

A SUB-MULTIPLICATIVE PROPERTY FOR THE RELATIVE ENTROPIC NORMALIZED DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. For positive invertible operators A, B and $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$ we define the *relative entropic normalized determinant* $D_x(A|B)$ by

$$D_x(A|B) := \exp \left\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} x, x \right\rangle.$$

In this paper we show, among others, that, if $A, B, C > 0$, $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$ and $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then

$$D_x(A|B + C + A) \leq D_x(A|B + A) D_x(A|C + A).$$

Some examples for normalized determinant are also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and 1_H stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [9], [10], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by $\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$ and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view.

Some of the fundamental properties of normalized determinant are as follows, [9].

For each unit vector $x \in H$, see also [13], we have:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_x(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *bounds*: $\langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle^{-1} \leq \Delta_x(A) \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle$;
- (iii) *continuous mean*: $\langle A^p x, x \rangle^{1/p} \downarrow \Delta_x(A)$ for $p \downarrow 0$ and $\langle A^p x, x \rangle^{1/p} \uparrow \Delta_x(A)$ for $p \uparrow 0$;
- (iv) *power equality*: $\Delta_x(A^t) = \Delta_x(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (v) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_x(tA) = t\Delta_x(A)$ and $\Delta_x(t1_H) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (vi) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_x(A) \leq \Delta_x(B)$;
- (vii) *multiplicativity*: $\Delta_x(AB) = \Delta_x(A)\Delta_x(B)$ for commuting A and B ;
- (viii) *Ky Fan type inequality*: $\Delta_x((1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B) \geq \Delta_x(A)^{1-\alpha}\Delta_x(B)^\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Normalized determinants, Inequalities.

We define the logarithmic mean of two positive numbers a, b by

$$(1.1) \quad L(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} & \text{if } b \neq a, \\ a & \text{if } b = a. \end{cases}$$

In [9] the authors obtained the following additive reverse inequality for the operator A which satisfy the condition $0 < m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$, where m, M are positive numbers,

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle - \Delta_x(A) \leq L(m, M) \left[\ln L(m, M) + \frac{M \ln m - m \ln M}{M - m} - 1 \right]$$

for all $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

We recall that *Specht's ratio* is defined by [19]

$$(1.3) \quad S(h) := \begin{cases} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \ln(h^{\frac{1}{h-1}})} & \text{if } h \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty), \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 1} S(h) = 1$, $S(h) = S(\frac{1}{h}) > 1$ for $h > 0$, $h \neq 1$. The function is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

In [10], the authors obtained the following multiplicative reverse inequality as well

$$(1.4) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\Delta_x(A)} \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)$$

for $0 < m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$ and $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

For $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, we define the *normalized entropic determinant* $\eta_x(A)$ by

$$(1.5) \quad \eta_x(A) := \exp(-\langle A \ln Ax, x \rangle) = \exp\langle \eta(A) x, x \rangle.$$

Let $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$. Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_x(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\langle tA \ln(tA) x, x \rangle) \\ &= \exp(-\langle tA (\ln t + \ln A) x, x \rangle) = \exp(-\langle (tA \ln t + tA \ln A) x, x \rangle) \\ &= \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle t \ln t) \exp(-t \langle A \ln Ax, x \rangle) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle t} \right) [\exp(-\langle A \ln Ax, x \rangle)]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.6) \quad \eta_x(tA) = t^{-t \langle Ax, x \rangle} [\eta_x(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.7) \quad \eta_x(1_H) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_x(t1_H) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

In the recent paper [3] we showed among others that, if $A, B > 0$, then for all $x \in H, \|x\| = 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\eta_x((1-t)A + tB) \geq (\eta_x(A))^{1-t} (\eta_x(B))^t.$$

Also we have the bounds

$$(1.8) \quad \left(\frac{\langle A^2 x, x \rangle}{\langle Ax, x \rangle} \right)^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle} \leq \eta_x(A) \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle^{-\langle Ax, x \rangle},$$

where $A > 0$ and $x \in H, \|x\| = 1$.

Definition 1. For positive invertible operators A, B and $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$ we define the relative entropic normalized determinant $D_x(A|B)$ by

$$D_x(A|B) := \exp \langle S(A|B)x, x \rangle = \exp \left\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} x, x \right\rangle,$$

where the relative operator entropy $S(A|B)$, is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad S(A|B) := A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We observe that for $A > 0$,

$$D_x(A|1_H) = \exp \langle S(A|1_H)x, x \rangle = \exp(-\langle A \ln Ax, x \rangle) = \eta_x(A),$$

where $\eta_x(\cdot)$ is the normalized entropic determinant and for $B > 0$,

$$D_x(1_H|B) := \exp \langle S(1_H|B)x, x \rangle = \exp \langle \ln Bx, x \rangle = \Delta_x(B),$$

where $\Delta_x(\cdot)$ is the normalized determinant.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show, among others, that, if $A, B, C > 0, x \in H, \|x\| = 1$ and $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then

$$D_x(A|B + C + A) \leq D_x(A|B + A) D_x(A|C + A).$$

Some examples for normalized determinant are also provided.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Further on, in order to simplify notations, instead of $k1_H$ with k a real number, we write k .

The following representation result holds:

Lemma 1. For all $U, V \geq 0$ and $a > 0$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a \\ &= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} Q(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\lambda, a, U, V) := (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\lambda, a, U, V) &:= (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[V (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} UV + U (V + a + \lambda)^{-1} VU \right] \\ &\quad \times (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Observe that for $t > 0$, $t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{u+t}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(2.2) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

If we use the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \ln T = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

for all operators $T > 0$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T+\lambda-\lambda-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty [(\lambda+1)^{-1} - (\lambda+T)^{-1}] d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\ln T = \int_0^\infty [(\lambda+1)^{-1} - (\lambda+T)^{-1}] d\lambda.$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \ln(U+a) + \ln(V+a) - \ln(U+V+a) - \ln a = \int_0^\infty K_\lambda d\lambda,$$

where

$$K_\lambda := (U+V+a+\lambda)^{-1} + (a+\lambda)^{-1} - (U+a+\lambda)^{-1} - (V+a+\lambda)^{-1}.$$

To simplify calculations, consider $\delta := a + \lambda$ and set

$$L_\delta := (U+V+\delta)^{-1} + \delta^{-1} - (U+\delta)^{-1} - (V+\delta)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides by $U + V + \delta$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\delta &:= (U + V + \delta) L_\delta (U + V + \delta) \\
&= (U + V + \delta) + \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 \\
&\quad - (U + V + \delta) (U + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\
&\quad - (U + V + \delta) (V + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\
&= (U + V + \delta) + \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 \\
&\quad - (U + V + \delta) - V (U + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\
&\quad - U (V + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) - (U + V + \delta) \\
&= \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - V \\
&\quad - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - U - (U + V + \delta) \\
&= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + \delta U + VU + V^2 + \delta V + \delta U + \delta V + \delta^2) \\
&\quad - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - 2V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - 2U - \delta \\
&= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + VU + V^2) + 2V + 2U + \delta \\
&\quad - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - 2U - 2V - \delta \\
&= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + VU + V^2) - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U \\
&= \delta^{-1} \left[U^2 + UV + VU + V^2 - \delta V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - \delta U (V + \delta)^{-1} U \right] \\
&= \delta^{-1} \left[U^2 + UV + VU + V^2 - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V - U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} U \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&V^2 - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V \\
&= V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} U + 1) V - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V \\
&= V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} U + 1 - 1) V \\
&= \delta^{-1} V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} UV = V (U + \delta)^{-1} UV
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&U^2 - U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} U \\
&= U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} V + 1) U - U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} U \\
&= U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} V + 1 - 1) U \\
&= \delta^{-1} U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} VU = U (V + \delta)^{-1} VU.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$W_\delta = \delta^{-1} \left[UV + VU + V (U + \delta)^{-1} UV + U (V + \delta)^{-1} VU \right],$$

which gives that

$$L_\delta := (U + V + \delta)^{-1} W_\delta (U + V + \delta)^{-1}.$$

We obtain then the following representation

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad K_\lambda &= (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad + (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left[V (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} UV + U (V + a + \lambda)^{-1} VU \right] (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&= (a + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) + (a + \lambda)^{-1} P(\lambda, a, U, V)
\end{aligned}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

By utilizing (2.4) and (2.5) we derive the representation (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *For all $U, V \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &\ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \\
&= \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} Q(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\lambda, U, V) := (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\lambda, U, V) &:= (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left[V (U + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} UV + U (V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} VU \right] \\
&\quad \times (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following operator inequalities

Theorem 1. *For all $U, V > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad &\int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda \\
&\leq \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(\lambda, a, U, V) = (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad &\int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda \\
&\leq \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(\lambda, U, V) = (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $U, V \geq 0$. Observe that for $a, \lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (U + a + \lambda)^{-1}U &= (U + a + \lambda)^{-1}(U + a + \lambda - a - \lambda) \\ &= 1 - (a + \lambda)(U + a + \lambda)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$0 \leq (U + a + \lambda)^{-1}U \leq 1.$$

If we multiply this inequality both sides by V , then we get

$$0 \leq V(U + a + \lambda)^{-1}UV \leq V^2.$$

Similarly,

$$0 \leq U(V + a + \lambda)^{-1}VU \leq U^2.$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq V(U + a + \lambda)^{-1}UV + U(V + a + \lambda)^{-1}VU \leq U^2 + V^2$$

and by multiplying both sides by $(U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$ we deduce

$$0 \leq Q(\lambda, a, U, V) \leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(U^2 + V^2)(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

Now, if to this inequality we add $S(\lambda, a, U, V)$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad S(\lambda, a, U, V) &\leq Q(\lambda, a, U, V) + S(\lambda, a, U, V) \\ &\leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(U^2 + V^2)(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad + (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(UV + VU)(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(U + V)^2(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= R(\lambda, a, U, V) \end{aligned}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

If we multiply (2.9) by $(1 + \lambda)^{-1} > 0$, integrate over λ on $[0, \infty)$ and use representation (2.1) we derive (2.7). \square

Corollary 2. *Let $U, V > 0$ and $a > 0$.*

(i) *If $UV + VU \geq 0$ for the positive number ω , then*

$$(2.10) \quad \ln(U + V + a) + \ln a \leq \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a).$$

In particular,

$$(2.11) \quad \ln(U + V + 1) \leq \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1).$$

(ii) *If $U + V \leq \Omega$, with Ω a positive constant, then*

$$(2.12) \quad \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a \leq \frac{\Omega^2}{a}(U + V + a)^{-1}.$$

In particular,

$$(2.13) \quad \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \leq \Omega^2(U + V + 1)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (i) If $UV + VU \geq 0$, then by multiplying both sides by $(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$ we get

$$0 \leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.7) we get (2.10).

(ii) If $U + V \leq \Omega$, then

$$(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \leq \Omega^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad &\int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &\leq \Omega^2 \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{\Omega^2}{a} \int_0^\infty (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take the derivative over t in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-1} &= \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{t-1}{\lambda+t} \right)' d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \frac{\lambda+1}{(\lambda+t)^2} d\lambda = \int_0^\infty (\lambda+t)^{-2} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\int_0^\infty (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda = (U + V + a)^{-1}$$

and by (2.14) and (2.7) we obtain (2.12). \square

We have the following representation result:

Theorem 2. For all $A, B, C > 0$ we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} (2.15) \quad &S(A|B + A) + S(A|C + A) - S(A|B + C + A) \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \Phi(\lambda, A, B, C) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \Psi(\lambda, A, B, C) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\lambda, A, B, C) &:= A(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1} (BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B) \\ &\quad \times (B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1} A \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(\lambda, A, B, C) \\
& := A(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1} \\
& \quad \times \left[C(B + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}BA^{-1}C + B(C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}CA^{-1}B \right] \\
& \quad \times (B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A
\end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Consider $U = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ and $V = A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \\
& = \ln\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + 1\right) + \ln\left(A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + 1\right) \\
& \quad - \ln\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + 1\right) \\
& = \ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + A)A^{-1/2}\right) + \ln\left(A^{-1/2}(C + A)A^{-1/2}\right) \\
& \quad - \ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + C + A)A^{-1/2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(\lambda, U, V) \\
& = \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + 1 + \lambda\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \times \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\right) \\
& \quad \times \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + 1 + \lambda\right)^{-1} \\
& = A^{1/2}(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\left(BA^{-1}CA^{-1/2} + CA^{-1}B\right)A^{-1/2} \\
& \quad \times A^{1/2}(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2} \\
& = A^{1/2}(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}\left(BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B\right) \\
& \quad \times (B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q(\lambda, U, V) \\
& = A^{1/2}(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left[A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{1/2}(B + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{1/2}(C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right] \\
& \quad \times A^{1/2}(B + C + (1 + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A^{1/2} (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \\
&\times A^{-1/2} \left[C (B + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} B A^{-1} C + B (C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} C A^{-1} B \right] A^{-1/2} \\
&\times A^{1/2} (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \\
&= A^{1/2} (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} \\
&\times \left[C (B + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} B A^{-1} C + B (C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} C A^{-1} B \right] \\
&\times (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we use the identity (2.6) multiplied both sides by $A^{1/2} > 0$, we obtain the desired representation (2.15). \square

Corollary 3. *Assume that $A, B, C > 0$.*

(i) *If $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then*

$$(2.16) \quad S(A|B + C + A) \leq S(A|B + A) + S(A|C + A).$$

(ii) *If $B + C \leq \Theta A$, with Θ a positive constant, then*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} S(A|B + A) + S(A|C + A) - S(A|B + C + A) \\ \leq \Theta^2 A^{1/2} (B + C + A)^{-1} A^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. *For all $A, B, C > 0$ we have the representation*

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{D_x(A|B + A) D_x(A|C + A)}{D_x(A|B + C + A)} \\ &= \exp \left(\int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \langle \Phi(\lambda, A, B, C) x, x \rangle d\lambda \right) \\ &\times \exp \left(\int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \langle \Psi(\lambda, A, B, C) x, x \rangle d\lambda \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the inner product over $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$ in the identity (2.15) then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle S(A|B + A) x, x \rangle + \langle S(A|C + A) x, x \rangle - \langle S(A|B + C + A) x, x \rangle \\
&= \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \langle \Phi(\lambda, A, B, C) x, x \rangle d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} \langle \Psi(\lambda, A, B, C) x, x \rangle d\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

and by taking the exponential we derive the desired result (2.18). \square

Corollary 5. *Assume that $A, B, C > 0$ and $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.*

(i) *If $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then*

$$(2.19) \quad D_x(A|B + C + A) \leq D_x(A|B + A) D_x(A|C + A).$$

(ii) *If $B + C \leq \Theta A$, with Θ a positive constant, then*

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{D_x(A|B + A) D_x(A|C + A)}{D_x(A|B + C + A)} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\Theta^2 \left\langle A^{1/2} (B + C + A)^{-1} A^{1/2} x, x \right\rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. SOME RELATED RESULTS

In the case of $A = 1$ we derive by (2.15) the representation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\Delta_x(B+1)\Delta_x(C+1)}{\Delta_x(B+C+1)} \\ &= \exp\left(\int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1}\Phi(\lambda, B, C)d\lambda\right) \\ & \times \exp\left(\int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda, B, C)d\lambda\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $B, C > 0$ and $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\lambda, B, C) &:= (B+C+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1}(BC+CB) \\ & \times (B+C+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\lambda, B, C) &:= (B+C+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1} \\ & \times \left[C(B+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1}BC + B(C+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1}CB \right] \\ & \times (B+C+(1+\lambda)1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

If $B, C > 0$ with $BC + CB \geq 0$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_x(B+C+1) \leq \Delta_x(B+1)\Delta_x(C+1),$$

for all $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

Also, if $B, C > 0$ with $B+C \leq \Theta$, where Θ is a positive constant, then

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\Delta_x(B+1)\Delta_x(C+1)}{\Delta_x(B+C+1)} \leq \exp\left[\Theta^2 \left\langle (B+C+1)^{-1}x, x \right\rangle\right],$$

for all $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

The symmetrized product of two operators $C, B \in B(H)$ is defined by $S(C, B) = CB + BC$. In general, the symmetrized product of two operators C, B is not positive. Also Gustafson [12] showed that if $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then we have the lower bound

$$(3.4) \quad S(A, B) \geq 2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(N-n),$$

which can take positive or negative values depending on the parameters m, M, n, N .

So, if $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$ with

$$8mn \geq (M-m)(N-n),$$

then by (3.2) we get that

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_x(B+C+1) \leq \Delta_x(B+1)\Delta_x(C+1),$$

for all $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

If $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then $C + B \leq (M + N)1$ and $(B + C + 1)^{-1} \leq (m + n + 1)^{-1}1$ and by (3.3) we also obtain that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\Delta_x(B+1)\Delta_x(C+1)}{\Delta_x(B+C+1)} \leq \exp\left(\frac{(M+N)^2}{(m+n+1)}\right),$$

for all $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for relative operator entropy, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 145. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a145.pdf>].
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Reverses and refinements of several inequalities for relative operator entropy, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **19** (2015), Art. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v19/>].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Some basic results for the normalized entropic determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 35, 14 pp. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a36.pdf>].
- [4] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi, K. Kuriyama, Fundamental properties for Tsallis relative entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 4868–4877.
- [5] S. Furuichi, Precise estimates of bounds on relative operator entropies, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **18** (2015), 869–877.
- [6] S. Furuichi and N. Minulete, Alternative reverse inequalities for Young’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.* **5** (2011), Number 4, 595–600.
- [7] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann’s interpolational method for operator means. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 4, 541–547.
- [8] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 3, 341–348.
- [9] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [10] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht’s Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [11] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space.* Monographs in Inequalities, 1. Element, Zagreb, 2005. xiv+262 pp.+loose errata. ISBN: 953-197-572-8.
- [12] K. Gustafson, Interaction antieigenvalues. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **299** (1) (2004), 174–185.
- [13] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.
- [14] I. H. Kim, Operator extension of strong subadditivity of entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**(2012), 122204
- [15] P. Kluzza and M. Niezgoda, Inequalities for relative operator entropies, *Elec. J. Lin. Alg.* **27** (2014), Art. 1066.
- [16] M. S. Moslehian, F. Mirzapour, and A. Morassaei, Operator entropy inequalities. *Colloq. Math.*, **130** (2013), 159–168.
- [17] M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961) 149–154.
- [18] I. Nikoufar, On operator inequalities of some relative operator entropies, *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 376–383.
- [19] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.* **74** (1960), pp. 91–98.
- [20] A. Uhlmann, Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume **54**, Number 1 (1977), 21–32.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA