

DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES VIA HEINZ MEANS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1} \right] - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

We call Heinz means, the mean defined by

$$H_\nu(a, b) := \frac{1}{2} (a^{1-\nu}b^\nu + a^\nu b^{1-\nu})$$

We call *Heron means*, the means defined by

$$F_\alpha(a, b) := (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{ab} + \alpha\frac{a+b}{2},$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In [2], Bhatia obtained the following interesting inequality between the Heinz and Heron means

$$(1.1) \quad H_\nu(a, b) \leq F_{(2\nu-1)^2}(a, b)$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

This inequality can be written as

$$(1.2) \quad (0 \leq) H_\nu(a, b) - \sqrt{ab} \leq (2\nu - 1)^2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - \sqrt{ab} \right),$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [3], [4] provided a refinement and an additive reverse for Young inequality as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq (1 - \nu) a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$.

If we replace in (1.3) ν with $1 - \nu$, add the obtained inequalities and divide by 2, then we get

$$(1.4) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq \frac{a + b}{2} - H_\nu(a, b) \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2,$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [11, pp. 211-212]

$$(1.5) \quad J_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \\ = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}},$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1.5) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to Ky Fan ([1, p. 63] or [11, p. 212]), namely

$$(1.6) \quad \det((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (1.6) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [10], see also [11, p. 212]

$$(1.7) \quad \det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (1.7) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1} \right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j)]^{\lambda_j} \right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$(1.8) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right],$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1.5) one can also prove the result, see [11, p. 212],

$$(1.9) \quad \det(A) = \det(A_{1n}) \leq \det(A_{1k}) \det(A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the determinant $\det(A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det(A_{rs}) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$(1.10) \quad \det(A) \leq a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}.$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$(1.11) \quad [\det(A+B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det(A)]^{1/n} + [\det(B)]^{1/n}$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [11]. For some recent results see [5]-[9].

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we prove among others that, if the positive definite matrices A, B of order n satisfy the condition

$$0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, where I_n is the identity matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A+mI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A+mtI_n)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1} \right] - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A+tB)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A+MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A+MtI_n)]^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We need the following simple analytic inequality:

Lemma 1. *Assume that $a, b > 0$ with*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 < k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} (k^t + k^{1-t}) a \leq H_t(a, b) \leq \frac{1}{2} (K^t + K^{1-t}) a,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. If we consider the function $f_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ defined by

$$f_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} (u^t + u^{1-t}),$$

then

$$f'_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} (tu^{t-1} + (1-t)u^{-t}),$$

which is positive for $u \in (0, \infty)$.

Therefore f_t is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$f_t(k) = \min_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) \leq f_t(u) \leq \max_{u \in [k, K]} f_t(u) = f_t(K)$$

for any $u \in [k, K]$.

Now, if $0 \leq k \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq K$, then

$$\frac{1}{2} (k^t + k^{1-t}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^t + \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{1-t} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (K^t + K^{1-t}),$$

namely

$$\frac{1}{2} (k^t + k^{1-t}) a \leq \frac{1}{2} (a^{1-t} b^t + a^t b^{1-t}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (K^t + K^{1-t}) a,$$

and the inequality (2.2) is proved. \square

Theorem 1. Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n,$$

for some constants $0 < m < M$, then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt & \leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt \\ & \leq \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$ and $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\frac{b}{a} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle)$ and since $0 < mI_n \leq B - A \leq MI_n$, hence

$$\exp(-M \|x\|^2) \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \exp(-m \|x\|^2)$$

If we apply the inequality (2.2) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$, $k = \exp(-M\|x\|^2)$ and $K = \exp(-m\|x\|^2)$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-Mt\|x\|^2) + \exp(-M(1-t)\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-mt\|x\|^2) + \exp(-m(1-t)\|x\|^2) \right) \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (A + M(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (A + m(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral on \mathbb{R}^n , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + M(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle) dx \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) dx \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + m(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle) dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

and by the representation (1.5) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + MtI_n) + J_n(A + M(1-t)I_n)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (J_n((1-t)A + tB) + J_n(tA + (1-t)B)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + mtI_n) + J_n(A + m(1-t)I_n)) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$.

By using the second part of (1.5) we derive (2.4).

By taking the integral over t on $[0, 1]$ in the inequality (2.4) and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \det((1-t)A + tB)^{-1/2} dt = \int_0^1 [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} dt, \\ & \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt = \int_0^1 [\det(A + M(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt = \int_0^1 [\det(A + m(1-t)I_n)]^{-1/2} dt$$

then we obtain the desired result (2.5). □

Lemma 2. Consider the function $h_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad h_t(u) = \frac{u+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(u^t + u^{1-t}) \geq 0.$$

Then h_t is decreasing on $[0, 1)$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$ with $u = 1$ its global minimum. We have $h_t(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} h_t(u) = \infty$ and h_t is convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. We have

$$h'_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{u^{1-t}} - \frac{1-t}{u^t} \right)$$

and

$$h''_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (u^{t-2} + u^{-t-1})$$

for any $u \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

We observe that $h'_t(1) = 0$ and $h''_t(u) > 0$ for any $u \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. These imply that the equation $h'_t(u) = 0$ has only one solution on $(0, \infty)$, namely $u = 1$. Since $h'_t(u) < 0$ for $u \in (0, 1)$ and $h'_t(u) > 0$ for $u \in (1, \infty)$, then we deduce the desired conclusion. \square

We also have:

Lemma 3. Assume that $a, b > 0$ and such that the condition (2.1) holds. Then we have

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \leq \delta_t(k, K) a \leq \frac{a+b}{2} - H_t(a, b) \leq \Delta_t(k, K) a,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} h_t(K) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ h_t(k) & \text{if } 1 < k \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_t(k, K) := \begin{cases} h_t(k) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ \max\{h_t(k), h_t(K)\} & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ h_t(K) & \text{if } 1 < k, \end{cases}$$

where h_t is defined by (2.7).

Proof. Using Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{cases} h_t(K) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ h_t(k) & \text{if } 1 < k, \end{cases} \leq h_t(u) \leq \begin{cases} h_t(k) & \text{if } K < 1, \\ \max\{h_t(k), h_t(K)\} & \text{if } k \leq 1 \leq K, \\ h_t(K) & \text{if } 1 < k \end{cases}$$

for any $u \in [k, K]$ and $t \in (0, 1)$.

This mean that for $u \in [k, K]$,

$$(2.11) \quad \delta_t(k, K) \leq \frac{u+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(u^t + u^{1-t}) \leq \Delta_t(k, K).$$

From (2.1) we have,

$$(2.12) \quad \delta_t(k, K) \leq \frac{\frac{b}{a} + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^t + \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{1-t} \right) \leq \Delta_t(k, K).$$

Finally, if we multiply both sides of (2.12) by a we get the desired result (2.8). \square

Theorem 2. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition (2.3), then*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + m((1-t)I_n))]^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A + M((1-t)I_n))]^{-1/2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right] - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1/2} + [\det(A)]^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we apply the inequality (2.2) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$, $k = \exp(-M\|x\|^2)$ and $K = \exp(-m\|x\|^2) < 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{\exp(-m\|x\|^2) + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\exp(-m\|x\|^2) \right)^t + \left(\exp(-m\|x\|^2) \right)^{1-t} \right) \right] \\
 & \times \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)] \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle)) \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{\exp(-M\|x\|^2) + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \right)^t + \left(\exp(-M\|x\|^2) \right)^{1-t} \right) \right] \\
 & \times \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle),
 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle A + mI_n x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle A + mtI_n x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle A + m(1-t)I_n x, x \rangle)) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)] \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle)) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle A + MI_n x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle A + MtI_n x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle A + M(1-t)I_n x, x \rangle))
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral on \mathbb{R}^n in the inequality (2.15), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mI_n)x, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + mtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\exp(-\langle (A + m(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle)) dx \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) dx \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MI_n)x, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (A + MtI_n)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\exp(-\langle (A + M(1-t)I_n)x, x \rangle)) dx \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by the representation (1.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + mI_n) + J_n(A)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + mtI_n) + J_n(A + m((1-t)I_n))) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [J_n(A) + J_n(B)] - \frac{1}{2} [J_n((1-t)A + tB) + J_n(tA + (1-t)B)] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + MI_n) + J_n(A)) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (J_n(A + MtI_n) + J_n(A + M((1-t)I_n))).
 \end{aligned}$$

By making use of the second equality in (1.5) we derive (2.13).

The inequality (2.14) follows by integrating (2.13) over $t \in [0, 1]$. □

We also have:

Lemma 4. Consider the function $g_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ defined by

$$(2.16) \quad g_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} (u^t + u^{1-t}) - \sqrt{u} \geq 0.$$

Then $g_t(0) = g_t(1) = 0$, g_t is increasing on $(0, u_t)$ with a local maximum in

$$(2.17) \quad u_t := \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-2t}} \in (0, 1), \quad t \neq 1/2$$

is decreasing on $(u_t, 1)$ with a local minimum in $u = 1$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g_t(u) = \infty$.

Proof. (i). If $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 g'_t(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{u^{1-t}} + \frac{1-t}{u^t} - \frac{1}{u^{1/2}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{t + (1-t)u^{1-2t} - u^{\frac{1-2t}{2}}}{u^{1-t}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $w = u^{\frac{1-2t}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t + (1-t)u^{1-2t} - u^{\frac{1-2t}{2}} &= (1-t)w^2 - w + t. \\ &= (1-t)\left(w - \frac{t}{1-t}\right)(w-1) \\ &= (1-t)\left(u^{\frac{1-2t}{2}} - \frac{t}{1-t}\right)\left(u^{\frac{1-2t}{2}} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $g'_t(u) = 0$ only for $u = 1$ and $u_t = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2t}} \in (0, 1)$. Also $g'_t(u) > 0$ for $u \in (0, u_t) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $g'_t(u) < 0$ for $u \in (u_t, 1)$. These imply the desired conclusion.

(ii) If $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then

$$g'_t(u) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-t + tu^{2t-1} - u^{\frac{2t-1}{2}}}{u^t}.$$

If we denote $z = u^{\frac{2t-1}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1-t + tu^{2t-1} - u^{\frac{2t-1}{2}} &= tz^2 - z + 1-t \\ &= t\left(z - \frac{1-t}{t}\right)(z-1) \\ &= t\left(u^{\frac{2t-1}{2}} - \frac{1-t}{t}\right)\left(u^{\frac{2t-1}{2}} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $g'_t(u) = 0$ only for $u = 1$ and $u_t = \left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)^{\frac{2}{2t-1}} = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2t}} \in (0, 1)$. Also $g'_t(u) > 0$ for $u \in (0, u_t) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $g'_t(u) < 0$ for $u \in (u_t, 1)$. These imply the desired conclusion. \square

Lemma 5. Assume that $a, b > 0$ with $0 < \frac{b}{a} \leq 1$, then for $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{1/2\}$

$$(2.18) \quad 0 \leq H_t(a, b) - \sqrt{ab} \leq D(t)a,$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad D(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2t}{1-2t}} + \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2(1-t)}{1-2t}} \right) - \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2t}}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4 we have for $u \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{1/2\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (u^t + u^{1-t}) - \sqrt{u} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2t}{1-2t}} + \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{2(1-t)}{1-2t}} \right) - \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2t}} \\ &= D(t). \end{aligned}$$

By taking $u = \frac{b}{a}$ we get the desired result (2.18). \square

Theorem 3. Assume that A, B are positive definite matrices with $A \leq B$, then

$$(2.20) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ \leq D(t) [\det(A)]^{-1/2}$$

for all $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{1/2\}$.

Also,

$$(2.21) \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ \leq [\det(A)]^{-1/2} \int_0^1 D(t) dt.$$

Proof. For $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\frac{b}{a} = \frac{\exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)}{\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)} = \exp(-\langle (B-A)x, x \rangle) \leq 1.$$

From (2.18) we get

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) dx \right) \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)x, x\right\rangle\right) dx \\ \leq D(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx,$$

and by a similar argument as above, we get (2.20). □

3. RELATED RESULTS

If we take the square in the representation (1.5), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy,$$

hence

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

We have:

Theorem 4. *Assume that the positive definite matrices A, B satisfy the condition (2.3), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + m((1-t)I_n))]^{-1} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A + M((1-t)I_n))]^{-1} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + mI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + mtI_n)]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1} \right] - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A + MI_n)]^{-1} + [\det(A)]^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad - \int_0^1 [\det(A + MtI_n)]^{-1} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we apply the inequality (2.2) for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)$,

$$k = \exp\left(-M\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right)$$

and

$$K = \exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right) < 1,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[\frac{\exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right) + 1}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right) \right)^t + \left(\exp\left(-m\left(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2\right)\right) \right)^{1-t} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)] \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} [\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle - \langle ((1-t)A + tB)y, y \rangle) \\
 &+ \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle - \langle (tA + (1-t)B)y, y \rangle)] \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{\exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) + 1}{2} \right. \\
 &\left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) \right)^t + \left(\exp(-M(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2)) \right)^{1-t} \right) \right] \\
 &\times \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the double integral on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ then we get by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (K_n(A + mI_n) + K_n(A)) \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} (K_n(A + mtI_n) + K_n(A + m((1-t)I_n))) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [K_n(A) + K_n(B)] - \frac{1}{2} [K_n((1-t)A + tB) + K_n(tA + (1-t)B)] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (K_n(A + MI_n) + K_n(A)) \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} (K_n(A + MtI_n) + K_n(A + M((1-t)I_n))).
 \end{aligned}$$

By making use of the second equality in (3.1) we derive (3.2).

The inequality (3.3) follows by integrating (3.2) over $t \in [0, 1]$. □

In a similar manner we can prove the following result as well:

Theorem 5. *Assume that A, B are positive definite matrices with $A \leq B$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1} \right] \\
 &- \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq D(t) [\det(A)]^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{1/2\}$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad 0 &\leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq [\det(A)]^{-1} \int_0^1 D(t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [11, p. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$(3.6) \quad K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \bar{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)},$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the same inequalities for positive definite Hermitian matrices H and K .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] R. Bhatia, Interpolating the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and its operator version, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **413** (2006) 355–363.
- [3] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361** (2010), 262–269.
- [4] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Lin. Multilin. Alg.*, **59** (2011), 1031–1037.
- [5] Y. Li, L. Yongtao, Z. Huang Feng and W. Liu, Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **23** (2020), no. 2, 477–485.
- [6] M. Lin and G. Sinnamon, Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard’s determinant inequality. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **606** (2020), 192–200
- [7] J.-T. Liu, Q.-W. Wang and F.-F. Sun, Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **20** (2017), no. 2, 537–542.
- [8] W. Luo, Further extensions of Hartfiel’s determinant inequality to multiple matrices. *Spec. Matrices* 9 (2021), 78–82.
- [9] M. Ito, Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 949–966.
- [10] L. Mirsky, An inequality for positive definite matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62** (1955), 428–430.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Acedemi Publishers, 1993

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA