

SEVERAL BOUNDS FOR THE TRACE CLASS *P*-DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *P-determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A).$$

In this paper we show among others that, if $P_i \geq 0$ with $P_i \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $A_i > 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then for all $a > 0$

$$a \exp \left(1 - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i} \\ \leq a \exp \left(a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1 \right).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [5], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [6], [7], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [10].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$(1.11) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;

(iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\text{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP) = \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\text{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(PT_n) = \text{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [3] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \text{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality*: $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_x(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In the recent paper [4] we obtained the following results:

Theorem 4. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\Delta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\Delta_P(B)]^t.$$

and

Theorem 5. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have the double inequality*

$$(1.13) \quad a \exp [1 - a \text{tr}(PA^{-1})] \leq \Delta_P(A) \leq a \exp [a^{-1} \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

In particular

$$(1.14) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\text{tr}(PA) \text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\text{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) \text{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

The first inequalities in (1.14) and 1.15) are best possible from (1.13).

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if $P_i \geq 0$ with $P_i \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$

and $A_i > 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then for all $a > 0$ we have the lower and upper bounds

$$\begin{aligned} a \exp \left(1 - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i} \\ &\leq a \exp \left(a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We start to the following double trace inequality that is of interest in itself as well:

Lemma 1. *Assume that f is differentiable convex on the interior \hat{I} of the interval I and the derivative f' is continuous on \hat{I} . Let $Q_i \geq 0$ with $Q_i \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i) > 0$, then for all B_i with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(B_i) \subset \hat{I}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $a \in \hat{I}$ we have the double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad &\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i)} - a \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i f'(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i)} + f(a) \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i f(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i)} \\ &\geq f'(a) \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_i)} - a \right) + f(a). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the gradient inequality

$$f'(t)(t-a) + f(a) \geq f(t) \geq f'(a)(t-a) + f(a)$$

that holds for all $t, a \in \hat{I}$.

Using the continuous functional calculus for the selfadjoint operators with spectra in \hat{I} , we get

$$f'(B_i)(B_i - aI) + f(a)I \geq f(B_i) \geq f'(a)(B_i - a) + f(a)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply this inequality both sides with $Q_i^{1/2}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad &Q_i^{1/2} f'(B_i) B_i Q_i^{1/2} - a Q_i^{1/2} f'(B_i) Q_i^{1/2} + f(a) Q_i \\ &\geq Q_i^{1/2} f(B_i) Q_i^{1/2} \\ &\geq f'(a) Q_i^{1/2} B_i Q_i^{1/2} - a f'(a) Q_i + f(a) Q_i \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we take the trace in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr} \left(Q_i^{1/2} f'(B_i) B_i Q_i^{1/2} \right) - a \operatorname{tr} \left(Q_i^{1/2} f'(B_i) Q_i^{1/2} \right) + f(a) \operatorname{tr} (Q_i) \\ &\geq \operatorname{tr} \left(Q_i^{1/2} f(B_i) Q_i^{1/2} \right) \\ &\geq f'(a) \operatorname{tr} \left(Q_i^{1/2} B_i Q_i^{1/2} \right) - a f'(a) \operatorname{tr} (Q_i) + f(a) \operatorname{tr} (Q_i), \end{aligned}$$

namely, by the properties of the trace

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i) - a \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i)) + f(a) \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) \\ & \geq \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f(B_i)) \geq f'(a) \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i) - a f'(a) \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) + f(a) \operatorname{tr}(Q_i), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we sum over i from 1 to n , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i) - a \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i)) + f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f(B_i)) \\ & \geq f'(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i) - a f'(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) + f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) \end{aligned}$$

and by dividing with $\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i) > 0$, we get (2.1). □

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Lemma 1 we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)} \\ & \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i f(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)}\right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Sp}(B_i) \subset \mathring{I}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then there exists $m < M$ such that $\operatorname{Sp}(B_i) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \mathring{I}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore

$$mI \leq B_i \leq MI, \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If we multiply this inequality both sides with $Q_i^{1/2}$, then we get

$$mQ_i \leq Q_i^{1/2} B_i Q_i^{1/2} \leq MQ_i, \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

By taking the trace and summing, we get

$$m \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)} \leq M.$$

Then by taking

$$a = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_i)}$$

in (2.1) we get (2.3). □

Remark 1. *The case of one operator is as follows:*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Q f'(B) B)}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(QB)}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Q f'(B))}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} \geq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Q f(B))}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(QB)}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)}\right) \geq 0,$$

where $Q \geq 0$ with $Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(Q) > 0$ and B is selfadjoint with $\operatorname{Sp}(B) \subset \mathring{I}$. This result was obtained in a different way in [1].

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Lemma 1 and if $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i)) \neq 0$ with*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))} \in \hat{I} \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)} \\ &\leq f'\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1) we derive for

$$a = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} &f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)} \\ &\geq f'\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\quad + f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f(B_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\geq f'\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i) B_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_i f'(B_i))}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.5). □

Remark 2. *The case of one operator is as follows: if $\text{tr}(Q f'(B)) \neq 0$ with $\frac{\text{tr}(Q f'(B) B)}{\text{tr}(Q f'(B))} \in \hat{I}$, then*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq f\left(\frac{\text{tr}(Q f'(B) B)}{\text{tr}(Q f'(B))}\right) - \frac{\text{tr}(Q f(B))}{\text{tr}(Q)} \\ &\leq f'\left(\frac{\text{tr}(Q f'(B) B)}{\text{tr}(Q f'(B))}\right) \left(\frac{\text{tr}(Q f'(B) B)}{\text{tr}(Q f'(B))} - \frac{\text{tr}(QB)}{\text{tr}(Q)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which was obtained in a different way in [2].

We have the following main result:

Theorem 6. *if $P_i \geq 0$ with $P_i \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $A_i > 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then for all $a > 0$ we have the lower and upper bounds*

$$(2.7) \quad a \exp \left(1 - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i} (A_i)]^{p_i} \\ \leq a \exp \left(a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right).$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (2.1) for the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, $t > 0$, $Q_i = p_i P_i$ and $B_i = A_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we get

$$-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i)} + a \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (A_i^{-1})}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i)} - \ln a \\ \geq -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i \ln (A_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i)} \\ \geq -a^{-1} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i)} - a \right) - \ln a,$$

namely

$$(2.8) \quad 1 + \ln a - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i \ln A_i) \\ \leq a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i) + \ln a - 1,$$

for all $a > 0$.

If we take the exponential in (2.8), then we get

$$\exp \left(1 + \ln a - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) \\ \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i \ln A_i) \right) \\ \leq \exp \left(a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i) + \ln a - 1 \right),$$

namely

$$a \exp \left(1 - a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (\exp [\text{tr} (P_i \ln A_i)])^{p_i} \\ \leq a \exp \left(a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \text{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right)$$

and the inequality (2.7) is proved. \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions in Theorem 6 we have*

$$(2.9) \quad \exp \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right) \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i} (A_i)]^{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i)} \leq 1.$$

The second inequality in (2.9) is best possible from the second inequality in (2.7).

Proof. The inequality (2.9) follows by (2.7) on taking $a = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i)$.

Now, consider the function $f(t) = t \exp [t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1]$, $t > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \exp \left[t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right] + t \exp \left[t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i)}{t^2} \right) \\ &= \exp \left[t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right] \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i)}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have that $f'(t_0) = 0$ for $t_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i)$ which shows that f is strictly decreasing on $(0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i))$ and strictly increasing on $(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i), \infty)$. Therefore

$$\inf_{t \in (0, \infty)} f(t) = f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i),$$

and the corollary is thus proved. \square

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions in Theorem 6 we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i} (A_i)]^{p_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right)^{-1}} \\ &\leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality in (2.10) is best possible from the first inequality in (2.7).

Proof. The inequality (2.10) follows by (2.7) for $a = [\operatorname{tr} (P A^{-1})]^{-1}$.

we take the function $g(t) = t \exp [1 - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (A_i^{-1})]$, $t > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= \exp \left[1 - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right] \\ &\quad - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (A_i^{-1}) \exp \left[1 - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[1 - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right] \left(1 - t \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that g is strictly increasing on $\left(0, \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1})\right]^{-1}\right)$ and strictly decreasing on $\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1})\right]^{-1}, \infty\right)$, therefore

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} g(t) = g\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1})\right]^{-1}\right) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1})\right]^{-1},$$

which shows that the first inequality in (2.10) is best possible from the first inequality in (2.7). \square

Remark 3. *The case of one operator gives Theorem 5 from the introduction.*

3. RELATED RESULTS

When more information for the operators A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is available, then we have some simple bounds as follows:

Theorem 7. *Assume that $P_i \geq 0$ with $P_i \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P_i) = 1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and A_i with the property that $0 < mI \leq A_i \leq MI$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i}} \leq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1\right) \\ \leq \exp\left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM}\right]$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1})\right)^{-1}} \leq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1\right) \\ \leq \exp\left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM}\right].$$

Proof. If $t \in [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$, then $(M-t)(m^{-1} - t^{-1}) \geq 0$. Since $0 < mI \leq A_i \leq MI$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ hence by using the functional calculus for selfadjoint operators we get

$$(MI - A_i)(m^{-1}I - A_i^{-1}) \geq 0$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, which is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad (M+m)I \geq MmA_i^{-1} + A_i$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (3.3) both sides by $P_i^{1/2}$ we get

$$(M+m)P_i \geq MmP_i^{1/2}A_i^{-1}P_i^{1/2} + P_i^{1/2}A_iP_i^{1/2}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we take the trace and use its properties, we get

$$M+m \geq Mm \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) + \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply by $p_i \geq 0$ and summing over i from 1 to n , we get

$$(3.4) \quad M + m \geq Mm \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i).$$

By making use of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & Mm \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) \\ & \geq 2 \sqrt{Mm \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$M + m \geq 2 \sqrt{Mm \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) \leq \frac{(M + m)^2}{4mM}$$

or to,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1 \leq \frac{(M - m)^2}{4mM}.$$

Now, by utilizing Corollaries 3 and 4 we deduce the desired results. \square

Theorem 8. *With the assumptions in Theorem 7 we have*

$$(3.5) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i}} \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1 \right) \\ \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{mM} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{m} \right]$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [\Delta_{P_i}(A_i)]^{p_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \right)^{-1}} \leq \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) - 1 \right) \\ \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{mM} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{m} \right].$$

Proof. From (3.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{mM} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \right)^{-1} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{mM} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \right)^{-1} \\
 & = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2 \\
 & - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{mM}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \right)^{-1/2} \right)^2 \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply by $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) - 1 \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i A_i) \leq M \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \operatorname{tr} (P_i) \\
 & = M \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \right)^2 = \frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{m},
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired results. \square

Remark 4. If $0 < mI \leq A \leq MI$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, then $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then, see also [4],

$$(3.7) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM} \right]$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM} \right].$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & 1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1] \\
 & \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{mM} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{m} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{mM} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right] \leq \exp \left[\frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{m} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen's type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, 31 (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Some Slater's type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Toyama Math. J.*, **38** (2016), 75–99. Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 117. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a117.pdf>].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art.
- [5] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [6] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [7] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [8] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* **20** (2012), 46–49.
- [9] T. Furuta, J. Mičić-Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Croatia.
- [10] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA