

INEQUALITIES FOR TRACE CLASS P -DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES VIA OSTROWSKI TYPE RESULTS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A).$$

In this paper we show among others that, if A is an operator satisfying the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{M} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \leq \frac{M}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where I_d is the *identric mean*.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [6], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [7], [8], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [9].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$(1.11) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;

(iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, PT , $TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\text{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP) = \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\text{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(PT_n) = \text{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [1] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \text{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality*: $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In the recent paper [3] we obtained the following results:

Theorem 4. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\Delta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\Delta_P(B)]^t.$$

and

Theorem 5. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have the double inequality*

$$a \exp[1 - a \text{tr}(PA^{-1})] \leq \Delta_P(A) \leq a \exp[a^{-1} \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

In particular

$$1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA) \text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\text{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if A is an operator satisfying the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{M} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \leq \frac{M}{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where I_d is the *identric mean*.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Recall the *identric mean*

$$I_d(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; a, b > 0.$$

It is easy to observe the connection between the integral mean of the logarithmic function and the logarithm of the identric mean,

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln t dt = \ln I_d(a, b)$$

for $a \neq b$ positive numbers.

Theorem 6. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, where m, M are positive numbers, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A^{-1} - \frac{m^{-1} + M^{-1}}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{[I_d(m^{-1}, M^{-1})]^{-1}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\
 &\leq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{M}{m} - 1\right)\right) \\
 &\times \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(A^{-1} - \frac{m^{-1} + M^{-1}}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1\right)\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Ostrowski's inequality [10]:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) such that $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a, b) , i.e., $\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in (a, b)} |f'(s)| < \infty$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_\infty (b-a),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$ and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

If we take $f(t) = \ln t$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ in (2.3) and observe that

$$\|f'\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} t^{-1} = \frac{1}{a},$$

then we get

$$|\ln t - \ln I_d(a, b)| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned}
 & - \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \\
 & \leq \ln t - \ln I_d(a, b) \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

By utilizing the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we get from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} I + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \\
 & \leq \ln A - \ln I_d(m, M) I \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} I + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides with $P^{1/2}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} P + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} P^{1/2} \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 P^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq P^{1/2} (\ln A) P^{1/2} - \ln I_d(m, M) P \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} P + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} P^{1/2} \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 P^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take the trace and use the fact that $\text{tr} P = 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \\ & \leq \text{tr} \left[P^{1/2} (\ln A) P^{1/2} \right] - \ln I_d(m, M) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential in (2.5) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(- \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right] \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\text{tr} \left[P^{1/2} (\ln A) P^{1/2} \right]}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{1}{4} (M-m)^2,$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2} \leq - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \text{tr} \left[P \left(A - \frac{m+M}{2} I \right)^2 \right] \right).$$

These prove the desired result (2.1).

If $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, then $0 < M^{-1}I \leq A^{-1} \leq m^{-1}I$ and if we write the inequality (2.1) for A^{-1} , we derive (2.2). \square

Theorem 7. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6, we have the inequalities*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{m}{M} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(P|A - \frac{m+M}{2}I|\right)} \leq \frac{M}{m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{m}{M} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}} \operatorname{tr}(P|A - \frac{M^{-1}+m^{-1}}{2}I|\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{[I_d(m^{-1}, M^{-1})]^{-1}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m^{-1}-M^{-1}} \operatorname{tr}(P|A - \frac{M^{-1}+m^{-1}}{2}I|\right)} \leq \frac{M}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In 1997, Dragomir and Wang proved the following Ostrowski type inequality [4]:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|t - \frac{a+b}{2}|}{b-a} \right] \|f'\|_{[a,b],1},$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the Lebesgue norm on $L_1[a, b]$, i.e., we recall it

$$\|g\|_{[a,b],1} := \int_a^b |g(t)| dt.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

If we take $f(t) = \ln t$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ in (2.8) and observe that

$$\|f'\|_{[a,b],1} = \ln b - \ln a,$$

then by (2.8) we get

$$|\ln t - \ln I_d(a, b)| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a) \\ & \leq \ln t - \ln I_d(a, b) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

By utilizing the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we get from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right] \\ & \leq \ln A - \ln I_d(m, M) I \\ & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right], \end{aligned}$$

which, as above, implies the trace inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right) \right] \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A) - \ln I_d(m, M) \\ & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(-(\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right) \right] \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left((\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we notice that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left| A - \frac{m+M}{2}I \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (M - m)$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. These prove the desired result (2.6).

The inequality (2.7) follows by (2.6) applied for A^{-1} . \square

Theorem 8. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6, we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & \exp \left(-\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\operatorname{tr} P \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right] \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right] \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\
 &\leq \exp\left(\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \operatorname{tr}\left(P\left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m}\right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m}\right)^{q+1}\right]^{1/q}\right) \\
 &\leq \exp\left(\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\operatorname{tr}\left[P\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m}\right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m}\right)^{q+1}\right]^{1/q}\right) \\
 &\leq \exp\left(\frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. In 1998, Dragomir and Wang proved the following Ostrowski type inequality [5]:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$. If $f' \in L_p[a, b]$, then we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad &\left|f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) dt\right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q}} \left[\left(\frac{t-a}{b-a}\right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{b-t}{b-a}\right)^{q+1}\right]^{1/q} (b-a)^{1/q} \|f'\|_{[a,b],p},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$, where $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\|\cdot\|_{[a,b],p}$ is the p -Lebesgue norm on $L_p[a, b]$, i.e., we recall it

$$\|g\|_{[a,b],p} := \left(\int_a^b |g(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p}.$$

If we take $f(t) = \ln t, t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ in (2.11) and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f'\|_{[a,b],p} &:= \left(\int_a^b t^{-p} dt\right)^{1/p} = \left(\frac{b^{-p+1} - a^{-p+1}}{1-p}\right)^{1/p} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\frac{1}{b^{p-1}} - \frac{1}{a^{p-1}}}{1-p}\right)^{1/p} = \left[\frac{b^{p-1} - a^{p-1}}{(p-1)a^{p-1}b^{p-1}}\right]^{1/p} \\
 &= \frac{(b^{p-1} - a^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(p-1)^{1/p} a^{1-1/p} b^{1-1/p}} = \frac{(b^{p-1} - a^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(p-1)^{1/p} a^{1/q} b^{1/q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & |\ln t - \ln I_d(a, b)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{t-a}{b-a} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{b-t}{b-a} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{(b-a)^{1/q} (b^{p-1} - a^{p-1})^{1/p}}{a^{1/q} b^{1/q}} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p}} \frac{(b-a)^{1/q} (b^{p-1} - a^{p-1})^{1/p}}{a^{1/q} b^{1/q}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [a, b]$, since

$$\left(\frac{t-a}{b-a} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{b-t}{b-a} \right)^{q+1} \leq 1$$

for $t \in [a, b]$.

This implies as above

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \\
 & \leq \ln A - \ln I_d(a, b) I \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p}} \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{m^{1/q} M^{1/q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Following a similar argument as above, we get the trace inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \\
 & \quad \times \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \right) \\
 & \leq \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A) - \ln I_d(a, b) \\
 & \leq \frac{(M-m)^{1/q} (M^{p-1} - m^{p-1})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} m^{1/q} M^{1/q}} \\
 & \quad \times \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A-mI}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI-A}{M-m} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Jensen's trace inequality for concave functions, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \right) \\ & \leq \left(\operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} \right) \right] \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

The last part follows by the fact that

$$\left(\operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^{q+1} \right) \right] \right)^{1/q} \leq 1$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

Now, by taking the exponential and making use of a similar argument as above, we derive the desired result (2.10). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumption of Theorem 6 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad & \exp \left(-\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^3 \right] \right) \right]^{1/2} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^3 \right]^{1/2} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^3 \right]^{1/2} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A - mI}{M - m} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{MI - A}{M - m} \right)^3 \right] \right) \right]^{1/2} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{M - m}{\sqrt{3mM}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *If we apply the inequality (2.10) for A^{-1} , then we get*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad & \exp \left(-\frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A^{-1} - M^{-1}I}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{m^{-1}I - A^{-1}}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} \right] \right) \right]^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right) \\
 &\times \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A^{-1} - M^{-1}I}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{m^{-1}I - A^{-1}}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{[I_d(m^{-1}, M^{-1})]^{-1}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\
 &\leq \exp \left(\frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right) \\
 &\times \operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A^{-1} - M^{-1}I}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{m^{-1}I - A^{-1}}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} \right]^{1/q} \right) \\
 &\leq \exp \left(\frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right) \\
 &\times \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(P \left[\left(\frac{A^{-1} - M^{-1}I}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{m^{-1}I - A^{-1}}{m^{-1} - M^{-1}} \right)^{q+1} \right] \right) \right]^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \exp \left(\frac{(m^{-1} - M^{-1})^{1/q} (m^{1-p} - M^{1-p})^{1/p}}{(q+1)^{1/q} (p-1)^{1/p} M^{-1/q} m^{-1/q}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

The following results of Ostrowski type holds, see [2]:

Lemma 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$. Then for any $t \in [a, b]$ one has the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad &\frac{1}{2} \left[(b-t)^2 f'_+(t) - (t-a)^2 f'_-(t) \right] \\
 &\leq \int_a^b f(s) ds - (b-a) f(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[(b-t)^2 f'_-(b) - (t-a)^2 f'_+(a) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in both inequalities. The second inequality also holds for $t = a$ or $t = b$.

If the function is differentiable in $t \in (a, b)$ then the first inequality in (3.1) becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t \right) f'(t) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds - f(t).$$

We also have:

Theorem 9. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$, where m, M are positive numbers, then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left(1 - \frac{m+M}{2} \operatorname{tr} (PA^{-1}) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} (P(A-mI)^2) - \frac{1}{M} \operatorname{tr} (P(MI-A)^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left(1 - \frac{m^{-1}+M^{-1}}{2} \operatorname{tr} (PA) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{[I_d(m^{-1}, M^{-1})]^{-1}}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(M \operatorname{tr} [P(A^{-1}-M^{-1}I)^2] - m \operatorname{tr} [P(m^{-1}I-A^{-1})^2] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Writing (3.1) and (3.2) for the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, then we get

$$1 - \frac{a+b}{2} t^{-1} \leq \ln t - \ln I_d(a, b) \leq \frac{(t-a)^2}{a} - \frac{(b-t)^2}{b},$$

for all $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$.

If we use the functional calculus, we derive the operator inequality

$$1 - \frac{m+M}{2} A^{-1} \leq \ln A - \ln I_d(m, M) \leq \frac{(A-mI)^2}{m} - \frac{(MI-A)^2}{M},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \frac{m+M}{2} \operatorname{tr} (PA^{-1}) \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A) - \ln I_d(m, M) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} (P(A-mI)^2) - \frac{1}{M} \operatorname{tr} (P(MI-A)^2). \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left(1 - \frac{m+M}{2} \operatorname{tr} (PA^{-1}) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr} (P \ln A)}{I_d(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} (P(A-mI)^2) - \frac{1}{M} \operatorname{tr} (P(MI-A)^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.4) follows by (3.1) applied for A^{-1} . □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].

- [2] S. S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2002), no. 2, Article 31, 8 pp. [Online <http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article183.html?sid=183>].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_1 norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **28** (1997), 239-244.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_p norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules, *Indian J. of Math.*, **40** (1998), No. 3, 299-304.
- [6] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [7] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [8] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [9] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.
- [10] A. Ostrowski, Über die Absolutabweichung einer differentiierten Funktion von ihrem Integralmittelwert, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **10** (1938), 226-227.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA