

**REVERSE INEQUALITIES FOR RELATIVE ENTROPIC
NORMALIZED P -DETERMINANT OF POSITIVE OPERATORS
IN HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the relative entropic normalized P -determinant by

$$D_P(A|B) = \exp \left\{ \text{tr} \left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}.$$

In this paper we show, among others that, if $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq MA_j$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(P_i A_i)}} \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i}(A_i|B_i) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [13], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Trace class operators, Determinants, Inequalities.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and 1_H stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [19], [20], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [22].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^*f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \||A|\|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \text{ and } \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and*

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\operatorname{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) *If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,*

$$(1.11) \quad \operatorname{tr}(AT) = \operatorname{tr}(TA) \text{ and } |\operatorname{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) *$\operatorname{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\operatorname{tr}\| = 1$;*

(iv) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BA)$.*

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $PT, TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \operatorname{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\operatorname{tr}(PT) = \operatorname{tr}(TP) = \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\operatorname{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}(PT_n) = \operatorname{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For recent results on trace inequalities see [3]-[10] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the P -determinant of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \operatorname{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \operatorname{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \operatorname{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties [11]:

- (i) *continuity:* the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality:* $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity:* $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(t1_H) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity:* $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In [11], we presented some fundamental properties of this determinant. Among others we showed that

$$1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA) \operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp [\operatorname{tr}(PA^{-1}) \operatorname{tr}(PA) - 1],$$

for $A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *entropic P -determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by [12]

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp [-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)] = \exp \{\operatorname{tr}[P\eta(A)]\} = \exp \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left[P^{1/2} \eta(A) P^{1/2} \right] \right\}.$$

Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_P(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA \ln(tA)]\}) \\ &= \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P[tA(\ln t + \ln A)]\}) = \exp(-\operatorname{tr}\{P(tA \ln t + tA \ln A)\}) \\ &= \exp(-t \ln t \operatorname{tr}(PA)) \exp(-t \operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A)) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)t} \right) [\exp(-\operatorname{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.13) \quad \eta_P(tA) = t^{-t \operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\eta_P(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.14) \quad \eta_P(1_H) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_P(t1_H) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. If $A, B > 0$, then we have the Ky Fan type inequality [12]

$$(1.15) \quad \eta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\eta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\eta_P(B)]^t$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also we have the inequalities [12]:

$$\left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1$$

and if there exists the constants $0 < m < M$ such that $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$, then [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2M} &\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2 \operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Kamei and Fujii [17], [18] defined the *relative operator entropy* $S(A|B)$, for positive invertible operators A and B , by

$$(1.16) \quad S(A|B) := A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is a relative version of the operator entropy considered by Nakamura-Umegaki [26]. For various results on relative operator entropy see [14]-[27] and the references therein.

Definition 1. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the *relative entropic normalized P -determinant* by

$$\begin{aligned} D_P(A|B) &:= \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|B)]\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that for $A > 0$,

$$D_P(A|1_H) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|1_H)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(-PA \ln A)\} = \eta_P(A),$$

where $\eta_P(\cdot)$ is the *entropic P -determinant* and for $B > 0$,

$$D_P(1_H|B) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(1_H|B)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln B)\} = \Delta_P(B),$$

where $\Delta_P(\cdot)$ is the *P -determinant*.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show, among others that, if $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq MA_j$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}} \\ &\quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i}(A_i|B_i) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. SOME TRACE INEQUALITIES

We use the following result that was obtained in [2]:

Lemma 1. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on $[a, b]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{(b-t)f(a) + (t-a)f(b)}{b-a} - f(t) \\ &\leq (b-t)(t-a) \frac{f'_-(b) - f'_+(a)}{b-a} \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) [f'_-(b) - f'_+(a)] \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [a, b]$.

If the lateral derivatives $f'_-(b)$ and $f'_+(a)$ are finite, then the second inequality and the constant $1/4$ are sharp.

We have the following reverse for the Jensen's trace inequality:

Theorem 4. *Assume that f is differentiable convex on the interior \hat{I} of an interval. Let $C_j, Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in B_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) > 0$, then for all V_j with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(V_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \hat{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} [C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)}{M - m} \\ &\quad \times \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - m \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M - m) [f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Utilizing the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator T with $0 \leq T \leq 1_H$ and the convexity of f on $[m, M]$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad f(m(1_H - T) + MT) \leq f(m)(1_H - T) + f(M)T$$

in the operator order.

If we take in (2.3)

$$0 \leq T = \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m} \leq 1_H, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

then we get

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & f\left(m\left(1_H - \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}\right) + M\frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}\right) \\ & \leq f(m)\left(1_H - \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}\right) + f(M)\frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left(1_H - \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}\right) + M\frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m} \\ & = \frac{m(M1_H - V_j) + M(V_j - m1_H)}{M - m} = V_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(m)\left(1_H - \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m}\right) + f(M)\frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m} \\ & = \frac{f(m)(M1_H - V_j) + f(M)(V_j - m1_H)}{M - m} \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.4) we get the following inequality of interest

$$(2.5) \quad f(V_j) \leq \frac{f(m)(M1_H - V_j) + f(M)(V_j - m1_H)}{M - m}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (2.5) both sides with $C_j^{1/2}$ and then with $Q_j^{1/2}$ we get by summing that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} \left[\frac{f(m)(M1_H - V_j) + f(M)(V_j - m1_H)}{M - m} \right] C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \\
& = \frac{f(m) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} (M1_H - V_j) C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2}}{M - m} \\
& \quad + \frac{f(M) \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} (V_j - m1_H) C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2}}{M - m} \\
& = \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} - \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} V_j C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} V_j C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} - m \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies, by taking the trace and using its properties, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{M - m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right) - m \sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} \right) \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \\
& \leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) + f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M - m},
\end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right)}{M - m} \\
 &\quad + \frac{f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
 &\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first inequality is Jensen's inequality.

Using the inequality (2.1) for

$$t = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \in [m, M],$$

$a = m$ and $b = M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad &\frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right)}{M - m} \\
 &\quad + \frac{f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
 &\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)}{M - m} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M - m) [f'_-(M) - f'_+(m)].
 \end{aligned}$$

By making use of (2.6) and (2.7) we derive (2.2). \square

We also have [2]:

Lemma 2. Assume that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. If f' is K -Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}K(b-t)(t-a) \leq \frac{1}{8}K(b-a)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The constants $1/2$ and $1/8$ are the best possible in (2.8).

Remark 1. If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable and $f'' \in L_\infty[a, b]$, then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty}(b-t)(t-a) \leq \frac{1}{8}\|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty}(b-a)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|f''\|_{[a,b],\infty} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [a,b]} |f''(t)| < \infty$. The constants $1/2$ and $1/8$ are the best possible in (2.9).

Theorem 5. Assume that f is twice differentiable convex on the interior \dot{I} of the interval I and the derivative f'' is bounded on \dot{I} . Let $Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in B_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2}Q_jC_j^{1/2}) > 0$, then for all V_j with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(V_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \dot{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}[C_j^{1/2}Q_jC_j^{1/2}f(V_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_jC_j)} - f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2}Q_jC_j^{1/2}V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_jC_j)}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2}Q_jC_j^{1/2}V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_jC_j)}\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2}Q_jC_j^{1/2}V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_jC_j)} - m\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8}\|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M-m)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.9) and the continuous functional calculus, we get

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{f(m)(M1_H - V_j) + f(M)(V_j - m1_H)}{M-m} - f(V_j) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M1_H - V_j)(V_j - m1_H) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8}\|f''\|_{[m,M],\infty} (M-m)^2 1_H, \end{aligned}$$

where V_j are selfadjoint operators with the spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(V_j) \subset [m, M]$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now, by employing a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 4 we derive the desired result (2.10). \square

We also have the following scalar inequality of interest:

Lemma 3. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \min \{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \leq (1-t)f(a) + tf(b) - f((1-t)a + tb) \\ & \leq 2 \max \{t, 1-t\} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows, for instance, by Corollary 1 from [1] for $n = 2$, $p_1 = 1 - t$, $p_2 = t$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that f is convex on the interior \dot{I} of an interval I . Let $Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in B_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2}) > 0$, then for all V_j with the spectra $\text{Sp}(V_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset \dot{I}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} |V_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) 1_H|)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right)}{M-m} + \frac{f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M-m} \\ & \quad - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j))}{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} |V_j - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) 1_H|)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \\ & \leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have from (2.12) that

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \leq (1-t)f(m) + tf(M) - f((1-t)m + tM) \\ & \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Utilizing the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator T with $0 \leq T \leq 1_H$ we get from (2.14) that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} 1_H - \left| T - \frac{1}{2} 1_H \right| \right) \\ &\leq (1-T)f(m) + Tf(M) - f((1-T)m + TM) \\ &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} 1_H + \left| T - \frac{1}{2} 1_H \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

in the operator order.

If we take in (2.15)

$$0 \leq T = \frac{V_j - m1_H}{M - m} \leq 1_H, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then we get

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) 1_H - \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{f(m)(M1_H - V_j) + f(M)(V_j - m1_H)}{M-m} - f(V_j) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) 1_H + \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by $C_j^{1/2}$ and then by $Q_j^{1/2}$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} - Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{f(m) \left(M Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} - Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} V_j C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \right)}{M-m} \\ &\quad + \frac{f(M) \left(Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} V_j C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} - m Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} \right)}{M-m} \\ &\quad - Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) Q_j^{1/2} C_j Q_j^{1/2} + Q_j^{1/2} C_j^{1/2} \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| C_j^{1/2} Q_j^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking the trace and summing over j from 1 to n , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{M-m} \left[f(m) \left(M \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + f(M) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right) - m \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) \right) \right] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} \left| V_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.13). \square

We also have:

Proposition 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 6 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{M-m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M-m) + \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) \right| \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} f(V_j) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right)}{M - m} \\
&\quad + \frac{f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
&\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From the second part of the scalar version of (2.16) we also have the scalar inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad &\frac{f(m) \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right)}{M - m} \\
&\quad + \frac{f(M) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right)}{M - m} \\
&\quad - f \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{M - m} \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m + M}{2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} (M - m) 1_H + \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - \frac{1}{2} (m + M) \right| \right) \\
&\leq 2 \left[\frac{f(m) + f(M)}{2} - f \left(\frac{m + M}{2} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (2.18) and (2.19) we obtain the desired result (2.17). \square

3. DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES

We can provide now several inequalities for the relative entropic normalized P -determinants.

Theorem 7. *Assume that $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq MA_j$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j) > 0$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i}(A_i|B_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, $t > 0$ in (2.2) then we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad 0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} \ln V_j \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (Q_j C_j)} - m \right) \leq \frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_j, Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in B_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) > 0$ while $\operatorname{Sp}(V_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Now if $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq M_j A_j$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $0 < m1_H \leq A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \leq M_j 1_H$ and by taking $V_j = A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2}$, $C_j = A_j$ and $Q_j = P_j$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in (3.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j)} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \ln \left(A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} (P_j A_j)} - m \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \ln \left(A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take the exponential, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\exp \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left[A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \ln \left(A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right)} \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_i^{1/2} P_i A_i^{1/2} \ln \left(A_i^{-1/2} B_i A_i^{-1/2} \right) \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)} \right) \\
&= \left(\exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_i^{1/2} P_i A_i^{1/2} \ln \left(A_i^{-1/2} B_i A_i^{-1/2} \right) \right) \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(A_i^{1/2} P_i A_i^{1/2} \ln \left(A_i^{-1/2} B_i A_i^{-1/2} \right) \right) \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i} (A_i | B_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence by (3.3) we derive (3.1). \square

Corollary 1. Assume that $0 < m1_H \leq B_j \leq M1_H$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_{P_i} (B_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} - m \right) \right] \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by (3.1) for $A_j = 1_H$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $0 < k1_H \leq A_j \leq K1_H$ with $k, K > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_{P_i}(A_i)\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(P_i A_i)}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[kK \left(k^{-1} - \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} - K^{-1} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4kK} (K - k)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $0 < k1_H \leq A_j \leq K1_H$, hence $\frac{1}{K}A_j \leq 1_H \leq \frac{1}{k}A_j$ and by taking $B_j = 1_H$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in (3.1), we derive (3.5). \square

Remark 2. *The case of a pair of operators is as follows. If $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$ with $m, M > 0$ and $P \in B_1(H)$, $P \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P) = 1$ and $\text{tr}(PA) > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)}}{(D_P(A|B))^{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} \left(M - \frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)} \right) \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)} - m \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < m1_H \leq B \leq M1_H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\Delta_P(B)} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{mM} (M - \text{tr}(PB)) (\text{tr}(PB) - m) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4mM} (M - m)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $0 < k1_H \leq A_j \leq K1_H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[kK \left(k^{-1} - \frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)} - K^{-1} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{4kK} (K - k)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8. *Assume that $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq MA_j$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j) > 0$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i}(A_i|B_i)\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}}} \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \right] \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we take the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, $t > 0$ in (2.10) then we get the operator inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}[C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} \ln V_j]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2m^2} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(C_j^{1/2} Q_j C_j^{1/2} V_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j)} - m \right) \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_j, Q_j \geq 0$ with $Q_j \in B_1(H)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(Q_j C_j) > 0$ while $\operatorname{Sp}(V_j) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

By utilizing a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 7 we deduce the desired result (3.9). \square

Corollary 3. *we the assumptions of Corollary 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_{P_i}(B_j)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} - m \right) \right] \\
&\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by (3.9) for $A_j = 1_H$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Corollary 4. *With the assumptions of Corollary 2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)}}{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(P_i A_i)}} \\
 &\quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_{P_i}(A_i) \right) \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{K^2}{2} \left(k^{-1} - \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} - K^{-1} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{K}{k} - 1 \right)^2 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows by taking $B_j = 1_H$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in (3.9).

Remark 3. *The case of a pair of operators is as follows. If $0 < mA \leq B \leq MA$ with $m, M > 0$ and $P \in B_1(H)$, $P \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P) = 1$ and $\text{tr}(PA) > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)}}{[D_P(A|B)]^{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}} \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} \left(M - \frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)} \right) \left(\frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\text{tr}(PA)} - m \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < m1_H \leq B \leq M1_H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad 1 &\leq \frac{\text{tr}(PB)}{\Delta_P(B)} \leq \exp \left[\frac{1}{2m^2} (M - \text{tr}(PB)) (\text{tr}(PB) - m) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{M}{m} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $0 < k1_H \leq A_j \leq K1_H$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq \frac{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}{[\eta_P(A)]^{\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)}}} \leq \exp \left[\frac{K^2}{2} \left(k^{-1} - \frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\text{tr}(PA)} - K^{-1} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \exp \left[\left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{K}{k} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

4. SOME RELATED RESULTS

We also have the complementary inequalities:

Theorem 9. *Assume that $0 < mA_j \leq B_j \leq MA_j$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j) > 0$.*

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad 1 &\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \\
&\leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n D_{P_i}(A_i|B_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(P_i A_i)}}}{M^{\frac{1}{M-m} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right)} m^{\frac{1}{M-m} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right)}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left(A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \left| A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(m+M) 1_H \right| \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)}.$$

Proof. If we take the convex function $f(t) = -\ln t$, $t > 0$ in (2.13) then we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \ln \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr} \left[A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \ln \left(A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2} \right) \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \\
&\quad - \frac{\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} - m \right) \ln M + \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right) \ln m}{M-m} \\
&\leq \ln \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right) \leq \ln \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the exponential and performing the required calculations, we derive (4.1). \square

Corollary 5. Assume that $0 < m1_H \leq B_j \leq M1_H$ with $m, M > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\text{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

Corollary 6.

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad 1 &\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \\
&\leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta_{P_i}(B_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{M^{\frac{1}{M-m} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} - m \right)} m^{\frac{1}{M-m} \left(M - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \text{tr}(P_j B_j)}{n} \right)}} \\
&\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_{m,M}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}) := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(P_j \left| B_j - \frac{1}{2} (m+M) 1_H \right| \right)}{n}.$$

Corollary 7. Assume that $0 < k 1_H \leq A_j \leq K 1_H$ with $k, K > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $P_j \in B_1(H)$, $P_j \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{tr}(P_j) = 1$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$(4.3) \quad 1 \leq \left(\frac{k+K}{2\sqrt{kK}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) - \mathcal{V}_{k,K}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \\ \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \eta_{P_i}(A_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_i A_i)}}}{k^{\frac{-1}{k^{-1}-K^{-1}} \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} - K^{-1} \right)} K^{\frac{-1}{k^{-1}-K^{-1}} \left(k^{-1} - \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)} \right)}} \\ \leq \left(\frac{k+K}{2\sqrt{kK}} \right)^{\frac{2}{M-m} \left(\frac{1}{2}(M-m) + \mathcal{V}_{k,K}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P}) \right)} \leq \left(\frac{k+K}{2\sqrt{kK}} \right)^2$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_{k,K}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P}) := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr} \left(A_j^{1/2} P_j A_j^{1/2} \left| A_j^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} (K^{-1} + k^{-1}) 1_H \right| \right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(P_j A_j)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the deviation of a function from the chord generated by its extremities. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), no. 2, 225–248.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite–Hadamard’s type inequalities for operator convex functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **218** (2011), Issue 3, pp. 766–772.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for relative operator entropy, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 145. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a145.pdf>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 923–943. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, **24** (2016), no. 2, 273–296. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 115. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a115.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31** (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, Some Slater’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Toyama Math. J.*, **38** (2016), 75–99. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 117. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a117.pdf>].
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **9** (2017), no. 1, 74–93. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 121. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a121.pdf>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 15, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a16.pdf>].

- [12] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class entropic P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert Spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 49, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a49.pdf>].
- [13] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [14] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi, K. Kuriyama, Fundamental properties for Tsallis relative entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 4868–4877.
- [15] S. Furuichi, Precise estimates of bounds on relative operator entropies, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **18** (2015), 869–877.
- [16] S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, Alternative reverse inequalities for Young’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.* **5** (2011), Number 4, 595–600.
- [17] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann’s interpolational method for operator means. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 4, 541–547.
- [18] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 3, 341–348.
- [19] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [20] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht’s Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [21] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space.* Monographs in Inequalities, 1. Element, Zagreb, 2005. xiv+262 pp.+loose errata. ISBN: 953-197-572-8.
- [22] S. Hiramatsu and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.
- [23] I. H. Kim, Operator extension of strong subadditivity of entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**(2012), 122204
- [24] P. Kluza and M. Niezgoda, Inequalities for relative operator entropies, *Elec. J. Lin. Alg.* **27** (2014), Art. 1066.
- [25] M. S. Moslehian, F. Mirzapour, and A. Morassaei, Operator entropy inequalities. *Colloq. Math.*, **130** (2013), 159–168.
- [26] M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961) 149–154.
- [27] I. Nikoufar, On operator inequalities of some relative operator entropies, *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 376–383.
- [28] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.* **74** (1960), pp. 91–98.
- [29] A. Uhlmann, Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume **54**, Number 1 (1977), 21–32.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA