

ON SOME UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR TRACE CLASS P -DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A).$$

In this paper we show among others that, if A is an operator satisfying the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2M^2} \text{tr}[P(MI - A)(A - mI)] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{m^{\frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m}} M^{\frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m}}} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \text{tr}[P(MI - A)(A - mI)] \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} (M - \text{tr}(PA)) (\text{tr}(PA) - m) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [6], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (FK -determinant) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [7], [8], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [9].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^*f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote the *modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^*Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,
 (1.11) $\quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA)$ and $|\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|$;

(iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;
 (iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\text{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP) = \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\text{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(PT_n) = \text{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [4] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \text{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality*: $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_x(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In the recent paper [5] we obtained the following results:

Theorem 4. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Delta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\Delta_P(B)]^t.$$

and

Theorem 5. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have the double inequality

$$a \exp [1 - a \text{tr}(PA^{-1})] \leq \Delta_P(A) \leq a \exp [a^{-1} \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

In particular

$$1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\text{tr}(PA) \text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\text{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

Kittaneh and Manasrah [10], [11] provided a refinement and an additive reverse for Young inequality as follows:

$$(1.13) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq (1 - \nu) a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$. The case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ reduces (1.13) to an identity.

For some operator versions of (1.13) see [10] and [11].

We also have the following inequality that provides a refinement and a reverse for the celebrated Young's inequality

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \frac{(b - a)^2}{\max \{a, b\}} \leq (1 - \nu) a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \frac{(b - a)^2}{\min \{a, b\}}$$

for any $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

This result was obtained in 1978 by Cartwright and Field [1] who established a more general result for n variables and gave an application for a probability measure supported on a finite interval.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if A is an operator satisfying the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2M^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{m^{\frac{M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{M - m}} M^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m}}} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} (M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)) (\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

The first result is as follows:

Theorem 6. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{m^{\frac{M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{M - m}} M^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m}}} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{Mm} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{Mm} (M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)) (\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m) \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4Mm} (M - m)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In [2] we obtained the following reverses of Young's inequality:

$$1 \leq \frac{(1 - \nu) a + \nu b}{a^{1-\nu} b^\nu} \leq \exp \left[4\nu (1 - \nu) \left(K \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) - 1 \right) \right],$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

This is equivalent, by taking the logarithm, with

$$0 \leq \ln((1 - \nu) a + \nu b) - (1 - \nu) \ln a - \nu \ln b \leq \nu (1 - \nu) \frac{(b - a)^2}{ba}$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

If we take $a = m$, $b = M$, $t \in [m, M]$ and $\nu = \frac{t-m}{M-m} \in [0, 1]$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \ln t - \frac{M-t}{M-m} \ln m - \frac{t-m}{M-m} \ln M \leq \frac{(M-t)(t-m)(M-m)^2}{(M-m)^2 Mm} \\ &= \frac{(M-t)(t-m)}{Mm}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we have

$$0 \leq \ln A - \frac{MI - A}{M - m} \ln m - \frac{AP^{1/2} - mI}{M - m} \ln M \leq \frac{(MI - A)(A - mI)}{Mm}.$$

If we multiply both sides by $P^{1/2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq P^{1/2} (\ln A) P^{1/2} - \frac{MP - P^{1/2}AP^{1/2}}{M - m} \ln m - \frac{P^{1/2}AP^{1/2} - mP}{M - m} \ln M \\ &\leq \frac{P^{1/2}(MI - A)(A - mI)P^{1/2}}{Mm}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the trace and use the fact that $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \text{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m} \ln m - \frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \ln M \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Mm} \text{tr}[P(MI - A)(A - mI)]. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\exp[\text{tr}(P \ln A)]}{\exp\left[\frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m} \ln m + \frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \ln M\right]} \\ &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{Mm} \text{tr}[P(MI - A)(A - mI)]\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left[\frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m} \ln m + \frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \ln M\right] &= \exp\left[\ln\left(m \frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m} M \frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m}\right)\right] \\ &= m \frac{M - \text{tr}(PA)}{M - m} M \frac{\text{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.2) we obtain the first inequality in (2.1).

The function $g(t) = (M - t)(t - m)$ is concave on $[m, M]$ and by Jensen's inequality for trace

$$\text{tr}(Pg(A)) \leq g(\text{tr}(PA)),$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we have

$$\text{tr}[(MI - A)(A - mI)] \leq ((M - \text{tr}(PA))(\text{tr}(PA) - m))$$

which proves the third inequality in (2.1). □

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{M^{\frac{m^{-1}-\text{tr}(PA^{-1})}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}}} m^{\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{-1})-M^{-1}}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}}}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\
 &\leq \exp [mM \text{tr} [P (m^{-1}I - A^{-1}) (A^{-1} - M^{-1}I)]] \\
 &\leq \exp [mM (m^{-1} - \text{tr} (PA^{-1})) (\text{tr} (PA^{-1}) - M^{-1})] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4} mM (M - m)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$ implies that $0 < M^{-1}I \leq A^{-1} \leq m^{-1}I$. If we write the inequality (2.1) for A^{-1} , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A^{-1})}{M^{-\frac{m^{-1}-\text{tr}(PA^{-1})}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}}} m^{-\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{-1})-M^{-1}}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{m^{-1}M^{-1}} \text{tr} [P (m^{-1}I - A^{-1}) (A^{-1} - M^{-1}I)] \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{m^{-1}M^{-1}} (m^{-1} - \text{tr} (PA^{-1})) (\text{tr} (PA^{-1}) - M^{-1}) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4m^{-1}M^{-1}} (m^{-1} - M^{-1})^2 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.3). □

In [3] we obtained the following refinement and reverse of Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad &\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(1 - \frac{\min \{a, b\}}{\max \{a, b\}} \right)^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{(1 - \nu) a + \nu b}{a^{1-\nu} b^\nu} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(\frac{\max \{a, b\}}{\min \{a, b\}} - 1 \right)^2 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 7. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad 1 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2M^2} \text{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{m^{\frac{M-\text{tr}(PA)}{M-m}} M^{\frac{\text{tr}(PA)-m}{M-m}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \text{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} (M - \text{tr} (PA)) (\text{tr} (PA) - m) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(1 - \frac{m}{M} \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{(1 - \nu) m + \nu M}{m^{1-\nu} M^\nu} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

By taking the logarithm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(1 - \frac{m}{M} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \ln \left((1 - \nu) m + \nu M \right) - (1 - \nu) \ln m - \nu \ln M \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

If we take $a = m$, $b = M$, $t \in [m, M]$ and $\nu = \frac{t-m}{M-m} \in [0, 1]$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(M-t)(t-m)}{2M^2} & \leq \ln t - \frac{M-t}{M-m} \ln m - \frac{t-m}{M-m} \ln M \\ & \leq \frac{(M-t)(t-m)}{2m^2} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [m, M]$.

As above, we get the trace inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2M^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A) - \frac{M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{M - m} \ln m - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \ln M \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2m^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)]. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\frac{1}{2M^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\exp [\operatorname{tr} (P \ln A)]}{\exp \left[\frac{M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{M - m} \ln m + \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m}{M - m} \ln M \right]} \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \operatorname{tr} [P (MI - A) (A - mI)] \right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first part of (2.5).

The second part is obvious. □

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad 1 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} m^2 \operatorname{tr} [P (m^{-1}I - A^{-1}) (A^{-1} - M^{-1}I)] \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{M^{\frac{m^{-1} - \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}}} m^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - M^{-1}}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}}}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} M^2 \operatorname{tr} [P (m^{-1}I - A^{-1}) (A^{-1} - M^{-1}I)] \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} M^2 (m^{-1} - \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})) (\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - M^{-1}) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

We also have:

Theorem 8. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that $I < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad 0 &\leq \ln \Delta_P(A) - (\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \\
 &\leq \frac{(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)) (\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)}{\ln M - \ln m} \ln \left(\frac{\ln M}{\ln m} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (\ln M - \ln m) \ln \left(\frac{\ln M}{\ln m} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the recent paper [2] we obtained the following reverses of Young's inequality as well:

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq (1 - \nu) a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq \nu(1 - \nu) (a - b) (\ln a - \ln b)$$

where $a, b > 0, \nu \in [0, 1]$.

If we take the exponential in (3.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\exp [(1 - \nu) a + \nu b]}{\exp (a^{1-\nu} b^\nu)} \leq \exp [\nu(1 - \nu) (a - b) (\ln a - \ln b)] \\
 &= \exp \left[\ln \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{\nu(1-\nu)(b-a)} \right] = \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{\nu(1-\nu)(b-a)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put $(1 - \nu) a + \nu b = s > 0$, then $\nu = \frac{s-a}{b-a}$, $1 - \nu = \frac{b-s}{b-a}$ and by (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\exp s}{\exp \left(a^{\frac{b-s}{b-a}} b^{\frac{s-a}{b-a}} \right)} \leq \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{\frac{(s-a)(b-s)}{b-a}} \leq \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}(b-a)}.$$

Now, we take $a = \ln m$, $s = \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)$ and $b = \ln M$, in (3.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\exp \left((\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \right)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\ln M}{\ln m} \right)^{\frac{(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A))(\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)}{\ln M - \ln m}} \leq \left(\frac{\ln M}{\ln m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}(\ln M - \ln m)}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the logarithm we then obtain (3.1). □

We also have:

Theorem 9. *With the assumption of Theorem 8, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad 0 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \ln \Delta_P(A) - (\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the exponential in (1.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad 1 &\leq \exp \left[\min \{1 - \nu, \nu\} \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\exp [(1 - \nu)a + \nu b]}{\exp (a^{1-\nu} b^\nu)} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\max \{1 - \nu, \nu\} \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

If we put $(1 - \nu)a + \nu b = s > 0$, then $\nu = \frac{s-a}{b-a}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{1 - \nu, \nu\} &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right|, \\ \max \{1 - \nu, \nu\} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad 1 &\leq \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\exp s}{\exp \left(a^{\frac{b-s}{b-a}} b^{\frac{s-a}{b-a}} \right)} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| s - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right) \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in [a, b]$.

Now, we take $a = \ln m$, $s = \text{tr}(P \ln A)$ and $b = \ln M$ in (3.7) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \text{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) (\sqrt{\ln m} - \sqrt{\ln M})^2 \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\exp \text{tr}(P \ln A)}{\exp \left((\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \text{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\text{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \right)} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \text{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) (\sqrt{\ln m} - \sqrt{\ln M})^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the logarithm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \text{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) (\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m})^2 \\
 &\leq \ln \Delta_P(A) - (\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \text{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\text{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ln M - \ln m} \left| \text{tr}(P \ln A) - \frac{\ln m + \ln M}{2} \right| \right) (\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m})^2 \\
 &\leq (\sqrt{\ln M} - \sqrt{\ln m})^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired result. □

We also have:

Theorem 10. *With the assumptions of Theorem 9,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\text{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \text{tr}(P \ln A))}{\ln M} \\
 &\leq \ln \Delta_P(A) - (\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \text{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\text{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\text{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \text{tr}(P \ln A))}{\ln m} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{8 \ln m} (\ln M - \ln m)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the exponential in (1.14), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad 1 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \frac{(b - a)^2}{\max\{a, b\}} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\exp[(1 - \nu)a + \nu b]}{\exp(a^{1-\nu} b^\nu)} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) \frac{(b - a)^2}{\min\{a, b\}} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

If we put $(1 - \nu)a + \nu b = s > 0$, then $\nu = \frac{s-a}{b-a}$, $1 - \nu = \frac{b-s}{b-a}$ and by (3.9) we derive

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s-a)(b-s)}{\max\{a, b\}} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\exp s}{\exp \left(a^{\frac{b-s}{b-a}} b^{\frac{s-a}{b-a}} \right)} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s-a)(b-s)}{\min\{a, b\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put $a = \ln m$, $s = \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)$ and $b = \ln M$ in (3.10) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A))}{\ln M} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\exp \left((\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \right)} \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A))}{\ln m} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the logarithm we obtain the first part of (3.8).

The second part is obvious. □

In [3] we also obtained the following result

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) (\ln a - \ln b)^2 \min\{a, b\} & \leq (1 - \nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) (\ln a - \ln b)^2 \max\{a, b\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 11. *With the assumptions of Theorem 9,*

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)) \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\ln(\ln M) - \ln(\ln m)}{\ln M - \ln m} \right]^2 \ln(\ln m) \\ & \leq \ln \Delta_P(A) - (\ln m)^{\frac{\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)}{\ln M - \ln m}} (\ln M)^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m}{\ln M - \ln m}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) - \ln m)(\ln M - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)) \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\ln(\ln M) - \ln(\ln m)}{\ln M - \ln m} \right]^2 \ln(\ln M) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} [\ln(\ln M) - \ln(\ln m)]^2 \ln(\ln M). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the exponential in (3.11), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) (\ln a - \ln b)^2 \min\{a, b\} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\exp[(1 - \nu)a + \nu b]}{\exp(a^{1-\nu} b^\nu)} \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu (1 - \nu) (\ln a - \ln b)^2 \max\{a, b\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

By utilizing a similar argument to the one from Theorem 10 we deduce the desired result (3.12).

The details are omitted. □

REFERENCES

- [1] D. I. Cartwright, M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71** (1978), 36-38.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, A Note on Young's Inequality, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas* volume **111** (2017), pages 349–354. Preprint, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 126. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a126.pdf>].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, A note on new refinements and reverses of Young's inequality, *Transylvanian J. Math. Mech.* **8** (2016), No. 1, 45-49. Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 131. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a131.pdf>].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art.
- [6] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [7] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [8] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [9] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645
- [10] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361** (2010), 262-269.
- [11] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **59** (2011), 1031-1037.
- [12] W. Liao, J. Wu and J. Zhao, New versions of reverse Young and Heinz mean inequalities with the Kantorovich constant, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **19** (2015), No. 2, pp. 467-479.
- [13] G. Zuo, G. Shi and M. Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **5** (2011), 551-556.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA