

**INEQUALITIES FOR TRACE CLASS ENTROPIC
 P-DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT
 SPACES VIA ČEBYŠEV'S TYPE RESULTS**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant and the entropic P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\Delta_P(A) := \exp[\text{tr}(P \ln A)]$$

and

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp[-\text{tr}(PA \ln A)],$$

respectively.

In this paper we show among others that, if $A, B > 0$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P, Q \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(P) = \text{tr}(Q) = 1$, then for $r > 0$

$$[\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} [\eta_Q(B^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\text{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\text{tr}(QB^r)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\eta_P(A) \eta_Q(B) \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\text{tr}(PA)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\text{tr}(QB)}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [8], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|}\right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [9], [10], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [11].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$(1.11) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;

(iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, PT , $TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\text{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP) = \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\text{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(PT_n) = \text{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [6] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \text{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties [7]:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality*: $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In [7], we presented some fundamental properties of this determinant. Among others we showed that

$$1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA) \text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\text{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) \text{tr}(PA) - 1],$$

for $A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *entropic* P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp[-\text{tr}(PA \ln A)] = \exp\{\text{tr}[P\eta(A)]\} = \exp\left\{\text{tr}\left[P^{1/2}\eta(A)P^{1/2}\right]\right\}.$$

Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_P(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\text{tr}\{P[tA \ln(tA)]\}) \\ &= \exp(-\text{tr}\{P[tA(\ln t + \ln A)]\}) = \exp(-\text{tr}\{P(tA \ln t + tA \ln A)\}) \\ &= \exp(-t \ln t \text{tr}(PA)) \exp(-t \text{tr}(PA \ln A)) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\text{tr}(PA)t}\right) [\exp(-\text{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.13) \quad \eta_P(tA) = t^{-t \operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\eta_P(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.14) \quad \eta_P(I) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_P(tI) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if $A, B > 0$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P, Q \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = \operatorname{tr}(Q) = 1$, then for $r > 0$

$$[\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} [\eta_Q(B^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB^r)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\eta_P(A) \eta_Q(B) \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB)}.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We say that the functions $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are *synchronous* (*asynchronous*) on the interval $[a, b]$ if they satisfy the following condition:

$$(f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) \geq (\leq) 0 \text{ for each } t, s \in [a, b].$$

It is obvious that, if f, g are monotonic and have the same monotonicity on the interval $[a, b]$, then they are synchronous on $[a, b]$ while if they have opposite monotonicity, they are asynchronous.

In [4] we obtained the following Čebyšev's type result:

Lemma 1. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space H with $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq J$ and assume that the continuous functions $f, g : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are synchronous on J . If $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P \geq 0$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ \geq \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \right) \left(g\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right).$$

In particular, we have:

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Lemma 1 and if one of the functions f and g is convex while the other is concave, then we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ \geq \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} - f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) \right) \left(g\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}\right) - \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \right) \\ \geq 0.$$

By utilizing these inequalities, we can state the following main result:

Theorem 4. *Let $A > 0$, $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, then for $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ we have*

$$(2.3) \quad [\eta_P(A^p)]^{1/p} \leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^p} [\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^p - \operatorname{tr}(PA^p)} \\ \leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^p)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \eta_P(A) \leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\text{tr}(PA)}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} [\eta_P(A^2)]^{1/2} &\leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-[\text{tr}(PA)]^2} [\text{tr}(PA)]^{[\text{tr}(PA)]^2 - \text{tr}(PA^2)} \\ &\leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\text{tr}(PA^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_P(A^{-1}) &\geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{[\text{tr}(PA)]^{-1}} [\text{tr}(PA)]^{\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^{-1}} \\ &\geq [\Delta_P(A)]^{\text{tr}(PA^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take $f(t) = t^p$, $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, \infty)$ and $g(t) = \ln t$, $t > 0$ in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{tr}(PA^p \ln A) - \text{tr}(PA^p) \text{tr}(P \ln A) \\ &\geq (\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p) (\ln(\text{tr}(PA)) - \text{tr}(P \ln A)) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(PA^p \ln A^p) - \text{tr}(PA^p) \text{tr}(P \ln A) \\ &\geq (\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p) (\ln(\text{tr}(PA)) - \text{tr}(P \ln A)) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\exp[-\text{tr}(PA^p) \text{tr}(P \ln A)]}{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(PA^p \ln A^p)\right]} \\ &\geq \exp[(\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p) (\ln(\text{tr}(PA)) - \text{tr}(P \ln A))] \\ &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp[-\text{tr}(PA^p) \text{tr}(P \ln A)] &= (\exp[\text{tr}(P \ln A)])^{-\text{tr}(PA^p)} \\ &= [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\text{tr}(PA^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{p} \text{tr}(PA^p \ln A^p)\right] &= (\exp[-\text{tr}(PA^p \ln A^p)])^{1/p} \\ &= [\eta_P(A^p)]^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp[(\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p) (\ln(\text{tr}(PA)) - \text{tr}(P \ln A))] \\ &= [\exp(\ln(\text{tr}(PA)) - \text{tr}(P \ln A))]^{\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p} \\ &= \left[\frac{\exp \ln(\text{tr}(PA))}{\exp \text{tr}(P \ln A)} \right]^{\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p} \\ &= \left[\frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \right]^{\text{tr}(PA^p) - [\text{tr}(PA)]^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.7) we get

$$\frac{[\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^p)}}{[\eta_P(A^p)]^{1/p}} \geq \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \right]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^p) - [\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^p} \geq 1.$$

Now, if we multiply this inequality by $[\Delta_P(A)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^p)}$, then we get (2.3). \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4 and $p \neq 2$, we have*

$$(2.8) \quad [\eta_P(A^{2-p})]^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \geq [\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p})}{2\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$, we get

$$(2.9) \quad [\eta_P(A)]^2 \geq \eta_P(A^2).$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (2.4) for $\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}$ instead of P and A^{-1} instead of A , then we get

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{\left[\eta_{\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-p}) \right]^{1/p}} \geq \left[\Delta_{\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}(A) \right]^{\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}A^{-p}\right)}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-p}) &= \exp\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}A^{-p}\ln A^{-p}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p}\ln A^{-p})\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{p}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p}\ln A)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{p}{(2-p)\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p}\ln A^{2-p})\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{p}{(p-2)\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}(-PA^{2-p}\ln A^{2-p})\right) \\ &= [\eta_P(A^{2-p})]^{\frac{p}{(p-2)\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-1}) &= \exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{APA}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\ln A^{-1}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}(PA^2\ln A^{-1})\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}\operatorname{tr}\left(-\frac{1}{2}PA^2\ln A^2\right)\right) \\ &= [\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{1}{2\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.10) we get

$$\frac{1}{\left[\eta_P(A^{2-p}) \right]^{\frac{p}{(p-2)\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}} \geq \left[[\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{1}{2\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \right]^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p})}{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}},$$

namely

$$\frac{1}{[\eta_P(A^{2-p})]^{\frac{1}{(p-2)\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}} \geq [\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^{2-p})}{2[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^2}}.$$

If we take the power $\operatorname{tr}(PA)$, then we get (2.8). \square

Theorem 5. *Let $A > 0$, $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, then for $q \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$(2.11) \quad [\eta_P(A^{q+1})]^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \leq \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{-(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q}} \leq [\eta_P(A)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}.$$

Proof. If we take $f(t) = t \ln t$, $g(t) = t^q$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $t > 0$ in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A) - \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(PA^q) \\ & \geq (\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) - \ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))) ((\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)) \\ & \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q+1} \operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A^{q+1}) - \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(PA^q) \\ & \geq (\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) - \ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))) ((\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)) \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\frac{1}{q+1} \operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A^{q+1}) - \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(PA^q) \right] \\ & \geq \exp [(\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) - \ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))) ((\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q))] \\ & \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\frac{1}{q+1} \operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A^{q+1}) - \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(PA^q) \right] \\ & = \frac{\exp[-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)]}{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{q+1} \operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A^{q+1}) \right]} = \frac{(\exp[-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)])^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}}{(\exp[-\operatorname{tr}(PA^{q+1} \ln A^{q+1})])^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \\ & = \frac{[\eta_P(A)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}}{[\eta_P(A^{q+1})]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp [(\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) - \ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))) ((\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q))] \\ & = [\exp[\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A) - \ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))]]^{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)} \\ & = \frac{(\exp[\ln(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^{-1}])^{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}}{[\exp(-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}} \\ & = \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^{\operatorname{tr}(PA^q) - (\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{(\operatorname{tr}(PA))^q - \operatorname{tr}(PA^q)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12) we get

$$\frac{[\eta_P(A)]^{\text{tr}(PA^q)}}{[\eta_P(A^{q+1})]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \geq \frac{(\text{tr}(PA))^{\text{tr}(PA^q) - (\text{tr}(PA))^q}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{(\text{tr}(PA))^q - \text{tr}(PA^q)}} \geq 1.$$

If we divide by $[\eta_P(A)]^{\text{tr}(PA^q)}$ we get the desired result (2.11). \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 5, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad [\eta_P(A^{1-q})]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \geq [\eta_P(A^2)]^{-\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{2-q})}{2\text{tr}(PA)}}.$$

In particular, for $q = 1/2$, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \left[\eta_P(A^{1/2}) \right]^2 \geq [\eta_P(A^2)]^{-\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{3/2})}{2\text{tr}(PA)}}.$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (2.11) for $\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}$ instead of P and A^{-1} instead of A , then we get

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{\left[\eta_{\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-(q+1)}) \right]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \geq \left[\eta_{\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-1}) \right]^{-\text{tr}\left(\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}A^{-q}\right)}.$$

As above,

$$\eta_{\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-(q+1)}) = [\eta_P(A^{1-q})]^{\frac{q+1}{(q-1)\text{tr}(PA)}}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\frac{APA}{\text{tr}(PA)}}(A^{-1}) = [\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{1}{2\text{tr}(PA)}}$$

and by (2.15) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\left[[\eta_P(A^{1-q})]^{\frac{q+1}{(q-1)\text{tr}(PA)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q+1}}} \geq \left[[\eta_P(A^2)]^{\frac{1}{2\text{tr}(PA)}} \right]^{-\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{2-q})}{\text{tr}(PA)}},$$

namely

$$[\eta_P(A^{1-q})]^{\frac{1}{(1-q)\text{tr}(PA)}} \geq [\eta_P(A^2)]^{-\frac{\text{tr}(PA^{2-q})}{2[\text{tr}(PA)]^2}}.$$

If we take the power $\text{tr}(PA)$, then we get (2.13). \square

3. RELATED RESULTS

In [4] we also obtained the following Čebyšev's type inequalities:

Lemma 2. *Let A and B be two selfadjoint operators on the Hilbert space H with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subseteq J$ and assume that the continuous functions $f, g : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are synchronous on J . If $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P, Q \geq 0$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\text{tr}(P)} + \frac{\text{tr}[Qf(B)g(B)]}{\text{tr}(Q)} \\ & \geq \frac{\text{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}[Qg(B)]}{\text{tr}(Q)} + \frac{\text{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\text{tr}(P)} \frac{\text{tr}[Qf(B)]}{\text{tr}(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular,

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(B)g(B)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \\ \geq \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(B)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(B)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}.$$

We also have:

Corollary 4. *Let A be a selfadjoint operators on the Hilbert space H with $\operatorname{Sp}(A) \subseteq J$ and assume that the continuous functions $f, g : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are synchronous on J . If $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P, Q \geq 0$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Qf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} \\ \geq \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Qg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)} + \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Qf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(Q)}$$

and, in particular,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)g(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \geq \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pf(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)} \frac{\operatorname{tr}[Pg(A)]}{\operatorname{tr}(P)}.$$

Using the above inequalities we can also state:

Theorem 6. *Let $A, B > 0$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \setminus \{0\}$ with $P, Q \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = \operatorname{tr}(Q) = 1$, then for $r > 0$*

$$(3.5) \quad [\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} [\eta_Q(B^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB^r)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \eta_P(A) \eta_Q(B) \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB)}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad [\eta_P(A^2)]^{1/2} [\eta_Q(B^2)]^{1/2} \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB^2)}.$$

For $r < 0$, the inequality in (3.5) reverses.

Proof. If we write the inequality (3.1) for the functions $f(t) = t^r$, $r > 0$ and $g(t) = \ln t$, $t > 0$ then we get

$$\operatorname{tr}(PA^r \ln A) + \operatorname{tr}(QB^r \ln B) \geq \operatorname{tr}(PA^r) \operatorname{tr}(Q \ln B) + \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(QB^r),$$

namely

$$\frac{1}{r} [\operatorname{tr}(-PA^r \ln A^r) + \operatorname{tr}(-QB^r \ln B^r)] \\ \leq -\operatorname{tr}(PA^r) \operatorname{tr}(Q \ln B) - \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) \operatorname{tr}(QB^r).$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$(\exp[\operatorname{tr}(-PA^r \ln A^r)])^{\frac{1}{r}} (\exp \operatorname{tr}(-QB^r \ln B^r))^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leq [\exp(\operatorname{tr}(Q \ln B))]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB^r)},$$

namely

$$(\eta_P(A^r))^{1/r} (\eta_Q(B^r))^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_Q(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QB^r)}$$

and the inequality (3.5) is proved. \square

Corollary 5. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6 we have*

$$(3.8) \quad [\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} [\eta_P(B^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_P(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PB^r)},$$

$$(3.9) \quad [\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} [\eta_Q(A^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_Q(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QA^r)}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad [\eta_P(A^r)]^{1/r} \leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA^r)}.$$

Remark 1. *For $r = 1$ we derive that*

$$(3.11) \quad \eta_P(A) \eta_P(B) \leq [\Delta_P(B)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PB)},$$

$$(3.12) \quad \eta_P(A) \eta_Q(A) \leq [\Delta_Q(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(QA)}$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \eta_P(A) \leq [\Delta_P(A)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 923–943. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Some trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, **24** (2016), no. 2, 273–296. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 115. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a115.pdf>].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31** (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some Čebyšev’s type trace inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **64** (2016), no. 9, 1800–1813. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 111, 13 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a111.pdf>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **9** (2017), no. 1, 74–93. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 121. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a121.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 15, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a16.pdf>].
- [8] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [9] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [10] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht’s Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [11] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume **15** (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA