

**SOME BOUNDS FOR RELATIVE ENTROPIC NORMALIZED
P-DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT
SPACES VIA OSTROWSKI'S INEQUALITY**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the relative entropic normalized P -determinant by

$$D_P(A|B) = \exp \left\{ \text{tr} \left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}.$$

In this paper we show, among others, that, if A satisfies the condition $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$, where m, M are positive numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\text{tr}(PA)} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} | A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H)} \\ &\leq \frac{D_P(A|B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\text{tr}(PA)}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} | A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\text{tr}(PA)}. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [16], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and 1_H stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [23], [24], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp(\ln \langle Ax, x \rangle)$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [26].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;
 (ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *trace class* if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and*

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\operatorname{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,*

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{tr}(AT) = \operatorname{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\operatorname{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) *$\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\operatorname{tr}\| = 1$;*

(iv) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$.*

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP) = \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\operatorname{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}(PT_n) = \operatorname{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For recent results on trace inequalities see [4]-[11] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \operatorname{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties [12]:

- (i) *continuity:* the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality:* $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity:* $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(t1_H) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity:* $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In [12], we presented some fundamental properties of this determinant. Among others we showed that

$$1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1],$$

for $A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *entropic P -determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by [13]

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp [-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)] = \exp \{\operatorname{tr}[P\eta(A)]\} = \exp \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left[P^{1/2} \eta(A) P^{1/2} \right] \right\}.$$

Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_P(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA \ln(tA)]\}) \\ &= \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA(\ln t + \ln A)]\}) = \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P(tA \ln t + tA \ln A)\}) \\ &= \exp(-t \ln t \operatorname{tr}(PA)) \exp(-t \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)t} \right) [\exp(-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.13) \quad \eta_P(tA) = t^{-t \operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\eta_P(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.14) \quad \eta_P(1_H) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_P(t1_H) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. If $A, B > 0$, then we have the Ky Fan type inequality [13]

$$(1.15) \quad \eta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\eta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\eta_P(B)]^t$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also we have the inequalities [13]:

$$\left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1$$

and if there exists the constants $0 < m < M$ such that $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$, then [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2M} &\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2 \operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Kamei and Fujii [21], [22] defined the *relative operator entropy* $S(A|B)$, for positive invertible operators A and B , by

$$(1.16) \quad S(A|B) := A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is a relative version of the operator entropy considered by Nakamura-Umegaki [30]. For various results on relative operator entropy see [18]-[31] and the references therein.

Definition 1. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the *relative entropic normalized P -determinant* by

$$\begin{aligned} D_P(A|B) &:= \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|B)]\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that for $A > 0$,

$$D_P(A|1_H) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|1_H)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(-PA \ln A)\} = \eta_P(A),$$

where $\eta_P(\cdot)$ is the *entropic P -determinant* and for $B > 0$,

$$D_P(1_H|B) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(1_H|B)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln B)\} = \Delta_P(B),$$

where $\Delta_P(\cdot)$ is the *P -determinant*.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if A satisfies the condition $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$, where m, M are positive numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}|A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H|)} \\ &\leq \frac{D_P(A|B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}|A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H|)} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Recall the *identric mean*

$$I(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}} & \text{if } b \neq a \\ a & \text{if } b = a \end{cases}; \quad a, b > 0.$$

It is easy to observe the connection between the integral mean of the logarithmic function and the logarithm of the identric mean,

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln t dt = \ln I(a, b)$$

for $a \neq b$ positive numbers.

Theorem 4. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$, where m, M are positive numbers,*

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad & \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{D_P(A|B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Ostrowski's inequality [32]:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) such that $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a, b) , i.e., $\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in (a, b)} |f'(s)| < \infty$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_\infty (b-a),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$ and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible.

If we take $f(t) = \ln t$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ in (2.2) and observe that

$$\|f'\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} t^{-1} = \frac{1}{a},$$

then we get

$$|\ln t - \ln I(a, b)| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

This inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad & - \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right) \\
& \leq \ln t - \ln I(a, b) \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t - \frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{b}{a} - 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

By utilizing the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we get from (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} 1_H + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(T - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \ln T - \ln I(m, M) 1_H \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} 1_H + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(T - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$, then by multiplying both sides by $A^{-1/2}$ we get $0 < m1_H \leq A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \leq M1_H$ and by taking $T = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ in (2.4), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} 1_H + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) - \ln I(m, M) 1_H \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} 1_H + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $A^{1/2}$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{4} A + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 A^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) - \ln I(m, M) A \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{4} A + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 A^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides with $P^{1/2}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} P^{1/2} A P^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 A^{1/2} P^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2} P^{1/2} - \ln I(m, M) P^{1/2} A P^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} P^{1/2} A P^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 A^{1/2} P^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take the trace and use its properties, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \\
& \leq \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) \right] - \ln I(m, M) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential in (2.5) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{[I(m, M)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \left. \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{1}{4} (M-m)^2 \operatorname{tr}(PA),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \\
& - \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

These prove the desired result (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator B satisfies the condition $0 < m 1_H \leq B \leq M 1_H$, where m, M are positive numbers,*

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right] \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(BA - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{(M-m)^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[P \left(BA - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by (2.1) for $A = 1_H$.

Corollary 2. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < n1_H \leq A \leq N1_H$, where n, N are positive numbers, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N}{n} - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right] \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{N}{n} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{(n^{-1} - N^{-1})^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1} - \frac{n^{-1} + N^{-1}}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[I(n^{-1}, N^{-1})]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{N}{n} - 1 \right) \left[\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{(n^{-1} - N^{-1})^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1} - \frac{n^{-1} + N^{-1}}{2} 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right] \right\}. \\
& \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N}{n} - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < n1_H \leq A \leq N1_H$, hence $\frac{1}{N}A \leq 1_H \leq \frac{1}{n}A$ and by taking $B = 1_H$ in (2.1) we derive (2.7).

Theorem 5. *With the assumptions of Theorem 4, we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\text{tr}(PA)} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}|A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H|)} \\
 &\leq \frac{D_P(A|B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\text{tr}(PA)}} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \text{tr}(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}|A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2}1_H|)} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\text{tr}(PA)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In 1997, Dragomir and Wang proved the following Ostrowski type inequality [14]:

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$, then

$$(2.9) \quad \left| f(t) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|t - \frac{a+b}{2}|}{b-a} \right] \|f'\|_{[a,b],1},$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the Lebesgue norm on $L_1[a, b]$, i.e., we recall it

$$\|g\|_{[a,b],1} := \int_a^b |g(t)| dt.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible.

If we take $f(t) = \ln t$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ in (2.9) and observe that

$$\|f'\|_{[a,b],1} = \ln b - \ln a,$$

then by (2.9) we get

$$|\ln t - \ln I(a, b)| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a),$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

This inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & - \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a) \\
 & \leq \ln t - \ln I(a, b) \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] (\ln b - \ln a),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$.

By utilizing the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we get from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & - (\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} 1_H + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| T - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right] \\
 & \leq \ln T - \ln I(m, M) 1_H \\
 & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} 1_H + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| T - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking $T = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ in (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} 1_H + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right] \\ & \leq \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) - \ln I(m, M) 1_H \\ & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \left[\frac{1}{2} 1_H + \frac{1}{M-m} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we multiply both sides by $A^{1/2}$ and then by $P^{1/2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\ln M - \ln m) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} P^{1/2}AP^{1/2} + \frac{1}{M-m} P^{1/2}A^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| A^{1/2}P^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq P^{1/2}A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2}P^{1/2} - \ln I(m, M) P^{1/2}AP^{1/2} \\ & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} AP^{1/2}AP^{1/2} + \frac{1}{M-m} P^{1/2}A^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| A^{1/2}P^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the trace inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\ln M - \ln m) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \right] \\ & \leq \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) \right] - \ln I(m, M) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \\ & \leq (\ln M - \ln m) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{m}{M} \right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \right]} \\ & \leq \frac{\exp \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{\left[I(m, M) \right]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we notice that

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2}PA^{1/2} \left| A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (M-m) \operatorname{tr}(PA)$$

for $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. These prove the desired result (2.8). \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Corollary 1, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{m}{M} &\leq \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(P|B - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H|)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{I(m, M)} \leq \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{M-m} \operatorname{tr}(P|B - \frac{m+M}{2} 1_H|)} \leq \frac{M}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have:

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Corollary 2, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{(n-1-N-1)^2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left|A^{-1} - \frac{n-1+N-1}{2} 1_H\right|\right)\right]} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[I(n-1, N-1)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(PA) + \frac{1}{(n-1-N-1)^2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left|A^{-1} - \frac{n-1+N-1}{2} 1_H\right|\right)\right]} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}. \end{aligned}$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

The following results of Ostrowski type holds, see [1]:

Lemma 1. *Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$. Then for any $t \in [a, b]$ one has the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \left[(b-t)^2 f'_+(t) - (t-a)^2 f'_-(t) \right] \\ &\leq \int_a^b f(s) ds - (b-a) f(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[(b-t)^2 f'_-(b) - (t-a)^2 f'_+(a) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in both inequalities. The second inequality also holds for $t = a$ or $t = b$.

If the function is differentiable in $t \in (a, b)$ then the first inequality in (3.1) becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\right) f'(t) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s) ds - f(t).$$

We also have:

Theorem 6. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$, where m, M are positive numbers,*

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & \exp \left[\operatorname{tr}(PA) - \frac{m+M}{2} \operatorname{tr}(APAB^{-1}) \right] \\
& \leq \frac{D_P(A|B)}{[I(m, M)]^{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - m 1_H \right)^2 \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{M} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(M 1_H - A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^2 \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Writing (3.1) and (3.2) for the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, then we get

$$1 - \frac{a+b}{2} t^{-1} \leq \ln t - \ln I(a, b) \leq \frac{(t-a)^2}{a} - \frac{(b-t)^2}{b},$$

for all $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$.

If we use the functional calculus, we derive the operator inequality

$$1 - \frac{m+M}{2} T^{-1} \leq \ln T - \ln I(m, M) \leq \frac{(T - m 1_H)^2}{m} - \frac{(M 1_H - T)^2}{M},$$

provided that $0 < m 1_H \leq T \leq M 1_H$.

This gives for $T = A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1 - \frac{m+M}{2} A^{1/2} B^{-1} A^{1/2} \\
& \leq \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) - \ln I(m, M) \\
& \leq \frac{\left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - m 1_H \right)^2}{m} - \frac{\left(M 1_H - A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^2}{M}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $A^{1/2}$ and then by $P^{1/2}$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& P^{1/2} A P^{1/2} - \frac{m+M}{2} P^{1/2} A B^{-1} A P^{1/2} \\
& \leq P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2} P^{1/2} - \ln I(m, M) P^{1/2} A P^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - m 1_H \right)^2 A^{1/2} P^{1/2} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{M} P^{1/2} A^{1/2} \left(M 1_H - A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^2 A^{1/2} P^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the trace, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}(PA) - \frac{m+M}{2} \operatorname{tr}(APAB^{-1}) \\
& \leq \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \ln \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) \right] - \ln I(m, M) \operatorname{tr}(PA) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} - m 1_H \right)^2 \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{M} \operatorname{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} \left(M 1_H - A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the exponential, we then get the desired result (3.3). \square

Corollary 5. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator B satisfies the condition $0 < m1_H \leq B \leq M1_H$, where m, M are positive numbers, then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left[1 - \frac{m+M}{2} \text{tr}(PB^{-1}) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\Delta_P(B)}{I(m, M)} \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \text{tr} \left[P(B - m1_H)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{M} \text{tr} \left[P(M1_H - B)^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can also state

Corollary 6. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. Assume that the operator A satisfies the condition $0 < n1_H \leq A \leq N1_H$, where n, N are positive numbers, then

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left[\text{tr}(PA) - \frac{n^{-1} + N^{-1}}{2} \text{tr}(PA^2) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[I(n^{-1}, N^{-1})]^{\text{tr}(PA)}} \leq \frac{\exp \left(N \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} (A^{-1} - N^{-1}1_H)^2 \right] \right)}{\exp \left(n \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} (n^{-1}1_H - A^{-1})^2 \right] \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, An inequality improving the first Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex functions defined on linear spaces and applications for semi-inner products. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2002), no. 2, Article 31, 8 pp. [Online <http://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article183.html?sid=183>].
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the deviation of a function from the chord generated by its extremities. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), no. 2, 225–248.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite–Hadamard’s type inequalities for operator convex functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **218** (2011), Issue 3, pp. 766–772.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for relative operator entropy, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 145. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a145.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 923–943. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Some trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, **24** (2016), no. 2, 273–296. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 115. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a115.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31** (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Some Slater’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Toyama Math. J.*, **38** (2016), 75–99. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 117. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a117.pdf>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **9** (2017), no. 1, 74–93. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 121. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a121.pdf>].
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].

- [12] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 15, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a16.pdf>].
- [13] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class entropic P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert Spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 49, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a49.pdf>].
- [14] S. S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_1 norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **28** (1997), 239-244.
- [15] S. S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_p norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules, *Indian J. of Math.*, **40** (1998), No. 3, 299-304.
- [16] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520-530.
- [17] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153-156.
- [18] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi, K. Kuriyama, Fundamental properties for Tsallis relative entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 4868-4877.
- [19] S. Furuichi, Precise estimates of bounds on relative operator entropies, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **18** (2015), 869-877.
- [20] S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, Alternative reverse inequalities for Young's inequality, *J. Math Inequal.* **5** (2011), Number 4, 595-600.
- [21] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann's interpolational method for operator means. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 4, 541-547.
- [22] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 3, 341-348.
- [23] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153-156.
- [24] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307-310.
- [25] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space.* Monographs in Inequalities, 1. Element, Zagreb, 2005. xiv+262 pp.+loose errata. ISBN: 953-197-572-8.
- [26] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim's inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637-1645.
- [27] I. H. Kim, Operator extension of strong subadditivity of entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**(2012), 122204
- [28] P. Kluza and M. Niezgoda, Inequalities for relative operator entropies, *Elec. J. Lin. Alg.* **27** (2014), Art. 1066.
- [29] M. S. Moslehian, F. Mirzapour, and A. Morassaei, Operator entropy inequalities. *Colloq. Math.*, **130** (2013), 159-168.
- [30] M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961) 149-154.
- [31] I. Nikoufar, On operator inequalities of some relative operator entropies, *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 376-383.
- [32] A. Ostrowski, Über die Absolutabweichung einer differentiiierbaren Funktion von ihrem Integralmittelwert, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **10** (1938), 226-227.
- [33] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.* **74** (1960), pp. 91-98.
- [34] A. Uhlmann, Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume **54**, Number 1 (1977), 21-32.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA