

DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES VIA ADDITIVE AND MULTIPLICATIVE YOUNG INEQUALITIES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A+mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A+tI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A+tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t)[\det(A)]^{-1} + t[\det(A+MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A+MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [11, pp. 211-212]

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad J_n(A) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1.1) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to Ky Fan ([1, p. 63] or [11, p. 212]), namely

$$(1.2) \quad \det((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (1.2) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [10], see also [11, p. 212]

$$(1.3) \quad \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det (A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (1.3) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1} \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det (B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det (B_j)]^{\lambda_j} \right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$(1.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m [\det (A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right],$$

where $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1.1) one can also prove the result, see [11, p. 212],

$$(1.5) \quad \det (A) = \det (A_{1n}) \leq \det (A_{1k}) \det (A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the determinant $\det (A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det (A_{rs}) = \det (a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$(1.6) \quad \det (A) \leq a_{11} a_{22} \dots a_{nn}.$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$(1.7) \quad [\det (A + B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det (A)]^{1/n} + [\det (B)]^{1/n}$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [11]. For some recent results see [5]-[9].

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) [\det (A)]^{-1} + t [\det (A + mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det (A + mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det (A)]^{-1} + t [\det (B)]^{-1} - [\det ((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det (A)]^{-1} + t [\det (A + MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det (A + MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

2. ADDITIVE INEQUALITIES

We consider the function $f_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined for $t \in (0, 1)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad f_t(u) = 1 - t + tu - u^t.$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 1. *For any $u \in [k, K] \subset [0, \infty)$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \max_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) = \Delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} f_t(k) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ \max\{f_t(k), f_t(K)\} & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ f_t(K) & \text{if } 1 < k \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \min_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) = \delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} f_t(K) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ f_t(k) & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The function f_t is differentiable and

$$f'_t(u) = t(1 - u^{t-1}) = t \frac{u^{1-t} - 1}{u^{1-t}},$$

which shows that the function f_t is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$, $f_t(0) = 1 - t$, $f_t(1) = 0$ and the equation $f_t(u) = 1 - t$ for $u > 0$ has the unique solution $u_t = t^{\frac{1}{1-t}} > 1$.

Therefore, by considering the 3 possible situations for the location of the interval $[k, K]$ and the number 1 we get the desired bounds (2.2) and (2.3). \square

Lemma 2. *Assume that $a, b > 0$ with $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K$, then*

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq \delta_t(k, K) a \leq (1 - t)a + tb - b^t a^{1-t} \leq \Delta_t(k, K) a.$$

Proof. If $u \in [k, K]$, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$(2.5) \quad \delta_t(k, K) \leq 1 - t + tu - u^t \leq \Delta_t(k, K).$$

If we take $u = \frac{b}{a}$ in (2.5), then we get

$$\delta_t(k, K) \leq 1 - t + t \frac{b}{a} - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t \leq \Delta_t(k, K),$$

and by multiplying with a we obtain the desired result (2.4). \square

Theorem 1. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition*

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq (1 - t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(B)]^{-1/2} - [\det((1 - t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq (1 - t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{[\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{[\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2}}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$ and $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{b}{a} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle)$ and since $0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n$, hence

$$\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1$$

If we apply the inequality (2.4) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$, $k = \exp(-M\|x\|^2)$ and $K = \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad 0 &\leq f_t \left(\exp(-m\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \\
 &\quad - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq f_t \left(\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle),
 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \left(1-t + t \exp(-m\|x\|^2) - \exp(-mt\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq \left(1-t + t \exp(-M\|x\|^2) - \exp(-Mt\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle).
 \end{aligned}$$

This inequality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle (A + mI_n)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\quad - \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \\
 &\quad - \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle (A + MI_n)x, x \rangle) \\
 &\quad - \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral over $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mI_n) x, x \rangle) dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n) x, x \rangle) dx \\
 &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB) x, x \rangle) dx \\
 &\leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MI_n) x, x \rangle) dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n) x, x \rangle) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Using the representation (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + tJ_n(A + mI_n) - J_n(A + mtI_n) \\
 &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + tJ_n(B) - J_n((1-t)A + tB) \\
 &\leq (1-t) J_n(A) + tJ_n(A + MI_n) - J_n(A + MtI_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by the second equality in (1.1) gives (2.7).

If we replace t with $1-t$ in (2.7), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad 0 &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad - [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad - [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\leq t [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + (1-t) [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad - [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we add (2.7) with (2.10) and divide by 2, then we get (2.8). □

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by taking the integral over $t \in [0, 1]$ in (2.7).

If we take the square in the representation (1.1), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(2.12) \quad K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

We have:

Theorem 2. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition (2.6) for some constants $0 < m < M$, then*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(B)]^{-1} - [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} \\ &\leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} - [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also,

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{[\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1}}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1}}{2} \\ &\quad - \frac{[\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle)$ and $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\frac{b}{a} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle - \langle (B-A)y, y \rangle)$$

and since $0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n$, hence

$$\exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \exp(-m(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) < 1$$

If we apply the inequality (2.4) for

$$a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle), \quad b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle),$$

$k = \exp\left(-M\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right)$ and $K = \exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq f_t\left(\exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right)\right)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) \\ &\leq (1-t)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) + t\exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle - \langle By, y\rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle((1-t)A + tB)x, x\rangle - \langle((1-t)A + tB)y, y\rangle) \\ &\leq f_t\left(\exp\left(-M\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right)\right)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) \\ &\quad + t\exp(-\langle(A + mI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + mI_n)y, y\rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle(A + mtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + mtI_n)y, y\rangle) \\ &\leq (1-t)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) + t\exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle - \langle By, y\rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle((1-t)A + tB)x, x\rangle - \langle((1-t)A + tB)y, xy\rangle) \\ &\leq (1-t)\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) \\ &\quad + t\exp(-\langle(A + MI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + MI_n)y, y\rangle) \\ &\quad - \exp(-\langle(A + MtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + MtI_n)y, y\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the double integral over $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad + t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle(A + mI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + mI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle(A + mtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + mtI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\leq (1-t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad + t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle Bx, x\rangle - \langle By, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle((1-t)A + tB)x, x\rangle - \langle((1-t)A + tB)y, xy\rangle) dx dy \\ &\leq (1-t)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle Ax, x\rangle - \langle Ay, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad + t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle(A + MI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + MI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\exp(-\langle(A + MtI_n)x, x\rangle - \langle(A + MtI_n)y, y\rangle) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and by making use of the representation (2.12). □

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by taking the integral over $t \in [0, 1]$ in (2.13).

3. MULTIPLICATIVE INEQUALITIES

We consider the function $g_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined for $t \in (0, 1)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \leq g_t(u) = \frac{1-t+tu}{u^t} = (1-t)u^{-t} + tu^{1-t}.$$

For $[k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$ define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad \Gamma_t(k, K) &:= \begin{cases} g_t(k) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ \max\{g_t(k), g_t(K)\} & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ g_t(K) & \text{if } 1 < k \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t} & \text{if } K < 1, \\ \max\{(1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t}, (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}\} & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t} & \text{if } 1 < k \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad \gamma_t(k, K) &:= \begin{cases} g_t(K) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ g_t(k) & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} (1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t} & \text{if } K < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ (1-t)k^{-t} + tk^{1-t} & \text{if } 1 < k. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma holds.

Lemma 3. *For any $u \in [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$ we have*

$$\max_{u \in [k, K]} g_t(u) = \Gamma_t(k, K)$$

and

$$\min_{u \in [k, K]} g_t(u) = \gamma_t(k, K).$$

Proof. The function g_t is differentiable and

$$g'_t(u) = (1-t)tu^{-t-1}(u-1),$$

which shows that the function g_t is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$. We have $g_t(1) = 1$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g_t(u) = +\infty$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g_t(u) = +\infty$ and $g_t\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) = g_{1-t}(u)$ for any $u > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

Therefore, by considering the 3 possible situations for the location of the interval $[k, K]$ and the number 1 we get the desired bounds (2.4) and (2.5). \square

Lemma 4. Assume that $a, b > 0$ with $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_t(k, K) a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb \leq \Gamma_t(k, K) a^{1-t} b^t.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3 we have

$$\gamma_t(k, K) \leq \frac{1-t+t\frac{b}{a}}{\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t} \leq \Gamma_t(k, K),$$

namely

$$\gamma_t(k, K) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t \leq 1-t+t\frac{b}{a} \leq \Gamma_t(k, K) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^t.$$

If we multiply these inequalities by a , then we get (3.4). \square

Theorem 3. Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A,$$

for some constant $0 < m$, then

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1-t) [\det((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ & + t [\det((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + t [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, for $t = 1/2$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{m}{2}I_n\right) \right]^{-1/2} + \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2} + \frac{m}{2}I_n\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ & \leq [\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K < 1$, then by (3.4) we get

$$g_t(K) a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb$$

namely

$$(3.7) \quad [(1-t)K^{-t} + tK^{1-t}] a^{1-t} b^t \leq (1-t)a + tb$$

If we apply the inequality (3.7) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ and $K = \exp(-m \|x\|^2) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1-t) \exp(tm \|x\|^2) + t \exp(-m(1-t) \|x\|^2) \right] \\ & \times \exp(-\langle (1-t)Ax, x \rangle - \langle tBx, x \rangle) \\ & \leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \exp\left(tm \|x\|^2 - \langle (1-t)Ax, x \rangle - \langle tBx, x \rangle\right) \\ & + t \exp\left(-m(1-t) \|x\|^2 - \langle (1-t)Ax, x \rangle - \langle tBx, x \rangle\right) \\ & \leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n)x, x \rangle) \\ & + t \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)x, x \rangle) \\ & \leq (1-t) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + t \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that

$$(1-t)A + tB - tmI_n = A + t(B-A) - tmI_n \geq A + tmI_n - tmI_n = A > 0$$

and

$$(1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n > 0.$$

By taking the integral on \mathbb{R}^n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \\ & + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \\ & \leq (1-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx, \end{aligned}$$

namely, by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-t) J_n((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n) + t J_n((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n) \\ & \leq (1-t) J_n(A) + t J_n(B), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (3.5). □

By utilizing a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 2 we can finally state:

Theorem 4. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition*

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A,$$

for some constant $0 < m$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & (1-t) [\det((1-t)A + tB - tmI_n)]^{-1} \\
 & + t [\det((1-t)A + tB + (1-t)mI_n)]^{-1} \\
 & \leq (1-t) [\det(A)]^{-1} + t [\det(B)]^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, for $t = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} - \frac{m}{2} I_n \right) \right]^{-1} + \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} + \frac{m}{2} I_n \right) \right]^{-1} \\
 & \leq [\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [11, p. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$(3.10) \quad K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \overline{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)},$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the same inequalities for positive definite Hermitian matrices H and K .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] R. Bhatia, Interpolating the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and its operator version, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **413** (2006) 355–363.
- [3] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361** (2010), 262-269.
- [4] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Lin. Multilin. Alg.*, **59** (2011), 1031-1037.
- [5] Y. Li, L. Yongtao, Z. Huang Feng and W. Liu, Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **23** (2020), no. 2, 477–485.
- [6] M. Lin and G. Sinnamon, Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard’s determinant inequality. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **606** (2020), 192–200
- [7] J.-T. Liu, Q.-W. Wang and F.-F. Sun, Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **20** (2017), no. 2, 537–542.
- [8] W. Luo, Further extensions of Hartfiel’s determinant inequality to multiple matrices. *Spec. Matrices* 9 (2021), 78–82.
- [9] M. Ito, Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 949–966.
- [10] L. Mirsky, An inequality for positive definite matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62** (1955), 428-430.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Acedemi Publishers, 1993

RGMIA

12

S. S. DRAGOMIR

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428,
MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: `http://rgmia.org/dragomir`

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES,
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATER-
SRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA