

**TENSORIAL AND HADAMARD PRODUCTS INTEGRAL
REVERSES OF YOUNG'S INEQUALITY FOR CONTINUOUS
FIELDS OF OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hilbert space and Ω a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure μ with $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$. In this paper we show among others that, if $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ are continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$, then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have the tensorial inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1 - \nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1 - \nu) \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} ((1 - \nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1 - \nu) \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau} + B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous *Young's inequality* for scalars says that if $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(1.1) \quad a^{1-\nu} b^{\nu} \leq (1 - \nu) a + \nu b$$

with equality if and only if $a = b$. The inequality (1.1) is also called *ν -weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality*.

We recall that *Specht's ratio* is defined by [13]

$$(1.2) \quad S(h) := \begin{cases} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \ln \left(h^{\frac{1}{h-1}} \right)} & \text{if } h \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1. \end{cases}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Tensorial product, Hadamard Product, Selfadjoint operators, Convex functions.

It is well known that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 1} S(h) = 1$, $S(h) = S\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) > 1$ for $h > 0$, $h \neq 1$. The function is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

The following inequality provides a refinement and a multiplicative reverse for Young's inequality

$$(1.3) \quad S\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^r\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b \leq S\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu,$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

The second inequality in (1.3) is due to Tominaga [14] while the first one is due to Furuichi [5].

It is an open question for the author if in the right hand side of (1.3) we can replace $S\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ by $S\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^R\right)$ where $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [9], [10] provided a refinement and an additive reverse for Young inequality as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad r\left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}\right)^2 \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq R\left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}\right)^2$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

We also consider the *Kantorovich's constant* defined by

$$(1.5) \quad K(h) := \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}, \quad h > 0.$$

The function K is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$, $K(h) \geq 1$ for any $h > 0$ and $K(h) = K\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)$ for any $h > 0$.

The following multiplicative refinement and reverse of Young inequality in terms of Kantorovich's constant holds

$$(1.6) \quad K^r\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b \leq K^R\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

The first inequality in (1.6) was obtained by Zou et al. in [16] while the second by Liao et al. [12].

In the recent paper [4] we obtained the following reverses of Young's inequality as well:

$$(1.7) \quad 0 \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq \nu(1-\nu)(a-b)(\ln a - \ln b)$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad 1 \leq \frac{(1-\nu)a + \nu b}{a^{1-\nu} b^\nu} \leq \exp\left[4\nu(1-\nu)\left(K\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) - 1\right)\right],$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

It has been shown in [4] that there is no ordering for the upper bounds of the quantity $(1-\nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu$ as provided by the inequalities (1.4) and (1.7). The same conclusion is true for the upper bounds of the quantity $\frac{(1-\nu)a + \nu b}{a^{1-\nu} b^\nu}$ incorporated in the inequalities (1.3), (1.6) and (1.8).

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that

the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [2], we define

$$(1.9) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [11] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is known that, if f is super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s) f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then [7, p. 173]

$$(1.10) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$(1.11) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [15] obtained the following *Caldebaud type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that the *Hadamard product* of A and B in $B(H)$ is defined to be the operator $A \circ B \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$\langle (A \circ B) e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle A e_j, e_j \rangle \langle B e_j, e_j \rangle$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an *orthonormal basis* for the separable Hilbert space H .

It is known that, see [6], we have the representation

$$(1.13) \quad A \circ B = \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes B) \mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If f is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on $[0, \infty)$, then also [7, p. 173]

$$(1.14) \quad f(A \circ B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \circ f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \circ 1 \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and *Fiedler inequality*

$$(1.15) \quad A \circ A^{-1} \geq 1 \text{ for } A > 0.$$

As extension of Kadison's Schwarz inequality on the Hadamard product, Ando [1] showed that

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Aujla and Vasudeva [3] gave an alternative upper bound

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0.$$

It has been shown in [8] that $(A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2}$ and $(A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2}$ are incomparable for 2-square positive definite matrices A and B .

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure μ . A field $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ of operators in $B(H)$ is called a continuous field of operators if the parametrization $t \mapsto A_t$ is norm continuous on $B(H)$. If, in addition, the norm function $t \mapsto \|A_t\|$ is Lebesgue integrable on Ω , we can form the Bochner integral $\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)$, which is the unique operator in $B(H)$ such that $\varphi(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(A_t) d\mu(t)$ for every bounded linear functional φ on $B(H)$. Assume also that, $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ are continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$, then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have the tensorial inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} ((1-\nu)A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau} + B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start to the following tensorial inequality:

Lemma 1. *Assume that $A, B > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad 0 &\leq (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^{\nu} \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) [(A \ln A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B \ln B) - A \otimes \ln B - (\ln A) \otimes B]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B) - A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} [(A \ln A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B \ln B) - A \otimes \ln B - (\ln A) \otimes B]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.7) we have

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq (1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^{\nu} \leq \nu(1-\nu)(t-s)(\ln t - \ln s)$$

for all $t, s > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

If

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then by taking the double integral $\int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)}$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad 0 &\leq \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} [(1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^{\nu}] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} (t-s)(\ln t - \ln s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, by (1.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} [(1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^{\nu}] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &= (1-\nu) \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \otimes dF(s) + \nu \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\quad - \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} t^{1-\nu}s^{\nu} dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &= (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} (t-s)(\ln t - \ln s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} (t \ln t + s \ln s - t \ln s - s \ln t) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} t \ln t dE(t) \otimes dF(s) + \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s \ln s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&\quad - \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} t \ln s dE(t) \otimes dF(s) - \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} s \ln t dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= (A \ln A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B \ln B) - A \otimes \ln B - (\ln A) \otimes B
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.4) we get (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad 0 &\leq [(1-\nu)A + \nu B] \circ 1 - A^{1-\nu} \circ B^\nu \\
&\leq \nu(1-\nu) [(A \ln A + B \ln B) \circ 1 - A \circ \ln B - (\ln A) \circ B]
\end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{A+B}{2} \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} [(A \ln A + B \ln B) \circ 1 - A \circ \ln B - (\ln A) \circ B].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the operators X and Y we have the representation

$$X \circ Y = \mathcal{U}^* (X \otimes Y) \mathcal{U},$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we take \mathcal{U}^* to the left and \mathcal{U} to the right in the inequality (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad 0 &\leq \mathcal{U}^* [(1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu] \mathcal{U} \\
&\leq \nu(1-\nu) \mathcal{U}^* [(A \ln A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B \ln B) - A \otimes \ln B - (\ln A) \otimes B] \mathcal{U}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}^* [(1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu] \mathcal{U} \\
&= (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes 1) \mathcal{U} + \nu \mathcal{U}^* (1 \otimes B) \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^* (A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu) \mathcal{U} \\
&= (1-\nu)(A \circ 1) + \nu(1 \circ B) - (A^{1-\nu} \circ B^\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}^* [(A \ln A) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B \ln B) - A \otimes \ln B - (\ln A) \otimes B] \mathcal{U} \\
&= \mathcal{U}^* ((A \ln A) \otimes 1) \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U}^* (1 \otimes (B \ln B)) \mathcal{U} \\
&\quad - \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes \ln B) \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^* ((\ln A) \otimes B) \mathcal{U} \\
&= (A \ln A) \circ 1 + 1 \circ (B \ln B) - A \circ \ln B - (\ln A) \circ B
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.7) we derive (2.5). \square

Remark 1. If we take $B = A$ in Corollary 1, then we get

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \leq A \circ 1 - A^{1-\nu} \circ A^\nu \leq 2\nu(1-\nu) [(A \ln A) \circ 1 - A \circ \ln A]$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \leq A \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ A^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \ln A) \circ 1 - A \circ \ln A].$$

Our first main result is as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $(A_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_\tau), \text{Sp}(B_\tau) \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. Then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_\tau \ln A_\tau) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_\tau \ln B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} \ln B_\tau d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right] \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right] \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_\tau \ln A_\tau) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_\tau \ln B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} \ln B_\tau d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1) we get

$$(2.12) \leq \begin{aligned} &(1-\nu) A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma - A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) [(A_\tau \ln A_\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B_\gamma \ln B_\gamma) - A_\tau \otimes \ln B_\gamma - (\ln A_\tau) \otimes B_\gamma] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$.

If we take the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(\tau)$, then we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu) A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma - A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu] d\mu(\tau) \\ &\leq \nu(1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} [(A_\tau \ln A_\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B_\gamma \ln B_\gamma) \\ &\quad - A_\tau \otimes \ln B_\gamma - (\ln A_\tau) \otimes B_\gamma] d\mu(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega$.

Using the properties of the Bochner's integral and the tensorial product we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu) A_{\tau} \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_{\gamma} - A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu}] d\mu(\tau) \\ &= (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_{\gamma} - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [(A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B_{\gamma} \ln B_{\gamma}) - A_{\tau} \otimes \ln B_{\gamma} - (\ln A_{\tau}) \otimes B_{\gamma}] d\mu(\tau) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B_{\gamma} \ln B_{\gamma}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \ln B_{\gamma} - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega$.

From (2.13) we then get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad 0 & \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_{\gamma} - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu} \\ & \leq \nu(1-\nu) \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (B_{\gamma} \ln B_{\gamma}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \ln B_{\gamma} - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \otimes B_{\gamma} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega$.

If we take the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(\gamma)$ and utilizing the properties of the Bochner's integral and the tensorial product, we derive the desired result (2.10). \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.15) \quad 0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} ((1-\nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq \nu(1-\nu) \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau} + B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad 0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{A_{\tau} + B_{\tau}}{2} d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\int_{\Omega} (A_{\tau} \ln A_{\tau} + B_{\tau} \ln B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} \ln A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by taking \mathcal{U}^* to the left and \mathcal{U} to the right in the inequality (2.10) and using the properties of the integral.

Remark 2. If we take $B_\tau = A_\tau$, $\tau \in \Omega$ in Corollary 2, then we obtain the following inequalities of interest

$$(2.17) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq 2\nu(1-\nu) \left[\int_{\Omega} A_\tau \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right]$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(2.18) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} A_\tau \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} \ln A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right]$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

In the case when the operators are bounded below and above we also have:

Lemma 2. Assume that the selfadjoint operators A and B satisfy the condition $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$, then

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \leq (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq \frac{A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B}{2} - A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

Proof. If $a, b \in [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$, then

$$0 \leq (a-b)(\ln a - \ln b) = |(a-b)(\ln a - \ln b)| \\ = |a-b| |\ln a - \ln b| \leq (M-m)(\ln M - \ln m).$$

By (2.3) we then get

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu}b^\nu \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m)(\ln M - \ln m)$$

for all $a, b \in [m, M]$.

If

$$A = \int_m^M t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_m^M s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then by taking the double integral $\int_m^M \int_m^M$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (3.3) we get

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \leq \int_m^M \int_m^M [(1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^\nu] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m)(\ln M - \ln m) \int_m^M \int_m^M dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ = \nu(1-\nu)(M-m)(\ln M - \ln m).$$

Observe that, by (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} [(1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^\nu] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= (1-\nu) \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} tdE(t) \otimes dF(s) + \nu \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} sdE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&\quad - \int_{[0,\infty)} \int_{[0,\infty)} t^{1-\nu}s^\nu dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
&= (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives, by (3.4), the desired result (3.1). \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Lemma 2, we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq [(1-\nu)A + \nu B] \circ 1 - A^{1-\nu} \circ B^\nu \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq \frac{A+B}{2} \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

Remark 3. *If we take $B = A$ in Corollary 3, then we get*

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \leq A \circ 1 - A^{1-\nu} \circ A^\nu \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \leq A \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ A^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

We also have:

Theorem 2. *Let $(A_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_\tau), \text{Sp}(B_\tau) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. Then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & 0 \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \\
& \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq \nu(1-\nu)(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\Omega} A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right] \\
& \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_\tau^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows from Lemma 2 in a similar way to the one in the proof of Theorem 1 and the details are omitted.

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} ((1 - \nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq \nu(1 - \nu)(M - m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

In particular,

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{A_{\tau} + B_{\tau}}{2} d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4}(M - m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

If we take $B_{\tau} = A_{\tau}$, $\tau \in \Omega$ in Corollary 4, then we get

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq \nu(1 - \nu)(M - m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{4}(M - m) \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, A Note on Young's Inequality, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas* **111** (2017), no. 2, 349-354.
- [5] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society* **20**(2012), 46-49.
- [6] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535
- [7] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [8] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241
- [9] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **361** (2010), 262-269
- [10] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra.*, **59** (2011), 1031-1037.
- [11] A. Korányi. On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520-554.
- [12] W. Liao, J. Wu and J. Zhao, New versions of reverse Young and Heinz mean inequalities with the Kantorovich constant, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **19** (2015), No. 2, pp. 467-47
- [13] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.*, **74** (1960), pp. 91-98.
- [14] M. Tominaga, Specht's ratio in the Young inequality, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, **55** (2002), 583-588.

- [15] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.
- [16] G. Zuo, G. Shi and M. Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **5** (2011), 551-556.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA