

A TRAPEZOID TYPE TENSORIAL NORM INEQUALITY FOR CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hilbert space. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $\|f'\|_{I,\infty} := \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)| < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes f(B) - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In particular, we have the midpoint inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Assume that the function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, then we have the *generalized trapezoid inequality*, see for instance [4, p. 90]

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(b-x)f(b) + (x-a)f(a)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{x - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right]^2 \|f'\|_{\infty} (b-a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$ and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

For $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ we get the *trapezoid inequality*

$$\left| \frac{f(b) + f(a)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{\infty} (b-a),$$

with $\frac{1}{4}$ as best possible constant.

In order to extend this result for tensorial products of selfadjoint operators and norms, we need the following preparations.

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$

¹1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

²*Key words and phrases.* Tensorial product, Selfadjoint operators, Operator norm, Trapezoid rule, Convex functions.

be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [2], we define

$$(1.2) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [7] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is known that, if f is super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s)f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then [10, p. 173]

$$(1.3) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$(1.4) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [14] obtained the following *Caltebaud type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. For other similar results, see [1], [3] and [8]-[11].

Motivated by the above results, if f is continuously differentiable on I with $\|f'\|_{I,\infty} := \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)| < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (1-\lambda)f(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes f(B) - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In particular, we have the midpoint inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f(B)}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Recall the following property of the tensorial product

$$(2.1) \quad (AC) \otimes (BD) = (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)$$

that holds for any $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$.

If we take $C = A$ and $D = B$, then we get

$$A^2 \otimes B^2 = (A \otimes B)^2.$$

By induction and using (2.1) we derive that

$$(2.2) \quad A^n \otimes B^n = (A \otimes B)^n \text{ for natural } n \geq 0.$$

In particular

$$(2.3) \quad A^n \otimes 1 = (A \otimes 1)^n \text{ and } 1 \otimes B^n = (1 \otimes B)^n$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

We also observe that, by (2.1), the operators $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes B$ are commutative and

$$(2.4) \quad (A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) = (1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1) = A \otimes B.$$

Moreover, for two natural numbers m, n we have

$$(2.5) \quad (A \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes B)^n = (1 \otimes B)^n (A \otimes 1)^m = A^m \otimes B^n.$$

We have the following representation results for continuous functions:

Lemma 1. *Assume A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ and $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$. Let f, h be continuous on I , g, k continuous on J and φ continuous on an interval K that contains the sum of the intervals $h(I) + k(J)$, then*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) \varphi(h(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes k(B)) \\ & = \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) \varphi(h(t) + k(s)) dE_t \otimes dF_s, \end{aligned}$$

where A and B have the spectral resolutions

$$(2.7) \quad A = \int_I t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_J s dF(s).$$

Proof. By Stone-Weierstrass, any continuous function can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials, therefore it suffices to prove the equality for the power function $\varphi(t) = t^n$ with n any natural number.

For natural number $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad \mathcal{K} &:= \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) (h(t) + k(s))^n dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m \int_I \int_J (f(t) + g(s)) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m \left[\int_I \int_J f(t) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_I \int_J [h(t)]^m g(s) [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \int_J f(t) [h(t)]^m [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= f(A) [h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} = (f(A) \otimes 1) \left([h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} \right) \\
&= (f(A) \otimes 1) ([h(A)]^m \otimes 1) \left(1 \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} \right) \\
&= (f(A) \otimes 1) (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \int_J [h(t)]^m g(s) [k(s)]^{n-m} dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= [h(A)]^m \otimes \left(g(B) [k(B)]^{n-m} \right) = (1 \otimes g(B)) \left([h(A)]^m \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} \right) \\
&= (1 \otimes g(B)) ([h(A)]^m \otimes 1) \left(1 \otimes [k(B)]^{n-m} \right) \\
&= (1 \otimes g(B)) (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m},
\end{aligned}$$

with $h(A) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes k(B)$ commutative.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K} &= (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m (h(A) \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes k(B))^{n-m} \\
&= (f(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(B)) (h(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes k(B))^n,
\end{aligned}$$

for which the commutativity of $h(A) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes k(B)$ has been employed. \square

We have the following representation result:

Theorem 1. *Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the trapezoid identity

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\ &= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right) f'((1 - u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating by parts in the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_a^b (t - x) f'(t) dt &= (t - x) f(t) \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b f(t) dt \\ &= (b - x) f(b) + (x - a) f(a) - \int_a^b f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$ and f absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

If we take $x = (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and change the variable $t = (1 - u)a + ub$, then $dt = (b - a) du$ and by (2.11) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda)(b - a) f(b) + \lambda(b - a) f(a) - (b - a) \int_0^1 f((1 - u)a + ub) du \\ &= (b - a)^2 \int_a^b (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)a + ub) du, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) f(b) + \lambda f(a) - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)a + ub) du \\ &= (b - a) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)a + ub) du, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Assume that A and B have the spectral resolutions

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_I s dF(s).$$

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$ in (2.12), then we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_I \int_I \left[(1 - \lambda) f(s) + \lambda f(t) - \int_0^1 f((1 - u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ &= \int_I \int_I \left[(s - t) \int_0^1 (u - \lambda) f'((1 - u)t + us) \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s. \end{aligned}$$

By utilizing Fubini's theorem and Lemma 1 we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad & \int_I \int_I \left[(1-\lambda) f(s) + \lambda f(t) - \int_0^1 f((1-u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= (1-\lambda) \int_I \int_I f(s) dE_t \otimes dF_s + \lambda \int_I \int_I f(t) dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \left(\int_I \int_I (f((1-u)t + us)) dE_t \otimes dF_s \right) du \\
&= (1-\lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & \int_I \int_I \left[(s-t) \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) f'((1-u)t + us) du \right] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) \left[\int_I \int_I (s-t) f'((1-u)t + us) dE_t \otimes dF_s \right] du \\
&= \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\
&= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.13)-(2.15) we get the desired identity (2.9). \square

We have the following generalized trapezoid inequality:

Theorem 2. *Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $\|f'\|_{I,\infty} := \sup_{t \in I} |f'(t)| < \infty$ and A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda) 1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
&\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_{I,\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad & \left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the norm in the identity (2.9) and use the properties of the integral, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad & \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
&= \left\| (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1) \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
&\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left\| \int_0^1 (u-\lambda) f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
&\leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that, by Lemma 1

$$|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| = \int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| dE_t \otimes dF_s$$

for $u, \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Since

$$|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty}$$

for $u, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $t, s \in I$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad & |f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \\
&= \int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \int_I \int_I dE_t \otimes dF_s \\
&= \|f'\|_{I,\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

for $u, \lambda \in [0, 1]$. This implies that

$$\|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| \leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty}$$

for $u, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\
&\leq \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| du = \|f'\|_{I,\infty} \frac{(1-\lambda)^2 + \lambda^2}{2} \\
&= \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_{I,\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.16). \square

3. RELATED RESULTS

We start by the following result:

Theorem 3. Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $|f'|$ is convex on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| [p(1-\lambda) \|f'(A)\| + p(\lambda) \|f'(B)\|],$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where

$$q(\lambda) := \frac{1}{6} (2\lambda^3 - 3\lambda + 2).$$

In particular, we have the trapezoid inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| (\|f'(A)\| + \|f'(B)\|).$$

Proof. Since $|f'|$ is convex on I , then

$$|f'((1-u)t + us)| \leq (1-u)|f'(t)| + u|f'(s)|$$

for all $t, s \in I$ and $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ \leq \int_I \int_I [(1-u)|f'(t)| + u|f'(s)|] dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ = (1-u) \int_I \int_I |f'(t)| dE_t \otimes dF_s + u \int_I \int_I |f'(s)| dE_t \otimes dF_s,$$

namely

$$(3.3) \quad |f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \leq (1-u)|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + u|f'(B)| \otimes 1$$

for all $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm in (3.3), then we get

$$(3.4) \quad \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| \leq \|(1-u)|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + u|f'(B)| \otimes 1\| \\ \leq (1-u) \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 \| + u \| |f'(B)| \otimes 1 \| \\ = (1-u) \|f'(A)\| + u \|f'(B)\|$$

for all $u \in [0, 1]$.

By (2.18) and (3.4) we derive

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\ \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| [(1-u) \|f'(A)\| + u \|f'(B)\|] du \\ = \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ \times \left[\|f'(A)\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda|(1-u) du + \|f'(B)\| \int_0^1 u|u-\lambda| du \right],$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Observe that

$$\int_0^1 u |u - \lambda| du = \frac{1}{6} (2\lambda^3 - 3\lambda + 2) = q(\lambda)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (1-u) |u - \lambda| du = p(1-\lambda)$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By utilising (3.5) we derive (3.1). \square

We recall that the function $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *quasi-convex*, if

$$g((1-\lambda)t + \lambda s) \leq \max\{g(t), g(s)\} = \frac{1}{2} (g(t) + g(s) + |g(t) - g(s)|)$$

for all $t, s \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that f is continuously differentiable on I with $|f'|$ is quasi-convex on I , A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\ \times (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|)$$

In particular,

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \frac{1 \otimes f(B) + f(A) \otimes 1}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ \times (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|).$$

Proof. Since $|f'|$ is quasi-convex on I , then we get

$$|f'((1-u)t + us)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|f'(t)| + |f'(s)| + ||f'(t)| - |f'(s)||)$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$ and $t, s \in I$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE_t \otimes dF_s$, then we get

$$\int_I \int_I |f'((1-u)t + us)| dE_t \otimes dF_s \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_I \int_I (|f'(t)| + |f'(s)| + ||f'(t)| - |f'(s)||) dE_t \otimes dF_s$$

namely

$$|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|)$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the norm, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(|f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| + ||f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)||)\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|)
\end{aligned}$$

for all for $u \in [0, 1]$.

By (2.18) and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| (1-\lambda)1 \otimes f(B) + \lambda f(A) \otimes 1 - \int_0^1 f((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \right\| \\
& \leq \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| \|f'((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B)\| du \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\
& \times \int_0^1 |u-\lambda| (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\
& \times (\| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \| + \| |f'(A)| \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes |f'(B)| \|)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and the inequality (3.6) is proved. \square

4. EXAMPLES

It is known that if U and V are commuting, i.e. $UV = VU$, then the exponential function satisfies the property

$$\exp(U) \exp(V) = \exp(V) \exp(U) = \exp(U + V).$$

Also, if U is invertible and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ then

$$\int_a^b \exp(tU) dt = U^{-1} [\exp(bU) - \exp(aU)].$$

Moreover, if U and V are commuting and $V - U$ is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \exp((1-s)U + sV) ds &= \int_0^1 \exp(s(V-U)) \exp(U) ds \\
&= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(s(V-U)) ds \right) \exp(U) \\
&= (V-U)^{-1} [\exp(V-U) - I] \exp(U) \\
&= (V-U)^{-1} [\exp(V) - \exp(U)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since the operators $U = A \otimes 1$ and $V = 1 \otimes B$ are commutative and if $1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1$ is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \exp((1-u)A \otimes 1 + u1 \otimes B) du \\
&= (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

If A, B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset [m, M]$ and $1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1$ is invertible, then by (2.16)

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(1 - \lambda) \exp(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes \exp(B) \\ & - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)]\| \\ & \leq \exp(M) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|, \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\exp(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \exp B}{2} \right. \\ & \left. - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)] \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \exp(M) \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $f(t) = \exp t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f'|$ is convex, then by Theorem 3 we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(1 - \lambda) \exp(A) \otimes 1 + \lambda 1 \otimes \exp(B) \\ & - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)]\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ & \quad \times (\|\exp(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \exp(B)\| + \|\exp(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \exp(B)\|) \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\exp(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \exp B}{2} \right. \\ & \left. - (1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1)^{-1} [\exp(1 \otimes B) - \exp(A \otimes 1)] \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \|1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1\| \\ & \quad \times (\|\exp(A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \exp(B)\| + \|\exp(A) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \exp(B)\|) \end{aligned}$$

provided that $1 \otimes B - A \otimes 1$ is invertible.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Trapezoidal-type rules from an inequalities point of view, in *Handbook of Analytic-Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, G. Anastassiou (Ed.), CRC Press, NY, 2000, 65-134.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74**(3)(2006), 417-478.

- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some tensorial Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 90, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a90.pdf>]
- [7] A. Korányi. On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520–554.
- [8] A. Ebadian, I. Nikoufar and M. E. Gordji, Perspectives of matrix convex functions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108** (2011), no. 18, 7313–7314.
- [9] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535
- [10] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [11] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison’s Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241.
- [12] I. Nikoufar and M. Shamohammadi, The converse of the Loewner–Heinz inequality via perspective, *Lin. & Multilin. Alg.*, **66** (2018), NO. 2, 243–249.
- [13] A. Ostrowski, Über die Absolutabweichung einer differentienbaren Funktionen von ihren Integralmittelwert, *Comment. Math. Hel.* **10** (1938), 226-227.
- [14] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA