

# SOME PROPERTIES OF TENSORIAL PERSPECTIVE FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR<sup>1,2</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Assume that  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $A, B > 0$ . We define the *tensorial perspective* for the function  $f$  and the pair of operators  $(A, B)$  by

$$\mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) := (1 \otimes B) f(A \otimes B^{-1}).$$

In this paper we show among others that, if  $f$  is differentiable convex, then

$$\mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) \geq [f(u) - f'(u)u](1 \otimes B) + f'(u)(A \otimes 1),$$

for  $A, B > 0$  and  $u > 0$ . Moreover, if  $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ ,  $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$  and such that  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq \Gamma$  for  $t \in I$  and  $s \in J$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) &\leq [f(u) - f'(u)u](1 \otimes B) + f'(u)(A \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)]|A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)| \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be intervals from  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  be a  $k$ -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  such that the spectrum of  $A_i$  is contained in  $I_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We say that such a  $k$ -tuple is in the domain of  $f$ . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of  $A_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; by following [2], we define

$$(1.1) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product  $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$ .

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [7] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever  $f$  can be separated as a product  $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$  of  $k$  functions each depending on only one variable.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

*Key words and phrases.* Tensorial product, Hadamard Product, Selfadjoint operators, Convex functions.

It is known that, if  $f$  is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on  $[0, \infty)$ , namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s)f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , then [10, p. 173]

$$(1.2) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} tdE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} sdF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators  $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where  $t \in [0, 1]$  and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of  $\#$  and  $\otimes$  we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [13] obtained the following *Caltebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

for  $A, B > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Assume that  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $A, B > 0$ . We define the *tensorial perspective* for the function  $f$  and the pair of operators  $(A, B)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) := (1 \otimes B) f(A \otimes B^{-1}).$$

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if  $f$  is differentiable convex, then

$$\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) \geq [f(u) - f'(u)u](1 \otimes B) + f'(u)(A \otimes 1),$$

for  $A, B > 0$  and  $u > 0$ . Moreover, if  $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ ,  $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$  and such that  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq \Gamma$  for  $t \in I$  and  $s \in J$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) &\leq [f(u) - f'(u)u](1 \otimes B) + f'(u)(A \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)| \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ .

## 2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

Recall the following property of the tensorial product

$$(2.1) \quad (AC) \otimes (BD) = (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)$$

that holds for any  $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$ .

If we take  $C = A$  and  $D = B$ , then we get

$$A^2 \otimes B^2 = (A \otimes B)^2.$$

By induction and using (2.1) we derive that

$$(2.2) \quad A^n \otimes B^n = (A \otimes B)^n \text{ for natural } n \geq 0.$$

In particular

$$(2.3) \quad A^n \otimes 1 = (A \otimes 1)^n \text{ and } 1 \otimes B^n = (1 \otimes B)^n$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We also observe that, by (2.1), the operators  $A \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes B$  are commutative and

$$(2.4) \quad (A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) = (1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1) = A \otimes B.$$

Moreover, for two natural numbers  $m, n$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad (A \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes B)^n = (1 \otimes B)^n (A \otimes 1)^m = A^m \otimes B^n.$$

According with the properties of tensorial products and functional calculus for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) &= (1 \otimes B) f\left((A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B)^{-1}\right) \\ &= f\left((A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B)^{-1}\right) (1 \otimes B) \\ &= f\left((1 \otimes B)^{-1}(A \otimes 1)\right) (1 \otimes B), \end{aligned}$$

due to the commutativity of  $A \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes B$ .

In the following, we consider the spectral resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  given by

$$(2.6) \quad A = \int_{[0,\infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0,\infty)} s dF(s).$$

We have the following representation result for continuous functions:

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $A, B > 0$ , then*

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s f\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s).$$

*Proof.* By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, any continuous function can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials, therefore it suffices to prove the equality for the power function  $\varphi(t) = t^n$  with  $n$  any natural number.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s\varphi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^n dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} t^n s^{1-n} dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= A^n \otimes B^{1-n} = A^n \otimes BB^{-n} = (1 \otimes B)(A^n \otimes B^{-n}) \\
&= (1 \otimes B)(A \otimes B^{-1})^n = \mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \otimes}(A, B),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that (2.7) holds for the power function.

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We assume in the following that  $A, B > 0$ .

If we consider the function  $\Pi_r(u) = u^r - 1$ ,  $u \geq 0$ ,  $r > 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{\Pi_r, \otimes}(A, B) &:= (1 \otimes B) \Pi_r(A \otimes B^{-1}) \\
&= (1 \otimes B) \left[ (A \otimes B^{-1})^r - 1 \right] \\
&= (A \otimes 1)^r (1 \otimes B)^{1-r} - 1 \otimes B.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take  $f = -\ln(\cdot)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{-\ln(\cdot), \otimes}(A, B) &:= -(1 \otimes B) \ln(A \otimes B^{-1}) \\
&= -\ln\left((1 \otimes B)^{-1} (A \otimes 1)\right) (1 \otimes B) \\
&= (1 \otimes B) [\ln(1 \otimes B) - \ln(A \otimes 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

If we take  $f = (\cdot) \ln(\cdot)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{(\cdot) \ln(\cdot), \otimes}(A, B) &:= (1 \otimes B) (A \otimes B^{-1}) \ln(A \otimes B^{-1}) \\
&= (A \otimes 1) [\ln(A \otimes 1) - \ln(1 \otimes B)].
\end{aligned}$$

If we take  $f = |\cdot - \alpha|$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{|\cdot - \alpha|, \otimes}(A, B) &= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s \left| \frac{t}{s} - \alpha \right| dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} |t - \alpha s| dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= |A \otimes 1 - \alpha 1 \otimes B|,
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used the result obtained in [6],

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(h(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes k(B)) = \int_I \int_J \psi(h(t) + k(s)) dE(t) \otimes dF(s),$$

here  $A$  and  $B$  are selfadjoint operators with  $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$  and  $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$ ,  $h$  is continuous on  $I$ ,  $k$  is continuous on  $J$  and  $\psi$  is continuous on an interval  $U$  that contains the sum of the intervals  $h(I) + k(J)$ , while  $A$  and  $B$  have the spectral resolutions

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_J s dF(s).$$

For  $f = |\cdot - 1|$  we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{|\cdot - 1|, \otimes}(A, B) = |A \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B|.$$

Consider the  $q$ -logarithm defined by

$$\ln_q u = \begin{cases} \frac{u^{1-q} - 1}{1-q} & \text{if } q \neq 1, \\ \ln u & \text{if } q = 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $q \neq 1$  we define

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\ln_q, \otimes}(A, B) &:= (1 \otimes B) \ln_q \left( (A \otimes 1) (1 \otimes B)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(A \otimes 1)^{1-q} (1 \otimes B)^q - 1 \otimes B}{1-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be a continuous function defined on the interval  $I$  of real numbers,  $B$  a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $H$  and  $A$  a positive invertible operator on  $H$ . Assume that the spectrum  $\text{Sp}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}) \subset \mathring{I}$ . Then by using the continuous functional calculus, we can define the *perspective*  $\mathcal{P}_f(B, A)$  by setting

$$\mathcal{P}_f(B, A) := A^{1/2} f \left( A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2}.$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are commutative, then

$$\mathcal{P}_f(B, A) = A f(BA^{-1})$$

provided  $\text{Sp}(BA^{-1}) \subset \mathring{I}$ .

It is well known that (see for instance [8]), if  $f$  is an *operator convex function* defined in the positive half-line, then the mapping

$$(B, A) \mapsto \mathcal{P}_f(B, A)$$

defined in pairs of positive definite operators, is operator convex.

The following inequality is also of interest, see [12]:

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $f$  is nonnegative and operator monotone on  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $A \geq C > 0$  and  $B \geq D > 0$ , then*

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{P}_f(A, B) \geq \mathcal{P}_f(C, D).$$

We can state the following result for the tensorial perspective:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f$  is an operator convex function defined in the positive half-line, then  $\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}$  is operator convex in pairs of positive definite operators as well. If  $A \geq C > 0$  and  $B \geq D > 0$ , then also*

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) \geq \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(C, D).$$

*Proof.* Assume  $f$  is an operator convex function in the positive half-line. Since  $A \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes B$  are commutative, hence

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) = (1 \otimes B) f \left( (A \otimes 1) (1 \otimes B)^{-1} \right) = \mathcal{P}_f(A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B)$$

for  $A, B > 0$ .

If  $A, B, C, D > 0$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}((1 - \lambda)(A, B) + \lambda(C, D)) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda C, (1 - \lambda)B + \lambda D)) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_f(((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda C) \otimes 1, 1 \otimes ((1 - \lambda)B + \lambda D)) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_f((1 - \lambda)A \otimes 1 + \lambda C \otimes 1, (1 - \lambda)1 \otimes B + \lambda 1 \otimes D) \\
&= \mathcal{P}_f((1 - \lambda)(A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B) + \lambda(C \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D)) \\
&\leq (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{P}_f(A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B) + \lambda\mathcal{P}_f(C \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D) \\
&= (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) + \lambda\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(C, D),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}$  is operator convex in pairs of positive definite operators.

If  $A \geq C > 0$  and  $B \geq D > 0$ , then  $A \otimes 1 \geq C \otimes 1 > 0$  and  $1 \otimes B \geq 1 \otimes D > 0$ . By utilizing Theorem 1 we derive that

$$\mathcal{P}_f(A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B) \geq \mathcal{P}_f(C \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D).$$

By utilizing the representation (2.12) we derive the desired result (2.11).  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Suppose that  $I$  is an interval of real numbers with interior  $\mathring{I}$  and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function on  $I$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathring{I}$  and has finite left and right derivatives at each point of  $\mathring{I}$ . Moreover, if  $x, y \in \mathring{I}$  and  $x < y$ , then  $f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(x) \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y)$  which shows that both  $f'_-$  and  $f'_+$  are nondecreasing function on  $\mathring{I}$ . It is also known that a convex function must be differentiable except for at most countably many points.

For a convex function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the subdifferential of  $f$  denoted by  $\partial f$  is the set of all functions  $\varphi : I \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  such that  $\varphi(\mathring{I}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) \geq f(a) + (x - a)\varphi(a) \text{ for any } x, a \in I.$$

It is also well known that if  $f$  is convex on  $I$ , then  $\partial f$  is nonempty,  $f'_-, f'_+ \in \partial f$  and if  $\varphi \in \partial f$ , then

$$f'_-(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq f'_+(x) \text{ for any } x \in \mathring{I}.$$

In particular,  $\varphi$  is a nondecreasing function.

If  $f$  is differentiable and convex on  $\mathring{I}$ , then  $\partial f = \{f'\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** Assume that  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $A, B > 0$  and  $u \in (0, \infty)$  while  $\varphi \in \partial f$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) \geq [f(u) - \varphi(u)u](1 \otimes B) + \varphi(u)(A \otimes 1).$$

Moreover, if  $f$  is differentiable, then

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) \geq [f(u) - f'(u)u](1 \otimes B) + f'(u)(A \otimes 1),$$

for all  $A, B > 0$  and  $u \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* By the gradient inequality we have

$$(3.4) \quad f(x) \geq f(u) + (x - u)\varphi(u)$$

for all  $x, u \in (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $x = \frac{t}{s}$  in (3.4), then we get

$$(3.5) \quad f\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq f(u) + \left(\frac{t}{s} - u\right) \varphi(u)$$

for all  $t, s > 0$ .

If we multiply (3.5) by  $s > 0$ , then we get

$$(3.6) \quad sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \geq sf(u) + \varphi(u)(t - us)$$

for all  $t, s > 0$ .

We consider the spectral resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  given by

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s).$$

If we take in (3.6) the integral  $\int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)}$  over  $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & \geq \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} [sf(u) + \varphi(u)(t - us)] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & = f(u) \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & \quad + \varphi(u) \left[ \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \otimes dF(s) - u \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \right] \\ & = f(u)(1 \otimes B) + \varphi(u)(A \otimes 1 - u1 \otimes B) \end{aligned}$$

and by the representation (2.7) we get the desired inequality (3.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 3 and for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \geq [f(u) - \varphi(u)u] \langle By, y \rangle + \varphi(u) \langle Ax, x \rangle,$$

for all  $u > 0$ .

If  $f$  is differentiable, then

$$(3.8) \quad \langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \geq [f(u) - f'(u)u] \langle By, y \rangle + f'(u) \langle Ax, x \rangle.$$

In particular, if we take  $u = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}$  in (3.7) then we get the Jensen's type inequality of interest

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \geq f\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right).$$

*Proof.* If we take the tensorial inner product over  $x \otimes y$  in (3.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad & \langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & \geq f(u) \langle (1 \otimes B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & \quad + \varphi(u) \langle (A \otimes 1 - u1 \otimes B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & = f(u) \langle (1 \otimes B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & \quad + \varphi(u) [\langle (A \otimes 1)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle - u \langle 1 \otimes B(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 \otimes B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle &= \langle (1x \otimes By), x \otimes y \rangle \\ &= \langle 1x, x \rangle \langle By, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 \langle By, y \rangle = \langle By, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A \otimes 1)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle &= \langle Ax \otimes 1y, x \otimes y \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax, x \rangle \langle 1y, y \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle \|y\|^2 = \langle Ax, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.10) we deduce (3.7).

If we take  $u = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}$  in (3.7), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ &\geq \left[ f\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \right] \langle By, y \rangle \\ &+ \varphi\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) \langle Ax, x \rangle \\ &= f\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) \langle By, y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (3.9). □

**Corollary 2.** *Assume that  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  for some constants  $m, M$  and  $\varphi \in \partial f$ , then*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) &\geq \left[ f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \frac{m+M}{2} \right] (1 \otimes B) \\ &+ \varphi\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) (A \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

and, if  $f$  is differentiable,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) &\geq \left[ f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) - f'\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \frac{m+M}{2} \right] (1 \otimes B) \\ &+ f'\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) (A \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) &\geq \left( \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m} \right) (A \otimes 1) \\ &+ \left( \frac{2}{M - m} \int_m^M f(u) du - \frac{Mf(M) - mf(m)}{M - m} \right) (1 \otimes B). \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* If we take the integral mean in (3.2), then we get

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) &\geq \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M f(u) du \right) (1 \otimes B) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M \varphi(u) du \right) (A \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M \varphi(u) u du \right) (1 \otimes B). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, since  $\varphi \in \partial\Phi$ , hence

$$\frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M \varphi(u) du = \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M-m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M u \varphi(u) du &= \frac{1}{M-m} \left[ u f(u) \Big|_m^M - \int_m^M f(u) du \right] \\ &= \frac{Mf(M) - mf(m)}{M-m} - \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M f(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M f(u) du \right) (1 \otimes B) + \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M \varphi(u) du \right) (A \otimes 1) \\ &- \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M \varphi(u) u du \right) (1 \otimes B) \\ &= \left( \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M-m} \right) (A \otimes 1) \\ &+ \left( \frac{2}{M-m} \int_m^M f(u) du - \frac{Mf(M) - mf(m)}{M-m} \right) (1 \otimes B) \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.14) we obtain (3.13).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Assume that  $f$  is continuously differentiable convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $A, B > 0$  and  $u \in (0, \infty)$ , then

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) \leq f(u) (1 \otimes B) + \mathcal{P}_{f',\otimes}^\dagger(A, B) - u \mathcal{P}_{f',\otimes}(A, B),$$

where for a continuous function  $g$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{g,\otimes}^\dagger(A, B) &:= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} t g\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &= (A \otimes 1) g(A \otimes B^{-1}) \\ &= (A \otimes 1) g\left((A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B)^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the gradient inequality we have

$$(3.17) \quad f(x) \leq f(u) + (x-u) f'(x)$$

for all  $x, u \in (0, \infty)$ .

If we take  $x = \frac{t}{s}$  in (3.17) and multiply with  $s$ , then we get

$$(3.18) \quad sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \leq sf(u) + tf'\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) - usf'\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)$$

for all  $t, s \in (0, \infty)$ .

We consider the spectral resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  given by

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} tdE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_{[0, \infty)} sdF(s).$$

If we take in (3.18) the integral  $\int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)}$  over  $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ , then we get

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & \leq f(u) \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} sdE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & + \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} tf'\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ & - u \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} sf'\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired inequality (3.15).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 and for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , we have*

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & \leq f(u) \langle By, y \rangle + \langle \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}^\dagger(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & - u \langle \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u > 0$ .

In particular, if we take  $u = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}$  in (3.7) then we get the Jensen's type inequality of interest

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} - f\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}^\dagger(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \\ & - \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle^2} \langle \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 and if  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  for some constants  $m, M$ , then*

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f, \otimes}(A, B) & \leq f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)(1 \otimes B) + \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}^\dagger(A, B) \\ & - \frac{m+M}{2} \mathcal{P}_{f', \otimes}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) &\leq \left( \frac{1}{M-m} \int_m^M f(u) du \right) (1 \otimes B) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{P}_{f',\otimes}^\dagger(A, B) - \frac{m+M}{2} \mathcal{P}_{f',\otimes}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

**Theorem 5.** Assume that  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $A, B > 0$  with spectra  $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ ,  $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$  and such that  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq \Gamma$  for  $t \in I$  and  $s \in J$ , then

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B) &\leq [f(u) - u\varphi(u)](1 \otimes B) + \varphi(u)(A \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)| \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$  and  $\varphi \in \partial f$ .

*Proof.* Observe that, by the gradient inequality we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &\leq f(u) + (x-u)\varphi(x) \\ &= f(u) + (x-u)\varphi(u) + (x-u)[\varphi(x) - \varphi(u)] \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, u > 0$  and  $\varphi \in \partial f$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is monotonic nondecreasing, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (f'(x) - f'(u))(x-u) = |(f'(x) - f'(u))(x-u)| \\ &= |f'(x) - f'(u)| |x-u| \leq [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |x-u|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$  and by (3.25)

$$(3.26) \quad f(x) \leq f(u) + (x-u)\varphi(u) + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |x-u|$$

for  $x, u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ .

If we take in (3.26)  $x = \frac{t}{s}$  and multiply with  $s$ , then we get

$$(3.27) \quad sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \leq sf(u) + (t-us)\varphi(u) + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |t-us|$$

for  $t, s > 0$  with  $\frac{t}{s}, u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ .

We consider the spectral resolutions of  $A$  and  $B$  given by

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_J s dF(s).$$

If we take in (3.18) the integral  $\int_I \int_J$  over  $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I \int_J sf\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\leq f(u) \int_I \int_J s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) + \varphi(u) \int_I \int_J (t-us) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\quad + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] \int_I \int_J |t-us| dE(t) \otimes dF(s), \end{aligned}$$

which, as above, gives the desired result (3.24).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *With the assumptions of Theorem 5 and for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , we have*

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle \\ & \leq [f(u) - u\varphi(u)] \langle By, y \rangle + \langle Ax, x \rangle \varphi(u) \\ & \quad + [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] |A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)| (x \otimes y), x \otimes y \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ .

In particular, if we take  $u = \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$  in (3.28) then we get the reverse of Jensen's inequality

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} - f\left(\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle}\right) \\ & \leq [f'_-(\Gamma) - f'_+(\gamma)] \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \frac{1}{\langle By, y \rangle} \left| A \otimes 1 - \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} (1 \otimes B) \right| (x \otimes y), x \otimes y \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. SOME EXAMPLES

Consider the function  $\Pi_r(u) = u^r - 1$ ,  $u \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , then by (3.3) we get

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_r, \otimes}(A, B) \geq ru^{r-1}(A \otimes 1) - [(r-1)u^r + 1](1 \otimes B),$$

for  $A, B > 0$  and  $u > 0$ .

If there exist the constants  $m_1, M_1, m_2$  and  $M_2$  with

$$(4.2) \quad 0 < m_1 \leq A \leq M_1, m_2 \leq B \leq M_2,$$

then we can take in Theorem 5  $\gamma = \frac{m_1}{M_2}$  and  $\Gamma = \frac{M_1}{m_2}$  and from (3.24) we derive

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_r, \otimes}(A, B) & \leq A \otimes 1 - [(r-1)u^r + 1](1 \otimes B) \\ & \quad + r \left( \left( \frac{M_1}{m_2} \right)^{r-1} - \left( \frac{m_1}{M_2} \right)^{r-1} \right) |A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , we have by (3.9) that

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_r, \otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \geq \left( \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \right)^r - 1$$

for  $A, B > 0$ .

If the condition (4.2) is satisfied, then by (3.29) we get

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{f,\otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} - \left( \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \right)^r + 1 \\ & \leq r \left( \left( \frac{M_1}{m_2} \right)^{r-1} - \left( \frac{m_1}{M_2} \right)^{r-1} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left\langle \frac{1}{\langle By, y \rangle} \left| A \otimes 1 - \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} (1 \otimes B) \right| (x \otimes y), x \otimes y \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ .

If we take the convex function  $f = (\cdot) \ln(\cdot)$ , then we get by (3.3) that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{P}_{(\cdot) \ln(\cdot), \otimes}(A, B) \geq (\ln u + 1)(A \otimes 1) - u(1 \otimes B),$$

for  $A, B > 0$  and  $u > 0$ .

By (3.9) we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{(\cdot)\ln(\cdot),\otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle Ax, x \rangle} \geq \ln \left( \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \right)$$

for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ .

If the condition (4.2) is satisfied, then by (3.24) we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{P}_{(\cdot)\ln(\cdot),\otimes}(A, B) \leq (\ln u + 1)(A \otimes 1) - u(1 \otimes B) \\ + \ln \left( \frac{M_1 M_2}{m_2 m_1} \right) |A \otimes 1 - u(1 \otimes B)|$$

for  $u \in \left[ \frac{m_1}{M_2}, \frac{M_1}{m_2} \right]$ .

From (3.29) we also derive

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\langle \mathcal{P}_{(\cdot)\ln(\cdot),\otimes}(A, B)(x \otimes y), x \otimes y \rangle}{\langle Ax, x \rangle} - \ln \left( \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} \right) \\ \leq \ln \left( \frac{M_1 M_2}{m_2 m_1} \right) \\ \times \left\langle \frac{1}{\langle Ax, x \rangle} \left| A \otimes 1 - \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle By, y \rangle} (1 \otimes B) \right| (x \otimes y), x \otimes y \right\rangle$$

for  $x, y \in H$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ .

By choosing other convex functions, one can derive several similar inequalities. The details are omitted.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] N. S. Barnett, P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Some new inequalities for Hermite-Hadamard divergence in information theory. in *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*. Vol. **3**, 7-19, Nova Sci. Publ., Hauppauge, NY, 2003. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **5** (2002), No. 4, Art. 8, 11 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v5n4/NIHHDIT.pdf>]
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74**(3)(2006), 417-478.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some tensorial Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 90, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a90.pdf>]
- [7] A. Korányi. On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520-554.
- [8] A. Ebadian, I. Nikoufar and M. E. Gordji, Perspectives of matrix convex functions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108** (2011), no. 18, 7313-7314.
- [9] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535
- [10] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [11] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241.
- [12] I. Nikoufar and M. Shamohammadi, The converse of the Loewner-Heinz inequality via perspective, *Lin. & Multilin. Alg.*, **66** (2018), NO. 2, 243-249.

- [13] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.

<sup>1</sup>MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

*E-mail address:* `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

*URL:* <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

<sup>2</sup>DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA