Ito, Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 949–966.
- [8] L. Mirsky, An inequality for positive definite matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62** (1955), 428–430.
- [9] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA