

SOME IMPROVEMENTS OF THE MONOTONICITY PROPERTY FOR THE TRACE CLASS P -DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A).$$

In this paper we show among others that, if $0 < m \leq B - A \leq M$ and $0 < \gamma \leq A \leq \Gamma$, then

$$1 < \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma}\right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{M}{m}}$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We also show that, if $B > A > 0$, then for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < \left(1 + \frac{\|B - A\|}{\|A\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\| \|B-A\|}} &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\|}\right)^{\|B-A\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [7], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (FK -determinant) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Normalized determinants, Inequalities.

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [8], [9], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [13].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;
(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and*

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\operatorname{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,*

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{tr}(AT) = \operatorname{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\operatorname{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) *$\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\operatorname{tr}\| = 1$;*

(iv) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$.*

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP) = \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\operatorname{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}(PT_n) = \operatorname{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [5] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \operatorname{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties:

- (i) *continuity:* the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality:* $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity:* $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_x(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity:* $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In the recent paper [6] we obtained the following results:

Theorem 4. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A, B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the Ky Fan's type inequality*

$$(1.13) \quad \Delta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\Delta_P(B)]^t.$$

and

Theorem 5. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have the double inequality*

$$(1.14) \quad a \exp[1 - a \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})] \leq \Delta_P(A) \leq a \exp[a^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

In particular

$$(1.15) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp[\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1].$$

The first inequalities in (1.15) and 1.16) are best possible from (1.14).

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if $0 < m \leq B - A \leq M$ and $0 < \gamma \leq A \leq \Gamma$, then

$$1 < \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma}\right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{M}{m}}$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We also show that, if $B > A > 0$, then for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \left(1 + \frac{\|B - A\|}{\|A\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\| \|B-A\|}} \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\|}\right)^{\|B-A\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We can state the following representation result that is of interest in itself:

Lemma 1. For all $A, B > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \ln B - \ln A &= \int_0^\infty [(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + B)^{-1}] d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that for $t > 0, t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda + t)(\lambda + 1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t - 1} + \frac{1}{1 - t} \ln \left(\frac{u + t}{u + 1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t - 1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda + t)(\lambda + 1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(2.2) \quad \ln t = (t - 1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

If we use the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \ln T = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} (T - 1) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

for all operators $T > 0$.

We have from (2.3) for $A, B > 0$ that

$$(2.4) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \left[(B - 1) (\lambda + B)^{-1} - (A - 1) (\lambda + A)^{-1} \right] d\lambda.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-1)(\lambda+B)^{-1} - (A-1)(\lambda+A)^{-1} \\ &= B(\lambda+B)^{-1} - A(\lambda+A)^{-1} - \left((\lambda+B)^{-1} - (\lambda+A)^{-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & B(\lambda+B)^{-1} - A(\lambda+A)^{-1} \\ &= (B+\lambda-\lambda)(\lambda+B)^{-1} - (A+\lambda-\lambda)(\lambda+A)^{-1} \\ &= 1 - \lambda(\lambda+B)^{-1} - 1 + \lambda(\lambda+A)^{-1} = \lambda(\lambda+A)^{-1} - \lambda(\lambda+B)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-1)(\lambda+B)^{-1} - (A-1)(\lambda+A)^{-1} \\ &= \lambda(\lambda+A)^{-1} - \lambda(\lambda+B)^{-1} - \left((\lambda+B)^{-1} - (\lambda+A)^{-1} \right) \\ &= (\lambda+1) \left[(\lambda+A)^{-1} - (\lambda+B)^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.4) we get

$$(2.5) \quad \ln B - \ln A = \int_0^\infty \left[(\lambda+A)^{-1} - (\lambda+B)^{-1} \right] d\lambda,$$

we prove the first equality in (2.1).

Consider the continuous function g defined on an interval I for which the corresponding operator function is Gâteaux differentiable on the segment $[C, D] : \{(1-t)C + tD, t \in [0, 1]\}$ for C, D selfadjoint operators with spectra in I . We consider the auxiliary function defined on $[0, 1]$ by

$$f_{C,D}(t) := f((1-t)C + tD), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we have, by the properties of the integral, that

$$f(D) - f(C) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (f_{C,D}(t)) dt = \int_0^1 \nabla f_{(1-t)C+tD} (D-C) dt.$$

If we write this equality for the function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ and $C, D > 0$, then we get the representation

$$(2.6) \quad C^{-1} - D^{-1} = \int_0^1 ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} (D-C) ((1-t)C + tD)^{-1} dt.$$

Now, if we take in (2.6) $C = \lambda + A, D = \lambda + B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad & (\lambda+A)^{-1} - (\lambda+B)^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 ((1-t)(\lambda+A) + t(\lambda+B))^{-1} (B-A) \\ & \quad \times ((1-t)(\lambda+A) + t(\lambda+B))^{-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By employing (2.7) and (2.5) we derive the desired result (2.1). □

Theorem 6. *Assume that $A, B > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \text{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \text{tr}[P(\ln A)] \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\text{tr}[P(\lambda + A)^{-1}] - \text{tr}[P(\lambda + B)^{-1}] \right) d\lambda \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \text{tr} \left(P(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right) dt \right) d\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\
 &= \exp \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\text{tr}[P(\lambda + A)^{-1}] - \text{tr}[P(\lambda + B)^{-1}] \right) d\lambda \right] \\
 &= \exp \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \text{tr} \left(P(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right) dt \right) d\lambda \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we multiply both sides of (2.1) by $P^{1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P^{1/2}(\ln B)P^{1/2} - P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2} \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left[P^{1/2}(\lambda + A)^{-1}P^{1/2} - P^{1/2}(\lambda + B)^{-1}P^{1/2} \right] d\lambda \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 P^{1/2}(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}P^{1/2} dt \right) d\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take the trace and use its properties, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \text{tr}[P(\ln A)] \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left[\text{tr}[P(\lambda + A)^{-1}] - \text{tr}[P(\lambda + B)^{-1}] \right] d\lambda \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \text{tr} \left(P^{1/2}(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}P^{1/2} \right) dt \right) d\lambda \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \text{tr} \left(P(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B - A) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right) dt \right) d\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the representation (2.8). □

Corollary 1. *Assume that $A \geq m_1 > 0$, $B \geq m_2 > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then*

$$(2.10) \quad \exp[-\Phi(m_1, m_2)\|B - A\|] \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp[\Phi(m_1, m_2)\|B - A\|],$$

where

$$\Phi(m_1, m_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_2 \neq m_1, \\ \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } m_2 = m_1 = m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If we take the modulus in (2.8), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)]| \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left| \operatorname{tr} \left(P(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right) dt \right) d\lambda \\ & \leq \|P\|_1 \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\| dt \right) d\lambda \\ & \leq \|B-A\| \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 \left\| (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^2 dt \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $m_2 > m_1$. Then

$$(1-t)A + tB + \lambda \geq (1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda,$$

which implies that

$$((1-t)A + tB + \lambda)^{-1} \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1},$$

and

$$\left\| ((1-t)A + tB + \lambda)^{-1} \right\|^2 \leq ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-2}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad & |\operatorname{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)]| \\ & \leq \|B-A\| \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda \\ & = \frac{\|B-A\|}{m_2 - m_1} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (m_2 - m_1) ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

If we use the identity (2.1) for $A = m_1$, $B = m_2$ we get the scalar identity

$$\begin{aligned} \ln m_2 - \ln m_1 & = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1} (m_2 - m_1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1} dt \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.11) we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad |\operatorname{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)]| \leq \frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} \|B-A\|$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

The case $m_2 < m_1$ goes in a similar way.

Now, assume that $A, B \geq m > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, then $B + \epsilon \geq m + \epsilon$. Put $m_2 = m + \epsilon > m = m_1$. If we write the inequality (2.12) for $B + \epsilon$ and A , we get

$$|\operatorname{tr}[P(\ln(B + \epsilon))] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)]| \leq \frac{\ln(m + \epsilon) - \ln m}{\epsilon} \|B - A\|$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

If we take the limit over $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$ and observe that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\ln(m + \epsilon) - \ln m}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{m},$$

then we get

$$|\operatorname{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)]| \leq \frac{1}{m} \|B - A\|$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

Therefore

$$-\Phi(m_1, m_2) \|B - A\| \leq \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr}[P(\ln A)] \leq \Phi(m_1, m_2) \|B - A\|$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, which gives the desired result (2.10). \square

Theorem 7. *Assume that $0 < m \leq B - A \leq M$ and $0 < \gamma \leq A \leq \Gamma$, then*

$$(2.13) \quad 1 < \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma}\right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{M}{m}}$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

Proof. Since $m \leq B - A \leq M$ then by multiplying both sides by $(\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} > 0$ we derive

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} m(\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-2} \\ \leq (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \leq M(\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Observe that

$$(1 - t)A + tB = A + t(B - A),$$

and since $\gamma \leq A \leq \Gamma$, hence

$$\lambda + \gamma + tm \leq \lambda + (1 - t)A + tB \leq \lambda + \Gamma + tM,$$

namely,

$$(\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} \leq (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-1},$$

which gives that

$$(2.15) \quad (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} \leq (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-2} \leq (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

By utilizing (2.14) and (2.15), we derive

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} m(\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} \\ \leq (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} \\ \leq M(\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

If we multiply both sides by $P^{1/2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & mP^{1/2} (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} P^{1/2} \\ & \leq P^{1/2} (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} P^{1/2} \\ & \leq MP^{1/2} (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} P^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the trace, we derive

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & m \operatorname{tr} \left[P (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} \right] \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} \left[P (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq M \operatorname{tr} \left[P (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

This is equivalent to

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & m (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} \left[P (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq M (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

If we take the integrals in (2.18), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & m \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \operatorname{tr} \left[P (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right] \\ & \leq M \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

namely, by (2.8)

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} m \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda & \leq \operatorname{tr} [P(\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr} [P(\ln A)] \\ & \leq M \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} dt & = -\frac{1}{m} (\lambda + \gamma + m)^{-1} + \frac{1}{m} (\lambda + \gamma)^{-1} \\ & = \frac{1}{m} \left((\lambda + \gamma)^{-1} - (\lambda + \gamma + m)^{-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$M \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda = \frac{M}{m} \int_0^\infty \left((\lambda + \gamma)^{-1} - (\lambda + \gamma + m)^{-1} \right) d\lambda.$$

By the first identity in (2.1) in the scalar case, we have

$$\ln(\gamma + m) - \ln \gamma = \int_0^\infty \left[(\lambda + \gamma)^{-1} - (\lambda + \gamma + m)^{-1} \right] d\lambda$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} M \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \gamma + tm)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda &= M \frac{\ln(\gamma + m) - \ln \gamma}{m} \\ &= \ln \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{M}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} m \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + \Gamma + tM)^{-2} dt \right) d\lambda &= m \frac{\ln(\Gamma + M) - \ln \Gamma}{M} \\ &= \ln \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.19) we get

$$(2.20) \quad \ln \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \ln B - \ln A \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{M}{m}}.$$

If $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then by (2.20) we obtain

$$\ln \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \text{tr}[P(\ln B)] - \text{tr}[P(\ln A)] \leq \ln \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{M}{m}}$$

and by taking the exponential, we derive

$$1 < \left(1 + \frac{M}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{m}{M}} \leq \frac{\exp \text{tr}[P(\ln B)]}{\exp \text{tr}[P(\ln A)]} \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{M}{m}}$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$ and the inequality (2.13) is obtained. \square

3. RELATED RESULTS

Let A and B be strictly positive operators on a Hilbert space H such that $B - A \geq m1_H > 0$. In 2015, [10], T. Furuta obtained the following result for any non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$

$$(3.1) \quad f(B) - f(A) \geq f(\|A\| + m) - f(\|A\|) \geq f(\|B\|) - f(\|B\| - m) > 0.$$

If $B > A > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad f(B) - f(A) &\geq f \left(\|A\| + \frac{1}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\|} \right) - f(\|A\|) \\ &\geq f(\|B\|) - f \left(\|B\| - \frac{1}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\|} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality between the first and third term in (3.2) was obtained earlier by H. Zuo and G. Duan in [14].

If we write the inequality (3.1) for $f(t) = \ln t$, then we get for $B - A \geq m > 0$

$$\ln B - \ln A \geq \ln \left(\frac{\|A\| + m}{\|A\|} \right) \geq \ln \left(\frac{\|B\|}{\|B\| - m} \right) > 0.$$

By multiplying both sides with $P^{1/2}$ and taking the trace, we get

$$\operatorname{tr} [P (\ln B)] - \operatorname{tr} [P (\ln A)] \geq \ln \left(\frac{\|A\| + m}{\|A\|} \right) \geq \ln \left(\frac{\|B\|}{\|B\| - m} \right) > 0.$$

If we take the exponential, we can state that

$$\frac{\operatorname{tr} [P (\ln B)]}{\operatorname{tr} [P (\ln A)]} \geq \frac{\|A\| + m}{\|A\|} \geq \frac{\|B\|}{\|B\| - m} > 1,$$

namely

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \geq \frac{\|A\| + m}{\|A\|} \geq \frac{\|B\|}{\|B\| - m} > 1$$

provided that $B - A \geq m > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

If $B > A > 0$, then by (3.2) written for $f(t) = \ln t$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln B - \ln A &\geq \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\|A\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|} \right) \\ &\geq \ln \left(\frac{\|B\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|}{\|B\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\| - 1} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By utilizing a similar argument as above, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{\|A\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|} \geq \frac{\|B\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|}{\|B\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\| - 1} \geq 1$$

provided that $B > A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

Its is well known that, if $P \geq 0$, then

$$|\langle Px, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Px, x \rangle \langle Py, y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Therefore, if $T > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle x, x \rangle^2 = \langle T^{-1}Tx, x \rangle^2 = \langle Tx, T^{-1}x \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \langle Tx, x \rangle \langle TT^{-1}x, T^{-1}x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle \langle x, T^{-1}x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$.

If $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, then

$$1 \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle \langle x, T^{-1}x \rangle \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle x, T^{-1}x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle \|T^{-1}\|,$$

which implies the following operator inequality

$$(3.5) \quad \|T^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq T.$$

Proposition 2. *If $B, A > 0$, then for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$,*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp [-\Psi(\|A^{-1}\|, \|B^{-1}\|) \|B - A\|] &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ &\leq \exp [\Psi(\|A^{-1}\|, \|B^{-1}\|) \|B - A\|], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi(\|A^{-1}\|, \|B^{-1}\|) := \begin{cases} \frac{\ln\|B^{-1}\| - \ln\|A^{-1}\|}{\|B^{-1}\| - \|A^{-1}\|} \|A^{-1}\| \|B^{-1}\| & \text{if } \|A^{-1}\| \neq \|B^{-1}\|, \\ \|B^{-1}\| & \text{if } \|A^{-1}\| = \|B^{-1}\|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $A \geq \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and $B \geq \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then by (2.10) for $m_1 = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and $m_2 = \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}$ we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp\left[-\Phi\left(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}\right) \|B - A\|\right] \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ & \leq \exp\left[\Phi\left(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}\right) \|B - A\|\right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi\left(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}, \|B^{-1}\|^{-1}\right) \\ & = \begin{cases} \frac{\ln\|B^{-1}\|^{-1} - \ln\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}}{\|B^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}} & \text{if } \|A^{-1}\| \neq \|B^{-1}\|, \\ \|B^{-1}\| & \text{if } \|A^{-1}\| = \|B^{-1}\|. \end{cases} \\ & = \Psi(\|A^{-1}\|, \|B^{-1}\|) \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (3.6) is proved. \square

Finally, we can also state:

Proposition 3. *If $B > A > 0$, then for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$,*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 & < \left(1 + \frac{\|B - A\|}{\|A\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\| \|B-A\|}} \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{\Delta_P(A)} \\ & \leq \left(1 + \frac{\|A^{-1}\|}{\|(B-A)^{-1}\|}\right)^{\|B-A\| \|(B-A)^{-1}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $\|(B-A)^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq B - A \leq \|B - A\|$ and $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq A \leq \|A\|$.

By taking $m = \|(B-A)^{-1}\|^{-1}$, $M = \|B - A\|$, $\gamma = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and $\Gamma = \|A\|$ in (2.13) we get (3.8) for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **Volume 3**, Issue 2, Article 31, 2002.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the second Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **Volume 3**, Issue 3, Article 35, 2002
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the deviation of a function from the chord generated by its extremities. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), no. 2, 225–248.

- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art.
- [7] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [8] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [9] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [10] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [11] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* **20** (2012), 46–49.
- [12] T. Furuta, J. Mičić-Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Croatia.
- [13] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.
- [14] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA