q-Deformed and parametrized half hyperbolic tangent based Banach space valued multivariate multi layer neural network approximations

George A. Anastassiou
Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis,
Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.
ganastss@memphis.edu

Abstract

Here we research the multivariate quantitative approximation of Banach space valued continuous multivariate functions on a box or \mathbb{R}^N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$, by the multivariate normalized, quasi-interpolation, Kantorovich type and quadrature type neural network operators. We investigate also the case of approximation by iterated multilayer neural network operators of the last four types. These approximations are achieved by establishing multidimensional Jackson type inequalities involving the multivariate modulus of continuity of the engaged function or its partial derivatives. Our multivariate operators are defined by using a multidimensional density function induced by a q-deformed and parametrized half hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function. The approximations are pointwise and uniform. The related feed-forward neural network are with one or multi hidden layers

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A17, 41A25, 41A30, 41A36. Keywords and phrases: multi layer approximation, q-deformed and parametrized half hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function, multivariate neural network approximation, quasi-interpolation operator, Kantorovich type operator, quadrature type operator, multivariate modulus of continuity, iterated approximation.

1 Introduction

The author in [2] and [3], see chapters 2-5, was the first to establish neural network approximations to continuous functions with rates by very specifically defined neural network operators of Cardaliaguet-Euvrard and "Squashing" types,

by employing the modulus of continuity of the engaged function or its high order derivative, and producing very tight Jackson type inequalities. He treats there both the univariate and multivariate cases. The defining these operators "bell-shaped" and "squashing" functions are assumed to be of compact support. Also in [3] he gives the Nth order asymptotic expansion for the error of weak approximation of these two operators to a special natural class of smooth functions, see chapters 4-5 there.

Motivations for this work are the article [14] of Z. Chen and F. Cao, also by [4]-[12], [15], [16].

Here we perform a q-deformed and parametrized, q>0, half hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function based neural network approximations to continuous functions over boxes or over the whole \mathbb{R}^N , $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and also iterated, multi layer approximations. All convergences here are with rates expressed via the multi-variate modulus of continuity of the involved function or its partial derivatives and given by very tight multidimensional Jackson type inequalities.

We come up with the "right" precisely defined multivariate normalized, quasi-interpolation neural network operators related to boxes or \mathbb{R}^N , as well as Kantorovich type and quadrature type related operators on \mathbb{R}^N . Our boxes are not necessarily symmetric to the origin. In preparation to prove our results we establish important properties of the basic multivariate density function induced by the q-deformed and parametrized half hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function.

Feed-forward neural networks (FNNs) with one hidden layer, the only type of networks we deal with in this article, are mathematically expressed as

$$N_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \sigma(\langle a_j \cdot x \rangle + b_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N},$$

where for $0 \leq j \leq n$, $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are the thresholds, $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^s$ are the connection weights, $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ are the coefficients, $\langle a_j \cdot x \rangle$ is the inner product of a_j and x, and σ is the activation function of the network. In many fundamental network models, the activation function is the hyperbolic tangent sigmoid function. About neural networks read [17] - [19].

2 About q-deformed and parametrized half hyperbolic tangent function φ_q

Here we introduce and study the function

$$\varphi_{q}\left(t\right) := \frac{1 - qe^{-\beta t}}{1 + qe^{-\beta t}}, \quad \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R},\tag{1}$$

where $q, \beta > 0$.

We have that

$$\varphi_q\left(0\right) = \frac{1-q}{1+q}.$$

We notice that

$$\varphi_q\left(-1\right) = \frac{1-qe^{\beta t}}{1+qe^{\beta t}} = \frac{\frac{1}{qe^{\beta t}}-1}{\frac{1}{qe^{\beta t}}+1} = -\left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta t}}{1+\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta t}}\right) = -\varphi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(t\right).$$

That is

$$\varphi_q(-t) = -\varphi_{\frac{1}{a}}(t), \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (2)

and

$$\varphi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(t\right) = -\varphi_{q}\left(-t\right),\,$$

hence

$$\varphi_{\frac{1}{a}}'(t) = \varphi_{q}'(-t). \tag{3}$$

It is

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \varphi_q(t) = \varphi_q(+\infty) = 1, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \varphi_q(t) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \left(\frac{e^{\beta t} - q}{e^{\beta t} + q} \right) = -1, \tag{5}$$

that is

$$\varphi_q\left(-\infty\right) = -1.$$

We find that

$$\varphi_q'(t) = \frac{2\beta q e^{\beta t}}{\left(e^{\beta t} + q\right)^2} > 0, \quad \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R},\tag{6}$$

therefore φ_q is strictly increasing.

Next we obtain $(t \in \mathbb{R})$

$$\varphi_q''(t) = 2\beta^2 q e^{\beta t} \left(\frac{q - e^{\beta t}}{\left(e^{\beta t} + q \right)^3} \right) \in C(\mathbb{R}), \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (7)

We observe that

$$q - e^{\beta t} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow q \ge e^{\beta t} \Leftrightarrow \ln q \ge \beta t \Leftrightarrow t \le \frac{\ln q}{\beta}.$$

So, in case of $t<\frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, we have that φ_q is strictly concave up, with $\varphi_q''\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta}\right)=0$.

And in case of $t > \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, we have that φ_q is strictly concave down.

Clearly, φ_q is a shifted sigmoid function with $\varphi_q(0) = \frac{1-q}{1+q}$, and $\varphi_q(-x) = -\varphi_{q-1}(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, (a semi-odd function), see also [13].

By 1 > -1, x + 1 > x - 1, we consider the activation function

$$\phi_q(x) := \frac{1}{4} \left(\varphi_q(x+1) - \varphi_q(x-1) \right) > 0, \tag{8}$$

 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}; \ \beta, q > 0.$ Notice that $\phi_q\left(\pm\infty\right) = 0$, so the x-axis is horizontal asymptote.

We have that

$$\phi_{q}(-x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\varphi_{q}(-x+1) - \varphi_{q}(-x-1) \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\beta(-x+1)}}{1 + qe^{-\beta(-x+1)}} - \frac{1 - qe^{-\beta(-x-1)}}{1 + qe^{\beta(-x-1)}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\left(\frac{1 - qe^{\beta(x+1)}}{1 + qe^{\beta(x+1)}} \right) + \left(\frac{1 - qe^{\beta(x-1)}}{1 + qe^{\beta(x-1)}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(-\left(\frac{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x+1)} - 1}{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x+1)} + 1} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x-1)} - 1}{\frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x-1)} + 1} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x+1)}}{1 + \frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x+1)}} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x-1)}}{1 + \frac{1}{q}e^{-\beta(x-1)}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\varphi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x+1) - \varphi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x-1) \right) = \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x), \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus

$$\phi_q(-x) = \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x), \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}$$

a deformed symmetry.

Next we have that

$$\phi_q'(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\varphi_q'(x+1) - \varphi_q'(x-1) \right), \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (11)

Let $x < \frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1$, then $x - 1 < x + 1 < \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$ and $\varphi_q'(x+1) > \varphi_q'(x-1)$ (by φ_q being strictly concave up for $x < \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$), that is $\phi_q'(x) > 0$. Hence φ_q is strictly increasing over $\left(-\infty, \frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1\right)$.

Let now $x-1>\frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, then $x+1>x-1>\frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, and $\varphi_q'(x+1)<\varphi_q'(x-1)$, that is $\varphi_q'(x)<0$.

Therefore ϕ_q is strictly decreasing over $\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta}+1,+\infty\right)$.

Next, let $\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1 \le x \le \frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1$. We have that

$$\phi_{q}''(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\varphi_{q}''(x+1) - \varphi_{q}''(x-1) \right] \stackrel{(7)}{=}$$

$$\frac{\beta^{2} q}{2} \left[e^{\beta(x+1)} \left(\frac{q - e^{\beta(x+1)}}{\left(e^{\beta(x+1)} + q\right)^{3}} \right) - e^{\beta(x-1)} \left(\frac{q - e^{\beta(x-1)}}{\left(e^{\beta(x-1)} + q\right)^{3}} \right) \right].$$
 (12)

By $\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1 \le x \Leftrightarrow \frac{\ln q}{\beta} \le x + 1 \Leftrightarrow \ln q \le \beta (x+1) \Leftrightarrow q \le e^{\beta(x+1)} \Leftrightarrow q - e^{\beta(x+1)} \le 0.$

By $x \le \frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1 \Leftrightarrow x - 1 \le \frac{\ln q}{\beta} \Leftrightarrow \beta(x - 1) \le \ln q \Leftrightarrow e^{\beta(x - 1)} \le q \Leftrightarrow q - e^{\beta(x - 1)} \ge 0.$

Clearly by (12) we get that $\phi_q''(x) \leq 0$, for $x \in \left[\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1\right]$.

More precisely ϕ_q is concave down over $\left[\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1\right]$, and strictly concave down over $\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1, \frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1\right)$. Consequently ϕ_q has a bell-type shape over \mathbb{R} .

Of course it holds $\phi_q''\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta}\right) < 0$.

At $x = \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, we have

$$\phi_{q}'(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\varphi_{q}'(x+1) - \varphi_{q}'(x-1) \right] =$$

$$\frac{\beta q}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\beta(x+1)}}{\left(e^{\beta(x+1)} + q\right)^{2}} - \frac{e^{\beta(x-1)}}{\left(e^{\beta(x-1)} + q\right)^{2}} \right] =$$

$$\frac{\beta q}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\beta\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1\right)}}{\left(e^{\beta\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta} + 1\right)} + q\right)^{2}} - \frac{e^{\beta\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1\right)}}{\left(e^{\beta\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta} - 1\right)} + q\right)^{2}} \right] =$$

$$\frac{\beta q^{2}}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\beta}}{\left(qe^{\beta} + q\right)^{2}} - \frac{e^{-\beta}}{\left(qe^{-\beta} + q\right)^{2}} \right] =$$

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\beta}}{\left(e^{\beta} + 1\right)^{2}} - \frac{e^{-\beta}}{\left(e^{-\beta} + 1\right)^{2}} \right] =$$

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \left[\frac{e^{\beta} \left(e^{-\beta} + 1\right)^{2} - e^{-\beta} \left(e^{\beta} + 1\right)^{2}}{\left(e^{\beta} + 1\right)^{2} \left(e^{-\beta} + 1\right)^{2}} \right] = 0.$$
(13)

Therefore at $x = \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, ϕ_q achieves a maximum, which is

$$\begin{split} \phi_{q}\left(x\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\varphi_{q}\left(x+1\right) - \varphi_{q}\left(x-1\right) \right) = \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta(x+1)}}{1+qe^{-\beta(x+1)}} \right) - \left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta(x-1)}}{1+qe^{-\beta(x-1)}} \right) \right) = \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta x-\beta}}{1+qe^{-\beta x-\beta}} \right) - \left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta x+\beta}}{1+qe^{-\beta x}e^{\beta}} \right) \right) = \\ \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta x}e^{-\beta}}{1+qe^{-\beta x}e^{-\beta}} \right) - \left(\frac{1-qe^{-\beta x}e^{\beta}}{1+qe^{-\beta x}e^{\beta}} \right) \right) = \end{split}$$

$$(14)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\ln q}e^{-\beta}}{1 + qe^{-\ln q}e^{-\beta}} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\ln q}e^{\beta}}{1 + qe^{-\ln q}e^{\beta}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{1 - e^{-\beta}}{1 + e^{-\beta}} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - e^{\beta}}{1 + e^{\beta}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\left(\frac{e^{\beta} - 1}{e^{\beta} + 1} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - e^{\beta}}{1 + e^{\beta}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{e^{\beta} - 1 - 1 + e^{\beta}}{1 + e^{\beta}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2e^{\beta} - 2}{e^{\beta} + 1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\beta}}{1 + e^{-\beta}} \right) = \frac{\varphi_1(1)}{2}.$$
(15)

Conclusion: the maximum value of ϕ_a is

$$\phi_q\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta}\right) = \frac{\left(1 - e^{-\beta}\right)}{2\left(1 + e^{-\beta}\right)} = \frac{\varphi_1\left(1\right)}{2}.\tag{16}$$

We give

Theorem 1 We have that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(x-i) = 1, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \ q, \beta > 0.$$
 (17)

Proof. We notice that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\varphi_{q}\left(x-i\right)-\varphi_{q}\left(x-1-i\right)\right)=$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_q(x-i) - \varphi_q(x-1-i) \right) + \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q(x-i) - \varphi_q(x-1-i) \right).$$

Furthermore $(\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \tag{18}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\rho} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) \text{ (telescoping sum)}$$

$$= \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \left(\varphi_q \left(x \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - (\rho + 1) \right) \right) = 1 + \varphi_q \left(x \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{i=-\rho}^{-1} \left(\varphi_q \left(x - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to \infty} \left(\varphi_q \left(x + \rho \right) - \varphi_q \left(x \right) \right) = 1 - \varphi_q \left(x \right). \tag{19}$$

By adding the last two limits we derive

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_q(x-i) - \varphi_q(x-1-i) \right) = 2, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (20)

Consequently we get

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_q \left(x + 1 - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - i \right) \right) = 2, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore it holds

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_q \left(x + 1 - i \right) - \varphi_q \left(x - 1 - i \right) \right) = 4, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{21}$$

proving the claim.

Thus

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(nx-i) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (22)

Similarly, it holds

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x-i) = 1, \, \forall \, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (23)

But $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x-i) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \phi_q(i-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(i-x) = 1, \, \forall \, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(i+x) = 1, \, \forall \, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (25)

It follows

Theorem 2 It holds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(x) dx = 1, \quad q, \beta > 0.$$
 (26)

Proof. We observe that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(x) \, dx = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{j}^{j+1} \phi_q(x) \, dx = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \phi_q(x+j) \, dx = \tag{27}$$

$$\int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \phi_q(x+j) \, dx \right) = \int_0^1 1 dx = 1.$$

So that ϕ_q is a density function on \mathbb{R} ; $q, \beta > 0$. We need the following result

Theorem 3 Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\alpha} > 2$; $q, \beta > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q\left(nx-k\right) < \max\left\{q,\frac{1}{q}\right\} e^{2\beta} e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}} = K e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}},$$

$$\begin{cases} k=-\infty \\ : |nx-k| \geq n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

where $K := \max\left\{q, \frac{1}{q}\right\} e^{2\beta}$.

Proof. Let $x \ge 1$. That is $0 \le x - 1 < x + 1$. Applying the mean value theorem we obtain

$$\phi_{q}(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\varphi_{q}(x+1) - \varphi_{q}(x-1) \right] = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{2\beta q e^{\beta \xi}}{\left(e^{\beta \xi} + q\right)^{2}} = \frac{\beta q e^{\beta \xi}}{\left(e^{\beta \xi} + q\right)^{2}}, \quad (29)$$

that is

$$\phi_q(x) = \frac{\beta q e^{\beta \xi}}{\left(e^{\beta \xi} + q\right)^2},\tag{30}$$

(28)

for some $0 \le x - 1 < \xi < x + 1; q, \beta > 0$.

But $e^{\beta\xi} < e^{\beta\xi} + q$, and

$$\phi_q\left(x\right) < \frac{\beta q}{e^{\beta \xi} + q} < \frac{\beta q}{e^{\beta \xi}} < \frac{\beta q}{e^{\beta(x-1)}}, \quad x \ge 1. \tag{31}$$

That is

$$\phi_q(x) < \frac{\beta q}{e^{\beta(x-1)}}, \quad \forall \ x \ge 1,$$
 (32)

or, better

$$\phi_q(x) < \beta q e^{\beta} e^{-\beta x}, \quad \forall \ x \ge 1. \tag{33}$$

Thus, we observe that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(|nx-k|) < \begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta q e^{\beta} \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\beta |nx - k|} \le \beta q e^{\beta} \int_{n^{1 - \alpha} - 1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta x} dx = \begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx - k| \ge n^{1 - \alpha} \end{cases}$$

$$qe^{\beta} \int_{n^{1-\alpha}-1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta x} d(\beta x) \stackrel{(y=\beta x)}{=} qe^{\beta} \int_{n^{1-\alpha}-1}^{\infty} e^{-y} dy = qe^{\beta} \left\{ -e^{-y} \Big|_{n^{1-\alpha}-1}^{\infty} \right\} = qe^{\beta} \left\{ e^{-\beta x} \Big|_{\infty}^{n^{1-\alpha}-1} \right\} = qe^{\beta} e^{-\beta (n^{1-\alpha}-1)} = qe^{2\beta} e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}.$$
(34)

Therefore it holds

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(|nx-k|) < qe^{2\beta}e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \beta, q > 0.$$

$$\begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$
(35)

If (nx - k) > 0, then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(nx-k) < qe^{2\beta}e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \beta, q > 0.$$

$$\begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$
(36)

Similarly, it is valid (by (35))

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(|nx-k|) < \frac{1}{q}e^{2\beta}e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \beta, q > 0.$$

$$\begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$
(37)

Assume now that $nx - k \leq 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q (nx-k) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\frac{1}{q}} (-(nx-k))$$

$$\begin{cases}
k = -\infty \\
: |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha}
\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{q} e^{2\beta} e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \beta, q > 0.
\end{cases}$$
(38)

Therefore, it holds (by (36), (38))

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(nx-k) < \max\left\{q, \frac{1}{q}\right\} e^{2\beta} e^{-\beta n^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad \forall \beta, q > 0. \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{cases} k = -\infty \\ : |nx-k| \ge n^{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

The claim is proved.

Let $[\cdot]$ the ceiling of the number, and $|\cdot|$ the integral part of the number.

Theorem 4 Let $x \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\lceil na \rceil \leq \lceil nb \rceil$. For q > 0, we consider the number $\lambda_q > z_0 > 0$ with $\phi_q(z_0) = \phi_q(0)$ and $\beta, \lambda_q > 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \phi_q\left(nx-k\right)} < max \left\{ \frac{1}{\phi_q\left(\lambda_q\right)}, \frac{1}{\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)} \right\} =: \theta\left(q\right). \tag{40}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 we have

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(x-i) = 1, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \ \beta, q > 0,$$

and by (24), we have that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(i-x) = 1, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \ \beta, q > 0. \tag{41}$$

Therefore we get

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(|x-i|) = 1, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall \ \beta, q > 0.$$

$$(42)$$

Hence

$$1 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q(|nx-k|) > \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(|nx-k|) > \phi_q(|nx-k_0|), \quad (43)$$

 $\forall k_0 \in [\lceil na \rceil, \lceil nb \rceil] \cap \mathbb{Z}.$

We can choose $k_0 \in [\lceil na \rceil, \lfloor nb \rfloor] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, such that $|nx - k_0| < 1$. Notice that $|nx - k_0|$ could be $\leq \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$. If $0 \leq |nx - k_0| < \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, by concavity of ϕ_q over \mathbb{R} , we can choose $z \in [\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty)$ such that $\phi_q(|nx - k_0|) = \phi_q(z)$. If $|nx-k_0| \geq \frac{\ln q}{\beta}$ we just set $z:=|nx-k_0|$. Next, we can choose large enough $\lambda_q > 1$, and such that $\lambda_q > z_0 > 0$ where $\phi_q(z_0) = \phi_q(0)$. Clearly, it is $z \leq z_0 < \lambda_q$.

Since ϕ_q is decreasing over $\left[\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty\right)$ we get that $\phi_q\left(\left|nx-k_0\right|\right) \geq \phi_q\left(\lambda_q\right)$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q\left(\left| nx - k \right| \right) > \phi_q\left(\lambda_q \right),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(|nx-k|)} < \frac{1}{\phi_q(\lambda_q)},\tag{44}$$

 $\forall \beta, q > 0.$

If nx - k > 0, by (44), we get

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}\phi_q\left(nx-k\right)} < \frac{1}{\phi_q\left(\lambda_q\right)}, \quad \forall \ \beta, q > 0. \tag{45}$$

We have also that

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil nq\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(|nx-k|\right)} < \frac{1}{\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)}, \quad \forall \ \beta, q > 0. \tag{46}$$

Let now $nx - k \le 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}\phi_q\left(nx-k\right)} \stackrel{\stackrel{(10)}{=}}{=} \frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(-\left(nx-k\right)\right)} \stackrel{(46)}{<} \frac{1}{\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)},\tag{47}$$

 $\forall \beta, q > 0.$

Consequently, it holds

$$\frac{1}{\sum\limits_{k=\lceil nq\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \phi_q(nx-k)} < \max\left\{\frac{1}{\phi_q(\lambda_q)}, \frac{1}{\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\lambda_{\frac{1}{q}}\right)}\right\},\tag{48}$$

 $\forall \beta, q > 0.$

The claim is proved. ■

We make

Remark 5 (i) We also notice for $q \ge 1$ that

$$1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(nb - k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lceil na \rceil - 1} \phi_q(nb - k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor nb \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \phi_q(nb - k)$$
$$> \phi_q(nb - \lfloor nb \rfloor - 1) \tag{49}$$

 $(call\ \varepsilon := nb - |nb|,\ 0 \le \varepsilon < 1)$

$$=\phi_{q}\left(\varepsilon-1\right)=\phi_{q}\left(-\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\right)=\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(1-\varepsilon\right)$$

 $\begin{array}{c} (0<\frac{1}{q}\leq 1 \ \ and \ 0<1-\varepsilon\leq 1) \\ (\phi_{\frac{1}{q}} \ is \ decreasing \ on \ [0,+\infty)). \end{array}$

$$\geq \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(1\right) > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k = \lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q (nb - k) \right) > 0, \quad q \ge 1.$$
 (50)

(ii) Let now $0 < q \le 1$, then we work as in (i), and we have

$$1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(nb - k) > \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(1 - \varepsilon)$$
 (51)

 $(\varepsilon := nb - |nb|, \ 0 \le \varepsilon < 1).$

That is $\frac{1}{q} \geq 1$, and choose $\lambda : 0 < 1 - \varepsilon \leq 1 < \lambda$, where $\lambda > \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} = -\frac{\ln q}{\beta}$. First assume that $1 - \varepsilon \in [-\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty)$. Hence

$$\phi_{\frac{1}{a}}(1-\varepsilon) > \phi_{\frac{1}{a}}(\lambda) > 0, \tag{52}$$

by $\phi_{\frac{1}{g}}$ being decreasing on $\left[-\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty\right)$.

If $0 < 1 - \varepsilon < -\frac{\ln q}{\beta}$, then we use the concavity-bell shape of ϕ_q .

So, there exists $z_{\varepsilon} \in \left(-\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty\right)$ such that $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(1-\varepsilon) = \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(z_{\varepsilon})$. We also consider $z_0 \in \left(-\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty\right)$ such that $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(z_0) = \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(0)$. Clearly it holds $-\frac{\ln q}{\beta} < z_{\varepsilon} \le z_0$ and we choose $\lambda : z_0 < \lambda$. Therefore, it holds $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(1-\varepsilon) \ge \phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(0) \ge c_0$ $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda) > 0$, by $\phi_{\frac{1}{q}}$ being decreasing on $[-\frac{\ln q}{\beta}, +\infty)$.

Again it holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k = \lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q (nb - k) \right) > 0, \quad 0 < q \le 1.$$
 (53)

(iii) Similarly, (q > 0)

$$1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q (na - k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lceil na \rceil - 1} \phi_q (na - k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor nb \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \phi_q (na - k)$$

$$> \phi_q (na - \lceil na \rceil + 1)$$

$$(call \ \eta := \lceil na \rceil - na, \ 0 \le \eta < 1)$$

$$= \phi_a (1 - \eta), \ etc.$$
(54)

Acting as in (i), (ii) we derive that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left(1 - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q \left(na - k \right) \right) > 0. \tag{55}$$

Conclusion: (i) We have that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(nx-k) \neq 1, \quad \text{for at least some } x \in [a,b],$$
 (56)

where $\beta, q > 0$.

(ii) Let $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. For large n we always have $\lceil na \rceil \leq \lfloor nb \rfloor$. Also $a \leq \frac{k}{n} \leq b$, iff $\lceil na \rceil \leq k \leq \lceil nb \rceil$. In general it holds

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \phi_q(nx-k) \le 1. \tag{57}$$

We make

Remark 6 We introduce

$$Z_q(x_1, ..., x_N) := Z_q(x) := \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_q(x_i), \quad x = (x_1, ..., x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \beta, q > 0, \ N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(58)$$

It has the properties:

(i)
$$Z_q(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_q(x-k) := \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_q(x_1 - k_1, \dots, x_N - k_N) = 1,$$
(59)

where $k := (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$

hence

(iii)

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_q (nx - k) = 1, \tag{60}$$

 $\forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \ n \in \mathbb{N},$

and

(iv)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Z_{q}(x) dx = 1, \tag{61}$$

that is Z_q is a multivariate density function.

Here denote $||x||_{\infty} := \max\{|x_1|, ..., |x_N|\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, also set $\infty := (\infty, ..., \infty)$, $-\infty := (-\infty, ..., -\infty)$ upon the multivariate context, and

$$\lceil na \rceil := (\lceil na_1 \rceil, ..., \lceil na_N \rceil),
|nb| := (|nb_1|, ..., |nb_N|),$$
(62)

where $a := (a_1, ..., a_N), b := (b_1, ..., b_N).$ We obviously see that

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q \left(nx - k \right) = \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \phi_q \left(nx_i - k_i \right) \right) =$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}=\lceil na_{1}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{1}\rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_{N}=\lceil na_{N}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{N}\rfloor} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{q} \left(nx_{i} - k_{i} \right) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{k_{i}=\lceil na_{i}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{i}\rfloor} \phi_{q} \left(nx_{i} - k_{i} \right) \right). \tag{63}$$

For $0 < \beta^* < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have that

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q \left(nx - k \right) =$$

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_q(nx-k) + \sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_q(nx-k). \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{cases} k = \lceil na\rceil \\ \left\|\frac{k}{n} - x\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \end{cases}$$

In the last two sums the counting is over disjoint vector sets of k's, because the condition $\left\|\frac{k}{n}-x\right\|_{\infty}>\frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}$ implies that there exists at least one $\left|\frac{k_r}{n}-x_r\right|>\frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}$, where $r\in\{1,...,N\}$.

(v) By Theorem 3 and as in [10], pp. 379-380, we derive that

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_q(nx-k) < Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^*)}}, \quad 0 < \beta^* < 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (65)$$

$$\left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right\}$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$, $x \in \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]$.

(vi) By Theorem 4 we get that

$$0 < \frac{1}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q (nx - k)} < (\theta (q))^N, \tag{66}$$

 $\forall x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right), n \in \mathbb{N}.$

It is also clear that

(vii)

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_q(nx-k) < Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^*)}},$$

$$\left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right\}$$
(67)

 $0 < \beta^* < 1, \ n \in \mathbb{N} : n^{1-\beta^*} > 2, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$ Furthermore it holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=\lceil nq \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q (nx - k) \neq 1, \tag{68}$$

for at least some $x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right)$.

Here $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\gamma})$ is a Banach space.

Let $f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], X\right), x = (x_1, ..., x_N) \in \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } [na_i] \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor, i = 1, ..., N.$

We introduce and define the following multivariate linear normalized neural network operator $(x := (x_1, ..., x_N) \in (\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]))$:

$$A_{n}\left(f,x_{1},...,x_{N}\right):=A_{n}\left(f,x\right):=\frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor}Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)}=$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k_{1}=\lceil na_{1}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{1}\rfloor} \sum_{k_{2}=\lceil na_{2}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{2}\rfloor} ... \sum_{k_{N}=\lceil na_{N}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{N}\rfloor} f\left(\frac{k_{1}}{n}, ..., \frac{k_{N}}{n}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{q}\left(nx_{i}-k_{i}\right)\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{k_{i}=\lceil na_{i}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{i}\rfloor} \phi_{q}\left(nx_{i}-k_{i}\right)\right)}.$$
(69)

For large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we always obtain $\lceil na_i \rceil \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor$, i = 1, ..., N. Also $a_i \leq \frac{k_i}{n} \leq b_i$, iff $\lceil na_i \rceil \leq k_i \leq \lfloor nb_i \rfloor$, i = 1, ..., N.

When $g \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right)$ we define the companion operator

$$\widetilde{A}_{n}\left(g,x\right) := \frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)}.$$
(70)

Clearly \widetilde{A}_n is a positive linear operator. We have that

$$\widetilde{A}_n(1,x) = 1, \ \forall \ x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right).$$

Notice that $A_n(f) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$ and $\widetilde{A}_n(g) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i]\right)$.

Furthermore it holds

$$\|A_n(f,x)\|_{\gamma} \le \frac{\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \|f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\|_{\gamma} Z_q(nx-k)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_q(nx-k)} = \widetilde{A}_n\left(\|f\|_{\gamma},x\right),\tag{71}$$

 $\forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i].$ Clearly $||f||_{\gamma} \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right).$

So, we have that

$$\|A_n(f,x)\|_{\gamma} \le \widetilde{A}_n\left(\|f\|_{\gamma},x\right),\tag{72}$$

 $\forall x \in \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], X\right).$ Let $c \in X$ and $g \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right)$, then $cg \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], X\right)$. Furthermore it holds

$$A_n\left(cg,x\right) = c\widetilde{A}_n\left(g,x\right), \ \forall \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^N \left[a_i,b_i\right]. \tag{73}$$

Since $\widetilde{A}_n(1) = 1$, we get that

$$A_n\left(c\right) = c, \ \forall \ c \in X. \tag{74}$$

We call A_n the companion operator of A_n .

For convenience we call

$$A_n^*\left(f,x\right) := \sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_q\left(nx-k\right) =$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}=\lceil na_{1}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{1}\rfloor} \sum_{k_{2}=\lceil na_{2}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{2}\rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_{N}=\lceil na_{N}\rceil}^{\lfloor nb_{N}\rfloor} f\left(\frac{k_{1}}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_{N}}{n}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{q}\left(nx_{i}-k_{i}\right)\right), \tag{75}$$

$$\forall x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right).$$
That is

$$A_{n}(f,x) := \frac{A_{n}^{*}(f,x)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_{q}(nx-k)},$$
(76)

 $\forall x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right), n \in \mathbb{N}.$

$$A_{n}\left(f,x\right) - f\left(x\right) = \frac{A_{n}^{*}\left(f,x\right) - f\left(x\right)\left(\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)\right)}{\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)}.$$
 (77)

Consequently we derive

$$\|A_n(f,x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \stackrel{(66)}{\leq} (\theta(q))^N \left\| A_n^*(f,x) - f(x) \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q(nx - k) \right\|_{\gamma}, (78)$$

$$\forall x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right).$$

We will estimate the right hand side of (78).

For the last and others we need

Definition 7 ([11], p. 274) Let M be a convex and compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_p)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\gamma})$ be a Banach space. Let $f \in C(M, X)$. We define the first modulus of continuity of f as

$$\omega_{1}(f,\delta) := \sup_{x, y \in M:} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_{\gamma}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \operatorname{diam}(M). \tag{79}$$
$$\|x - y\|_{p} \leq \delta$$

If $\delta > diam(M)$, then

$$\omega_1(f,\delta) = \omega_1(f,diam(M)). \tag{80}$$

Notice $\omega_1(f,\delta)$ is increasing in $\delta > 0$. For $f \in C_B(M,X)$ (continuous and bounded functions) $\omega_1(f,\delta)$ is defined similarly.

Lemma 8 ([11], p. 274) We have $\omega_1(f, \delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$, iff $f \in C(M, X)$, where M is a convex compact subset of $(\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_p)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Clearly we have also: $f \in C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ (uniformly continuous functions), iff $\omega_1(f, \delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$, where ω_1 is defined similarly to (79). The space $C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ denotes the continuous and bounded functions on \mathbb{R}^N .

Let now $f \in C^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$, $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Here f_{α} denotes a partial deriva-

tive of f, $\alpha := (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, i = 1, ..., N, and $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = l$, where l = 0, 1, ..., m. We write also $f_{\alpha} := \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^n}$ and we say it is of order l.

We denote

$$\omega_{1,m}^{\max}\left(f_{\alpha},h\right) := \max_{\alpha:|\alpha| = m} \omega_{1}\left(f_{\alpha},h\right). \tag{81}$$

Call also

$$||f_{\alpha}||_{\infty,m}^{\max} := \max_{|\alpha|=m} \{||f_{\alpha}||_{\infty}\},$$
 (82)

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the supremum norm.

When $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ we define,

$$B_n(f,x) := B_n(f,x_1,...,x_N) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_q(nx-k) :=$$

$$\sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \frac{k_2}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n}\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \phi_q\left(nx_i - k_i\right)\right), \tag{83}$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, N \in \mathbb{N}$, the multivariate quasi-interpolation neural network operator.

Also for $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$ we define the multivariate Kantorovich type neural network operator

$$C_{n}(f,x) := C_{n}(f,x_{1},...,x_{N}) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx-k) =$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty} ... \sum_{k_{N}=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{\frac{k_{1}}{n}}^{\frac{k_{1}+1}{n}} \int_{\frac{k_{2}}{n}}^{\frac{k_{2}+1}{n}} ... \int_{\frac{k_{N}}{n}}^{\frac{k_{N}+1}{n}} f(t_{1},...,t_{N}) dt_{1}...dt_{N} \right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N} \phi_{q}(nx_{i}-k_{i}) \right), \tag{84}$$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$

Again for $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the multivariate neural network operator of quadrature type $D_n(f, x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows.

Let
$$\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$$
, $r = (r_1, ..., r_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, $w_r = w_{r_1, r_2, ..., r_N} \ge 0$, such that $\sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r = \sum_{r_1=0}^{\theta_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\theta_2} ... \sum_{r_N=0}^{\theta_N} w_{r_1, r_2, ..., r_N} = 1$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and

$$\delta_{nk}\left(f\right) := \delta_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_N}\left(f\right) := \sum_{r=0}^{\theta} w_r f\left(\frac{k}{n} + \frac{r}{n\theta}\right) =$$

$$\sum_{r_1=0}^{\theta_1} \sum_{r_2=0}^{\theta_2} \dots \sum_{r_N=0}^{\theta_N} w_{r_1,r_2,\dots r_N} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n} + \frac{r_1}{n\theta_1}, \frac{k_2}{n} + \frac{r_2}{n\theta_2}, \dots, \frac{k_N}{n} + \frac{r_N}{n\theta_N}\right), \quad (85)$$

where
$$\frac{r}{\theta} := \left(\frac{r_1}{\theta_1}, \frac{r_2}{\theta_2}, ..., \frac{r_N}{\theta_N}\right)$$
.

$$D_{n}(f,x) := D_{n}(f,x_{1},...,x_{N}) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{nk}(f) Z_{q}(nx-k) =$$
 (86)

$$\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}...\sum_{k_{N}=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta_{n,k_{1},k_{2},...,k_{N}}\left(f\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\phi_{q}\left(nx_{i}-k_{i}\right)\right),$$

 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

In this article we study the approximation properties of A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n neural network operators and as well of their iterates, that is acting with multilayer neural networks. Thus the quantitative pointwise and uniform convergence of these operators to the unit operator I.

3 Multivariate general Neural Network Approximations

Here we present several vectorial neural network approximations to Banach space valued functions given with rates.

We give

Theorem 9 Let
$$f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], X\right), 0 < \beta^* < 1, x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right), N, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n^{1-\beta^*} > 2. \text{ Then } 1$$

$$\|A_{n}(f,x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \leq \left(\theta(q)\right)^{N} \left[\omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^{*})}} \|\|f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty}\right] =: \lambda_{1}(n),$$
 (87)

and

2)

$$\left\| \left\| A_n(f) - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \lambda_1(n). \tag{88}$$

We notice that $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n(f) \stackrel{\|\cdot\|_{\gamma}}{=} f$, pointwise and uniformly. Above ω_1 is with respect to $p=\infty$.

Proof. We observe that

$$\Delta(x) := A_n^* (f, x) - f(x) \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} Z_q (nx - k) =$$

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_q (nx - k) - \sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} f(x) Z_q (nx - k) =$$

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na \rceil}^{\lfloor nb \rfloor} \left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)\right) Z_q (nx - k). \tag{89}$$

Thus

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_{\gamma} \leq \sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_{q}(nx-k) =$$

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_{q}(nx-k) +$$

$$\left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(x\right) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_{q}\left(nx - k\right) \stackrel{(60)}{\leq} \left\{ \left\|\frac{k}{n} - x\right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2 \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=\lceil na\rceil}^{\lfloor nb\rfloor} Z_{q}\left(nx - k\right) \stackrel{(65)}{\leq} \left\{ \left\|\frac{k}{n} - x\right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^{*})}} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}. \tag{90}$$

So that

$$\left\|\Delta\left(x\right)\right\|_{\gamma} \le \omega_{1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}} \left\|\left\|f\right\|_{\gamma}\right\|_{\infty}.$$
 (91)

Now using (78) we finish the proof.

When $X = \mathbb{R}$, next we discuss the high order of approximation.

Theorem 10 Let
$$f \in C^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$$
, $0 < \beta^* < 1$, $n, m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $n^{1-\beta^*} \ge 3$, $\beta > 0$, $q > 0$, $x \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i] \right)$. Then

$$\left| \widetilde{A}_{n}\left(f,x\right) - f\left(x\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left(\frac{f_{\alpha}\left(x\right)}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}!} \right) \widetilde{A}_{n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(\cdot - x_{i}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}, x \right) \right) \right| \leq (92)$$

$$\left(\theta\left(q\right)\right)^{N} \left\{ \frac{N^{m}}{m! n^{m\beta^{*}}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max} \left(f_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + \left(\frac{\|b - a\|_{\infty}^{m} \|f_{\alpha}\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^{m}}{m!}\right) 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1 - \beta^{*}\right)}} \right\}$$

$$\left| \widetilde{A}_n \left(f, x \right) - f \left(x \right) \right| \le \left(\theta \left(q \right) \right)^N \tag{93}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left(\frac{|f_{\alpha}(x)|}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}!} \right) \left[\frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}j}} + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (b_{i} - a_{i})^{\alpha_{i}} \right) Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}} \right] \right)$$

$$\left. + \frac{N^m}{m! n^{m\beta^*}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max}\left(f_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}\right) + \left(\frac{\left\|b - a\right\|_{\infty}^m \left\|f_{\alpha}\right\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^m}{m!}\right) 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1 - \beta^*\right)}}\right\}.$$

$$\|\widetilde{A}_{n}(f) - f\|_{\infty} \leq (\theta(q))^{N}$$
(94)

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=j} \left(\frac{\||f_{\alpha}|\|_{\infty}}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}!} \right) \left[\frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}j}} + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} (b_{i} - a_{i})^{\alpha_{i}} \right) Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}} \right] \right) + \frac{N^{m}}{m! n^{m\beta^{*}}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max} \left(f_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right) + \left(\frac{\|b - a\|_{\infty}^{m} \|f_{\alpha}\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^{m}}{m!} \right) 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}} \right\}.$$

iv) Assume
$$f_{\alpha}(x_0) = 0$$
, for all $\alpha : |\alpha| = 1, ..., m$; $x_0 \in \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]\right)$. Then

$$\left| \widetilde{A}_n \left(f, x_0 \right) - f \left(x_0 \right) \right| \le \tag{95}$$

$$\left(\theta\left(q\right)\right)^{N} \left\{ \frac{N^{m}}{m! n^{m\beta^{*}}} \omega_{1,m}^{\max}\left(f_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + \left(\frac{\left\|b - a\right\|_{\infty}^{m} \left\|f_{\alpha}\right\|_{\infty,m}^{\max} N^{m}}{m!}\right) 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}} \right\},$$

notice in the last the extremely high rate of convergence at $n^{-\beta^*(m+1)}$.

Proof. As similar to [10], pp. 389-391, is omitted. ■ We continue with

Theorem 11 Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, q > 0, $\beta > 0$, $m, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$, ω_1 is for $p = \infty$. Then

$$||B_n(f,x) - f(x)||_{\gamma} \le \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^*)}} |||f||_{\gamma} ||_{\infty} =: \lambda_2(n), \quad (96)$$

2)
$$\left\| \left\| B_n(f) - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \lambda_2(n). \tag{97}$$

Given that $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$, we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(f) = f$, uniformly.

Proof. We have that

$$B_{n}(f,x) - f(x) \stackrel{(60)}{=} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) Z_{q}(nx-k) - f(x) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q}(nx-k) = (98)$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)\right) Z_{q}(nx-k).$$

Hence

$$\|B_n(f,x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_q(nx - k) =$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(x\right) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_{q} \left(nx - k\right) + \left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(x\right) \right\|_{\gamma} Z_{q} \left(nx - k\right) \stackrel{(60)}{\le} \left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\omega_{1} \left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2 \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_{q} \left(nx - k\right) \stackrel{(67)}{\le} \left\{ \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right\}$$

$$\omega_{1} \left(f, \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}}\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^{*})}} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}, \tag{99}$$

proving the claim. \blacksquare

We give

Theorem 12 Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, q > 0, $\beta > 0$, $m, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$, ω_1 is for $p = \infty$. Then

$$\|C_n(f,x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \le \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}} \right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^*)}} \|\|f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty} =: \lambda_3(n),$$
(100)

2)
$$\left\| \left\| C_n(f) - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \lambda_3(n). \tag{101}$$

Given that $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$, we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n(f) = f$, uniformly.

Proof. We notice that

$$\int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt = \int_{\frac{k_1}{n}}^{\frac{k_1+1}{n}} \int_{\frac{k_2}{n}}^{\frac{k_2+1}{n}} \dots \int_{\frac{k_N}{n}}^{\frac{k_N+1}{n}} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_N = \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \dots \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t_1 + \frac{k_1}{n}, t_2 + \frac{k_2}{n}, \dots, t_N + \frac{k_N}{n}\right) dt_1 \dots dt_N = \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt.$$
(102)

Thus it holds (by (84))

$$C_n(f,x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^N \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) Z_q(nx - k).$$
 (103)

We observe that

$$\|C_{n}(f,x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} = \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\|_{\gamma} = \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) dt \right) - f(x) \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\|_{\gamma} = \left\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\|_{\gamma} \le (104) \right\|_{\gamma}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \le \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \left\| f\left(t + \frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right\|_{\gamma} dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) + \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(n^{N} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_{1} \left(f, \|t\|_{\infty} + \left\| \frac{k}{n} - x \right\|_{\infty} \right) dt \right) Z_{q}(nx - k) \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

proving the claim.
We also present

Theorem 13 Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, q > 0, $\beta > 0$, $m, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$, ω_1 is for $p = \infty$. Then

$$||D_{n}(f,x) - f(x)||_{\gamma} \le \omega_{1} \left(f, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^{*}}} \right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^{*})}} |||f||_{\gamma} ||_{\infty} = \lambda_{4}(n),$$
(106)

2)
$$\left\| \left\| D_n(f) - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \lambda_4(n). \tag{107}$$

Given that $f \in (C_U(\mathbb{R}^N, X) \cap C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X))$, we obtain $\lim_{n \to \infty} D_n(f) = f$, uniformly.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 12, as such is omitted. ■

Definition 14 Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, q > 0, $\beta > 0$, where $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\gamma})$ is a Banach space. We define the general neural network operator

$$F_{n}\left(f,x\right):=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}l_{nk}\left(f\right)Z_{q}\left(nx-k\right)=$$

$$\begin{cases}
B_n(f,x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \\
C_n(f,x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = n^N \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt, \\
D_n(f,x), & \text{if } l_{nk}(f) = \delta_{nk}(f).
\end{cases}$$
(108)

Clearly $l_{nk}\left(f\right)$ is an X-valued bounded linear functional such that $\left\|l_{nk}\left(f\right)\right\|_{\gamma} \leq \left\|\left\|f\right\|_{\gamma}\right\|_{\infty}$.

Hence $F_n(f)$ is a bounded linear operator with $\left\| \left\| F_n(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \left\| f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}$. We need

Theorem 15 Let $f \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$, $N \ge 1$, $\beta, q > 0$. Then $F_n(f) \in C_B(\mathbb{R}^N, X)$.

Proof. Clearly $F_n(f)$ is a bounded function.

Next we prove the continuity of $F_n(f)$. Notice for $N=1, Z_q=\phi_q$ by (8).

We will use the generalized Weierstrass M test: If a sequence of positive constants $M_1, M_2, M_3, ...$, can be found such that in some interval

- (a) $||u_n(x)||_{\gamma} \leq M_n$, n = 1, 2, 3, ...
- (b) $\sum M_n$ converges,

then $\sum u_n(x)$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent in the interval.

Also we will use:

If $\{u_n(x)\}$, n = 1, 2, 3, ... are continuous in [a, b] and if $\sum u_n(x)$ converges uniformly to the sum S(x) in [a, b], then S(x) is continuous in [a, b]. I.e. a

uniformly convergent series of continuous functions is a continuous function. First we prove claim for N=1.

We will prove that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$ is continuous in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

There always exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx \in [-\lambda, \lambda]$. Call $\lambda^* := \lambda + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil$, $\lambda_* := -\lambda + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil$.

Since $nx \leq \lambda$, then $-nx \geq -\lambda$ and $k - nx \geq k - \lambda \geq \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil$, when $k \geq \lambda^*$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=\lambda^{*}}^{\infty}\phi_{q}\left(nx-k\right)=\sum_{k=\lambda^{*}}^{\infty}\phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k-nx\right)\leq\sum_{k=\lambda^{*}}^{\infty}\phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k-\lambda\right)=\sum_{k'=\left\lceil\frac{\ln\frac{1}{q}}{\beta}\right\rceil}^{\infty}\phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k'\right)\leq1.$$

So for $k \ge \lambda^*$ we get

$$||l_{nk}(f)||_{\gamma} \phi_q(nx-k) \le ||||f||_{\gamma}||_{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}}(k-\lambda),$$
 (109)

and

$$\left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}} \left(k - \lambda \right) \le \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}. \tag{110}$$

Hence by the generalized Weierstrass M test we obtain that $\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent on $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right]$.

is uniformly and absolutely convergent on $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right]$. Since $l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_q\left(nx-k\right)$ is continuous in x, then $\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty}l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_q\left(nx-k\right)$ is continuous on $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{n}, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right]$.

Because $nx \geq -\lambda$, then $-nx \leq \lambda$, and $k - nx \leq k + \lambda \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor$, when $k \leq \lambda_*$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} \phi_q\left(nx-k\right) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} \phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k-nx\right) \le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} \phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k+\lambda\right) = \sum_{k'=-\infty}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\ln\frac{1}{q}}{\beta}\right\rfloor} \phi_{q^{-1}}\left(k'\right) \le 1.$$

So for $k \leq \lambda_*$ we get

$$||l_{nk}(f)||_{\gamma} \phi_q(nx-k) \le ||||f||_{\gamma}||_{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}}(k+\lambda),$$
 (111)

and

$$\left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} \phi_{q^{-1}} \left(k + \lambda \right) \le \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}. \tag{112}$$

Hence by Weierstrass M test we obtain that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q^{-1}}(nx-k)$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent on $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{n},\frac{\lambda}{n}\right]$.

Since $l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_{q}\left(nx-k\right)$ is continuous in x, then $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_{*}}l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_{q}\left(nx-k\right)$ is continuous on $\left[-\frac{\lambda}{n},\frac{\lambda}{n}\right]$.

So we proved that $\sum_{k=\lambda^*}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$ and $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda^*} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$ are continuous on \mathbb{R} . Since $\sum_{k=\lambda_*+1}^{\lambda^*-1} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$ is a finite sum of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} , it is also a continuous function on \mathbb{R} .

Writing

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda_*} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\lambda^*-1} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_q(nx-k)$$
(113)

we have it as a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . Therefore $F_n(f)$, when N=1, is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} .

When N=2 we have

$$F_{n}(f, x_{1}, x_{2}) = \sum_{k_{1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2} = -\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{1} - k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2} - k_{2}) =$$

$$\sum_{k_{1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{q}(nx_{1} - k_{1}) \left(\sum_{k_{2} = -\infty}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{2} - k_{2})\right)$$

(there always exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx_1 \in [-\lambda_1, \lambda_1]$ and $nx_2 \in [-\lambda_2, \lambda_2]$, also call $\lambda_1^* := \lambda_1 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil$, $\lambda_{1*} := -\lambda_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor$, $\lambda_2^* := \lambda_2 + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil$, and $\lambda_{2*} := -\lambda_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor$)

$$= \sum_{k_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q (nx_1 - k_1) \left[\sum_{k_2 = -\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk} (f) \phi_q (nx_2 - k_2) + \right]$$

$$\sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}*+1}^{\lambda_{2}^{2}-1} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{2}-k_{2}) + \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{2}-k_{2}) =$$

$$= \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2}*} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{1}-k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2}-k_{2}) +$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}*+1}^{\lambda_{2}^{*}-1} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{1}-k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2}-k_{2}) +$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{1}-k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2}-k_{2}) =: (*).$$

(For convenience call

$$F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) := l_{nk}(f) \phi_{q}(nx_{1} - k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2} - k_{2}).$$

Thus

$$(*) = \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{1*}} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\lambda_{1}^{*}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_{2}^{*}-1} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2}^{*}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_{2}^{*}-1} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1*}^{*}} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2*}+1}^{\lambda_{2}^{*}-1} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{1}^{*}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1*}^{*}+1}^{\lambda_{1}^{*}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1*}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) + \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=\lambda_{2}^{*}}^{\infty} F_{q}(k_{1}, k_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}) .$$

$$(115)$$

Notice that the finite sum of continuous functions $F_q(k_1,k_2,x_1,x_2)$: $\sum_{k_1=\lambda_1*+1}^{\lambda_1^*-1}\sum_{k_2=\lambda_2*+1}^{\lambda_2^*-1}F_q(k_1,k_2,x_1,x_2) \text{ is a continuous function.}$ The rest of the summands of $F_n(f,x_1,x_2)$ are treated all the same way and

similarly to the case of N=1. The method is demonstrated as follows. We will prove that $\sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty}\sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}}l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_q\left(nx_1-k_1\right)\phi_q\left(nx_2-k_2\right)$ is continuous in $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The continuous function

$$\|l_{nk}(f)\|_{\gamma} \phi_q(nx_1 - k_1) \phi_q(nx_2 - k_2) \le \|\|f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}}(k_1 - \lambda_1) \phi_{q^{-1}}(k_2 + \lambda_2),$$

and

$$\left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2}*} \phi_{q^{-1}} (k_{1} - \lambda_{1}) \phi_{q^{-1}} (k_{2} + \lambda_{2}) =$$

$$\left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}}^{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}} (k_{1} - \lambda_{1}) \right) \left(\sum_{k_{2}=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2}*} \phi_{q^{-1}} (k_{2} + \lambda_{2}) \right) \leq$$

$$\left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \left(\sum_{k'_{1}=\left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil}^{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}} (k'_{1}) \right) \left(\sum_{k'_{2}=-\infty}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor}^{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}} (k'_{2}) \right) \leq \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

$$(116)$$

So by the Weierstrass M test we get that

 $\sum_{k_1=\lambda_1^*}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} l_{nk}\left(f\right) \phi_q\left(nx_1-k_1\right) \phi_q\left(nx_2-k_2\right) \text{ is uniformly and absolutely}$ convergent. Therefore it is continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Next we prove continuity on
$$\mathbb{R}^2$$
 of
$$\sum_{\substack{k_1=\lambda_{1*}+1\\k_1=k_1}}^{\lambda_1^*-1}\sum_{\substack{k_2=-\infty\\k_2=-\infty}}^{\lambda_{2*}}l_{nk}\left(f\right)\phi_q\left(nx_1-k_1\right)\phi_q\left(nx_2-k_2\right).$$
 Notice here that

$$|||l_{nk}(f)||_{\gamma} \phi_{q}(nx_{1} - k_{1}) \phi_{q}(nx_{2} - k_{2}) \leq ||||f||_{\gamma}||_{\infty} \phi_{q}(nx_{1} - k_{1}) \phi_{q^{-1}}(k_{2} + \lambda_{2})$$

$$\leq ||||f||_{\gamma}||_{\infty} \phi_{q}\left(\frac{\ln q}{\beta}\right) \phi_{q^{-1}}(k_{2} + \lambda_{2}) = \frac{\varphi_{1}(1)}{2} ||||f||_{\gamma}||_{\infty} \phi_{q^{-1}}(k_{2} + \lambda_{2}),$$

$$(117)$$

and

$$\frac{\varphi_1(1)}{2} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_1 = \lambda_{1*} + 1}^{\lambda_1^* - 1} 1 \right) \left(\sum_{k_2 = -\infty}^{\lambda_{2*}} \phi_{q^{-1}} \left(k_2 + \lambda_2 \right) \right) = \tag{118}$$

$$\frac{\varphi_{1}(1)}{2} \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \left(2\lambda_{1} + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{k'_{2} = -\infty}^{\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \rfloor} \phi_{q}(k'_{2}) \right) \leq \frac{\varphi_{1}(1)}{2} \left(2\lambda_{1} + \left\lceil \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{\ln \frac{1}{q}}{\beta} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

So the double series under consideration is uniformly convergent and continuous. Clearly $F_n(f, x_1, x_2)$ is proved to be continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Similarly reasoning one can prove easily now, but with more tedious work, that $F_n(f, x_1, ..., x_N)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^N , for any $N \geq 1$. We choose to omit this similar extra work.

Remark 16 By (69) it is obvious that
$$\left\| \|A_n(f)\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty$$
, and $A_n(f) \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$, given that $f \in C\left(\prod_{i=1}^N [a_i, b_i], X\right)$.

Call L_n any of the operators A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n .

Clearly then

$$\left\| \left\| L_{n}^{2}(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} = \left\| \left\| L_{n}(L_{n}(f)) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \left\| L_{n}(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \left\| f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}, \quad (119)$$

etc.

Therefore we get

$$\left\| \left\| L_n^k(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \left\| f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (120)

the contraction property.

Also we see that

$$\left\| \left\| L_n^k(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \left\| L_n^{k-1}(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \dots \le \left\| \left\| L_n(f) \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \left\| \|f\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty}.$$
 (121)

Here L_n^k are bounded linear operators.

Notation 17 Here q > 0, $\beta > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$. Denote by

$$c_{N} := \begin{cases} (\theta(q))^{N}, & \text{if } L_{n} = A_{n}, \\ 1, & \text{if } L_{n} = B_{n}, C_{n}, D_{n}, \end{cases}$$
(122)

$$\varphi(n) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}, & \text{if } L_n = A_n, B_n, \\ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{\beta^*}}, & \text{if } L_n = C_n, D_n, \end{cases}$$
(123)

$$\Omega := \begin{cases}
C \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[a_i, b_i \right], X \right), & \text{if } L_n = A_n, \\
C_B \left(\mathbb{R}^N, X \right), & \text{if } L_n = B_n, C_n, D_n,
\end{cases}$$
(124)

and

$$Y := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i], & \text{if } L_n = A_n, \\ \mathbb{R}^N, & \text{if } L_n = B_n, C_n, D_n. \end{cases}$$
 (125)

We give the condensed

Theorem 18 Let $f \in \Omega$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$, $x \in Y$; q > 0, $\beta > 0$, $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n^{1-\beta^*} > 2$. Then

(*i*)

$$||L_{n}(f,x) - f(x)||_{\gamma} \le c_{N} \left[\omega_{1}(f,\varphi(n)) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{(1-\beta^{*})}} |||f||_{\gamma} ||_{\infty} \right] =: \tau(n),$$
(126)

where ω_1 is for $p = \infty$,

and

(ii)

$$\left\| \left\| L_n(f) - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le \tau(n) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (127)

For f uniformly continuous and in Ω we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n\left(f\right) = f,$$

pointwise and uniformly.

Proof. By Theorems 9, 11, 12, 13. ■

Next we talk about iterated multilayer neural network approximation (see also [9]).

We give

Theorem 19 All here as in Theorem 18 and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau(n)$ as in (126). Then

$$\left\| \left\| L_n^r f - f \right\|_{\gamma} \right\|_{\infty} \le r\tau \left(n \right). \tag{128}$$

So that the speed of convergence to the unit operator of L_n^r is not worse than of L_n .

Proof. As similar to [12], pp. 172-173, is omitted. ■ We also present the more general

Theorem 20 Let $f \in \Omega$; q > 0, $\beta > 0$, N, $m_1, m_2, ..., m_r \in \mathbb{N}$: $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq ... \leq m_r$, $0 < \beta^* < 1$; $m_i^{1-\beta^*} > 2$, i = 1, ..., r, $x \in Y$, and let $(L_{m_1}, ..., L_{m_r})$ as $(A_{m_1}, ..., A_{m_r})$ or $(B_{m_1}, ..., B_{m_r})$ or $(C_{m_1}, ..., C_{m_r})$ or $(D_{m_1}, ..., D_{m_r})$, $p = \infty$. Then

$$\|L_{m_{r}}\left(L_{m_{r-1}}\left(...L_{m_{2}}\left(L_{m_{1}}f\right)\right)\right)(x) - f(x)\|_{\gamma} \leq \|L_{m_{r}}\left(L_{m_{r-1}}\left(...L_{m_{2}}\left(L_{m_{1}}f\right)\right)\right) - f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \|L_{m_{i}}f - f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty} \leq c_{N} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\omega_{1}\left(f,\varphi\left(m_{i}\right)\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}}\|\|f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty}\right] \leq rc_{N} \left[\omega_{1}\left(f,\varphi\left(m_{1}\right)\right) + 2Ke^{-\beta n^{\left(1-\beta^{*}\right)}}\|\|f\|_{\gamma}\|_{\infty}\right].$$

$$(129)$$

Clearly, we notice that the speed of convergence to the unit operator of the multiply iterated operator is not worse than the speed of L_{m_1} .

Proof. As similar to [12], pp. 173-175, is omitted. ■

References

- [1] G.A. Anastassiou, Moments in Probability and Approximation Theory, Pitman Research Notes in Math., Vol. 287, Longman Sci. & Tech., Harlow, U.K., 1993.
- [2] G.A. Anastassiou, Rate of convergence of some neural network operators to the unit-univariate case, J. Math. Anal. Appli. 212 (1997), 237-262.
- [3] G.A. Anastassiou, *Quantitative Approximations*, Chapman&Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, New York, 2001.
- [4] G.A. Anastassiou, Inteligent Systems: Approximation by Artificial Neural Networks, Intelligent Systems Reference Library, Vol. 19, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [5] G.A. Anastassiou, Univariate hyperbolic tangent neural network approximation, Mathematics and Computer Modelling, 53(2011), 1111-1132.
- [6] G.A. Anastassiou, Multivariate hyperbolic tangent neural network approximation, Computers and Mathematics 61(2011), 809-821.

- [7] G.A. Anastassiou, Multivariate sigmoidal neural network approximation, Neural Networks 24(2011), 378-386.
- [8] G.A. Anastassiou, Univariate sigmoidal neural network approximation, J. of Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 4, 2012, 659-690.
- [9] G.A. Anastassiou, Approximation by neural networks iterates, Advances in Applied Mathematics and Approximation Theory, pp. 1-20, Springer Proceedings in Math. & Stat., Springer, New York, 2013, Eds. G. Anastassiou, O. Duman.
- [10] G. Anastassiou, Intelligent Systems II: Complete Approximation by Neural Network Operators, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2016.
- [11] G. Anastassiou, Intelligent Computations: Abstract Fractional Calculus, Inequalities, Approximations, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2018.
- [12] G.A. Anastassiou, Banach Space Valued Neural Network, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 2023.
- [13] G.A. Anastassiou, General sigmoid based Banach space valued neural network approximation, J. of Computational Analysis and Applications, accepted, 2022.
- [14] Z. Chen and F. Cao, *The approximation operators with sigmoidal functions*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 58 (2009), 758-765.
- [15] D. Costarelli, R. Spigler, Approximation results for neural network operators activated by sigmoidal functions, Neural Networks 44 (2013), 101-106.
- [16] D. Costarelli, R. Spigler, Multivariate neural network operators with sigmoidal activation functions, Neural Networks 48 (2013), 72-77.
- [17] S. Haykin, Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation (2 ed.), Prentice Hall, New York, 1998.
- [18] W. McCulloch and W. Pitts, A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity, Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics, 7 (1943), 115-133.
- [19] T.M. Mitchell, Machine Learning, WCB-McGraw-Hill, New York, 1997.