

**BOUNDS FOR THE GEOMETRIC MEAN OF TRACE CLASS
ENTROPIC P -DETERMINANTS OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN
HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the entropic P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp[-\text{tr}(PA \ln A)].$$

Assume that $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P_j \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this paper we show, among others, that, if $0 < m \leq A_j \leq M$, $p_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-m}\left(M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j) - m\right)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}(M-m)}. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [9], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|}\right).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and I stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [10], [11], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp(\ln \langle Ax, x \rangle)$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [12].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;
(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and*

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\operatorname{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,*

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{tr}(AT) = \operatorname{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\operatorname{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) *$\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\operatorname{tr}\| = 1$;*

(iv) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$.*

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP) = \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\operatorname{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}(PT_n) = \operatorname{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For a survey on recent trace inequalities see [7] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \operatorname{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties [8]:

- (i) *continuity:* the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality:* $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity:* $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(tI) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity:* $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In [8], we presented some fundamental properties of this determinant. Among others we showed that

$$1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1],$$

for $A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *entropic P -determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp [-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)] = \exp \{\operatorname{tr}[P\eta(A)]\} = \exp \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left[P^{1/2} \eta(A) P^{1/2} \right] \right\}.$$

Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_P(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA \ln(tA)]\}) \\ &= \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA(\ln t + \ln A)]\}) = \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P(tA \ln t + tA \ln A)\}) \\ &= \exp(-t \ln t \operatorname{tr}(PA)) \exp(-t \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)t} \right) [\exp(-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.13) \quad \eta_P(tA) = t^{-t \operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\eta_P(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.14) \quad \eta_P(I) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_P(tI) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

Assume that $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P_j \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this paper we show, among others, that, if $0 < m \leq A_j \leq M$, $p_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{M-m}(M-\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j))(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)-m)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}(M-m)}. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We use the following result that was obtained in [1]:

Lemma 1. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on $[a, b]$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{(b-t)f(a) + (t-a)f(b)}{b-a} - f(t) \\ &\leq (b-t)(t-a) \frac{f'_-(b) - f'_+(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a) [f'_-(b) - f'_+(a)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [a, b]$.

If the lateral derivatives $f'_-(b)$ and $f'_+(a)$ are finite, then the second inequality and the constant $1/4$ are sharp.

We have the following reverse for the Jensen's trace inequality:

Lemma 2. *Assume that f is differentiable convex on the interior \mathring{I} of an interval. Let $Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j) > 0$, then for all*

B_j with the spectra $\text{Sp}(B_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \mathring{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}[Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)}{M - m} \\
 &\quad \times \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)}\right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} - m\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4}(M - m)[f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Utilizing the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator T with $0 \leq T \leq 1$ and the convexity of f on $[m, M]$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad f(m(1 - T) + MT) \leq f(m)(1 - T) + f(M)T$$

in the operator order.

If we take in (2.3)

$$0 \leq T = \frac{B_j - m}{M - m} \leq 1,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad &f\left(m\left(1 - \frac{B_j - m}{M - m}\right) + M\frac{B_j - m}{M - m}\right) \\
 &\leq f(m)\left(1 - \frac{B_j - m}{M - m}\right) + f(M)\frac{B_j - m}{M - m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &m\left(1 - \frac{B_j - m}{M - m}\right) + M\frac{B_j - m}{M - m} \\
 &= \frac{m(M - B_j) + M(B_j - m)}{M - m} = B_j
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(m)\left(1 - \frac{B_j - m}{M - m}\right) + f(M)\frac{B_j - m}{M - m} \\
 &= \frac{f(m)(M - B_j) + f(M)(B_j - m)}{M - m}
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.4) we get the following inequality of interest

$$(2.5) \quad f(B_j) \leq \frac{f(m)(M - B_j) + f(M)(B_j - m)}{M - m}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (2.5) both sides with $Q_j^{1/2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} f(B_j) Q_j^{1/2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} \left[\frac{f(m)(M - B_j) + f(M)(B_j - m)}{M - m} \right] Q_j^{1/2} \\
& = \frac{f(m) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} (M - B_j) Q_j^{1/2} + f(M) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} (B_j - m) Q_j^{1/2}}{M - m} \\
& = \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j - \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} B_j Q_j^{1/2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} B_j Q_j^{1/2} - m \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies, by taking the trace and using its properties, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [Q_j f(B_j)] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j) - m \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j) \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \\
& \leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - m \right)}{M - m},
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad 0 & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
& \quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the first inequality is Jensen's inequality.

Using the inequality (2.1) for

$$t = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \in [m, M],$$

$a = m$ and $b = M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
& - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)}{M - m} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - m \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} (M - m) [f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)].
\end{aligned}$$

By making use of (2.6) and (2.7) we derive (2.2). \square

Theorem 4. Assume that $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P_j \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $0 < m \leq A_j \leq M$, $p_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad 1 & \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right)^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-m} (M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)) (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m)} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} (M-m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (2.2) for the convex function $f(t) = t \ln t$, $t > 0$, $Q_j = p_j P_j$ and $B_j = A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad 0 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \\
& \leq \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-m} (M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)) (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m)} \\
& \leq \ln \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} (M-m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential in (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad 1 & \leq \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \right] \\
& \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-m} (M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)) (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m)} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} (M-m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j \ln A_j) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right) \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\exp \left[- \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right) \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right) \right]}{\exp \sum_{j=1}^n p_j [-\operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j \ln A_j)]} \\
&= \frac{\exp \left[\ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)^{- \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)} \right]}{\exp \sum_{j=1}^n p_j [-\operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j \ln A_j)]} \\
&= \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)^{- \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (\exp [-\operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j \ln A_j)])^{p_j}} \\
&= \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)^{- \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j) \right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j} (A_j)]^{p_j}}
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.10) we deduce (2.8). \square

Remark 1. *The case of one operator is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr} (PA)^{-\operatorname{tr} (PA)}}{\eta_P (A)} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-m} (M-\operatorname{tr} (PA)) (\operatorname{tr} (PA)-m)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} (M-m)},
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $P \in \mathcal{B}_1 (H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr} (P) = 1$ while $0 < m \leq A \leq M$.

If $0 < m \leq A$, $B \leq M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then for $P \in \mathcal{B}_1 (H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr} (P) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{(\operatorname{tr} (P [(1-t)A + tB]))^{-\operatorname{tr} (P [(1-t)A + tB])}}{[\eta_P (A)]^{(1-t)} [\eta_P (B)]^t} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{M-m} (M-\operatorname{tr} (P [(1-t)A + tB])) (\operatorname{tr} (P [(1-t)A + tB]) - m)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{4} (M-m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have [1]:

Lemma 3. *Assume that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. If f' is K -Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad |(1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} K (b-t)(t-a) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} K (b-a)^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The constants $1/2$ and $1/8$ are the best possible in (2.13).

Remark 2. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and $f'' \in L_\infty [a, b]$, then

$$(2.14) \quad |(1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty} (b-t)(t-a) \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty} (b-a)^2,$$

where $\|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [a,b]} |f''(t)| < \infty$. The constants $1/2$ and $1/8$ are the best possible in (2.14).

Lemma 4. Assume that f is twice differentiable convex on the interior \dot{I} of the interval I and the derivative f' is bounded on \dot{I} . Let $Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j) > 0$, then for all B_j with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(B_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \dot{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$(2.15) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}[Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)}\right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j)} - m\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M-m)^2.$$

Proof. From (2.14) and the continuous functional calculus, we get

$$(2.16) \quad 0 \leq \frac{f(m)(M - B_j) + f(M)(B_j - m)}{M - m} - f(B_j) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M - B_j)(B_j - m) \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M - m)^2$$

where B_j are selfadjoint operators with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(B_j) \subset [m, M]$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now, by employing a similar argument to the one in the proof of Lemma 2 we derive the desired result (2.15). \square

We also have:

Theorem 5. Assume that $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P_j \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $0 < m \leq A_j \leq M$, $p_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, then

$$(2.17) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}} \\ \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m} \left(M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m \right) \right] \\ \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8m} (M - m)^2 \right].$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (2.2) for the convex function $f(t) = t \ln t$, $t > 0$, $Q_j = p_j P_j$ and $B_j = A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \ln \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left(M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8m} (M - m)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get the desired result (2.17). \square

Remark 3. *The case of one operator is as follows:*

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}{\eta_P(A)} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m} (M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)) (\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m) \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8m} (M - m)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided that $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$ while $0 < m \leq A \leq M$.

If $0 < m \leq A$, $B \leq M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then for $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$,

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{(\operatorname{tr}(P[(1-t)A + tB]))^{-\operatorname{tr}(P[(1-t)A + tB])}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{(1-t)} [\eta_P(B)]^t} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m} (M - \operatorname{tr}(P[(1-t)A + tB])) (\operatorname{tr}(P[(1-t)A + tB]) - m) \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8m} (M - m)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

We also have the following scalar inequality of interest:

Lemma 5. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &2 \min\{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\leq (1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb) \\ &\leq 2 \max\{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows, for instance, by Corollary 1 from [2] for $n = 2$, $p_1 = 1 - t$, $p_2 = t$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$.

Lemma 6. *Assume that f is convex on the interior $\overset{\circ}{I}$ of an interval I . Let $Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j) > 0$, then for all B_j with the*

spectra $\text{Sp}(B_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \dot{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j |B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)|)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} - m \right)}{M-m} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j f(B_j))}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j)} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(Q_j \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \right) \\
&\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad 0 &\leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\leq (1-t)f(m) + tf(M) - f((1-t)m + tM) \\
&\leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Utilizing the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator T with $0 \leq T \leq 1$ we get from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad 0 &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| T - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \\
&\leq (1-T)f(m) + Tf(M) - f((1-T)m + TM) \\
&\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left| T - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right),
\end{aligned}$$

in the operator order.

If we take in (3.4)

$$0 \leq T = \frac{B_j - m}{M - m} \leq 1,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(m)(M-B_j) + f(M)(B_j-m)}{M-m} - f(B_j) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $Q_j^{1/2}$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m)Q_j - Q_j^{1/2} \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| Q_j^{1/2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(m)(M - Q_j^{1/2}B_jQ_j^{1/2}) + f(M)(Q_j^{1/2}B_jQ_j^{1/2} - m)}{M-m} \\
&\quad - Q_j^{1/2}f(B_j)Q_j^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m)Q_j + Q_j^{1/2} \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| Q_j^{1/2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking the trace and summing over j from 1 to n , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(Q_j \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M-m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j B_j) - m \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j) \right) \right] - \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j f(B_j)) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(Q_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(Q_j \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.2). \square

Theorem 6. *Assume that $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P_j \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $0 < m \leq A_j \leq M$, $p_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad 1 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j |A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)|) \right)} \\
&\leq \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}}{m^{-m \left(\frac{M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j)}{M-m} \right)} M^{-M \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j) - m}{M-m} \right)}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j |A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)|) \right)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we write the inequality (3.2) for the convex function $f(t) = t \ln t$, $t > 0$, $Q_j = p_j P_j$ and $B_j = A_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr} \left(P_j \left| A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\ln m^{m(M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j))} + \ln M^{M(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j) - m)}}{M-m} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) \\
&\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \text{tr} \left(P_j \left| A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) \right| \right) \right) \\
&\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j | A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \\
&\leq \ln \left[m^m \left(\frac{M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}{M-m} \right) M^M \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m}{M-m} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) \\
&\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j | A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \\
&\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j | A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \\
&\leq \frac{m^m \left(\frac{M - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}{M-m} \right) M^M \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) - m}{M-m} \right)}{\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j \ln A_j) \right)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j | A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the desired result (3.6). \square

Remark 4. *The case of one operator is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad 1 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \operatorname{tr}(P | A - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \\
&\leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{m^{-m \left(\frac{M - \operatorname{tr}(PA)}{M-m} \right)} M^{-M \left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA) - m}{M-m} \right)}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \operatorname{tr}(P | A - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)) \right)} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$ while $0 < m \leq A \leq M$.

We also have:

Lemma 7. *With the assumptions of Lemma 6 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) + \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) \right| \right) \\
&\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.6) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [Q_j f(B_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - m \right)}{M-m} \\
&\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the second part of the scalar version of (3.5) we also have the scalar inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad &\frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - m \right)}{M-m} \\
&\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) + \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j)} - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) \right| \right) \\
&\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m+M}{2} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (3.9) and (3.10) we obtain the desired result (3.8). \square

Finally, by the use of Lemma 7 we have

Theorem 7. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)\right)}}{\prod_{j=1}^n [\eta_{P_j}(A_j)]^{p_j}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}\left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m)+\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \operatorname{tr}(P_j |A_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)|)\right)} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}}\right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5. *If $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $P \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$ while $0 < m \leq A \leq M$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}}{\eta_P(A)} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}\left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m)+\operatorname{tr}(P|A - \frac{1}{2}(m+M)|)\right)} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{m^m M^M}}{\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)^{\frac{m+M}{2}}}\right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the deviation of a function from the chord generated by its extremities. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), no. 2, 225–248.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 923–943. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, **24** (2016), no. 2, 273–296. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 115. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a115.pdf>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31** (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **9** (2017), no. 1, 74–93. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 121. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a121.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 15, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a16.pdf>].
- [9] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [10] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.

- [11] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [12] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume **15** (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA