

**ON THE SUB-MULTIPLICATIVE PROPERTY FOR THE
RELATIVE ENTROPIC NORMALIZED P -DETERMINANT OF
POSITIVE OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For a given operator $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, the trace class associated to $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the relative entropic normalized P -determinant by

$$D_P(A|B) = \exp \left\{ \text{tr} \left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}.$$

Assume that $A, B, C > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. In this paper we show among others that, if $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then

$$D_P(A|B + C + A) \leq D_P(A|B + A) D_P(A|C + A).$$

If $B + C \leq \Theta A$, with Θ a positive constant, then

$$\frac{D_P(A|B + A) D_P(A|C + A)}{D_P(A|B + C + A)} \leq \exp \left[\Theta^2 \text{tr} \left(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} (B + C + A)^{-1} \right) \right].$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, in the paper [13], B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison introduced the determinant of a (invertible) operator and established its fundamental properties. The notion generalizes the usual determinant and can be considered for any operator in a finite von Neumann algebra (M, τ) with a faithful normal trace.

Let $T \in M$ be normal and $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$ its modulus. By the spectral theorem one can represent T as an integral

$$T = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\lambda)$ is a projection valued measure and $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the spectrum of T . The measure $\mu_T := \tau \circ E$ becomes a probability measure on the complex plane and has the support in the spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$.

For any $T \in M$ the Fuglede-Kadison determinant (*FK-determinant*) is defined by

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp \left(\int_0^\infty \ln t d\mu_{|T|} \right).$$

If T is invertible, then

$$\Delta_{FK}(T) := \exp(\tau(\ln(|T|))),$$

where $\ln(|T|)$ is defined by the use of functional calculus.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, Normalized determinants, Inequalities.

Let $B(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and 1_H stands for the identity operator on H . An operator A in $B(H)$ is said to be positive (in symbol: $A \geq 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. In particular, $A > 0$ means that A is positive and invertible. For a pair A, B of selfadjoint operators the order relation $A \geq B$ means as usual that $A - B$ is positive.

In 1998, Fujii et al. [19], [20], introduced the *normalized determinant* $\Delta_x(A)$ for positive invertible operators A on a Hilbert space H and a fixed unit vector $x \in H$, namely $\|x\| = 1$, defined by

$$\Delta_x(A) := \exp \langle \ln Ax, x \rangle$$

and discussed it as a continuous geometric mean and observed some inequalities around the determinant from this point of view. For some recent results, see [24].

We need now some preparations for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an *orthonormal basis* of H . We say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a *Hilbert-Schmidt operator* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

It is well know that, if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ are orthonormal bases for H and $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|Af_j\|^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \|A^* f_j\|^2$$

showing that the definition (1.1) is independent of the orthonormal basis and A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator iff A^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ the set of *Hilbert-Schmidt operators* in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\|_2 := \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|Ae_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H .

Using the triangle inequality in $l^2(I)$, one checks that $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is a *vector space* and that $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$, which is usually called in the literature as the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm*.

Denote *the modulus* of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

Because $\| |A| x \| = \|Ax\|$ for all $x \in H$, A is Hilbert-Schmidt iff $|A|$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\|A\|_2 = \| |A| \|_2$. From (1.2) we have that if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$.

The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of Hilbert-Schmidt operators:

Theorem 1. *We have:*

(i) $(\mathcal{B}_2(H), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(1.4) \quad \langle A, B \rangle_2 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle Ae_i, Be_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle B^* Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

and the definition does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$;

(ii) We have the inequalities

$$(1.5) \quad \|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ with

$$(1.6) \quad \|AT\|_2, \|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2$$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_2(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(H).$$

If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H , we say that $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is trace class if

$$(1.7) \quad \|A\|_1 := \sum_{i \in I} \langle |A| e_i, e_i \rangle < \infty.$$

The definition of $\|A\|_1$ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the set of trace class operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 1. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;
- (ii) $|A|^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$.

The following properties are also well known:

Theorem 2. *With the above notations:*

(i) We have

$$(1.8) \quad \|A\|_1 = \|A^*\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_1$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is an operator ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(H) \mathcal{B}_1(H) \mathcal{B}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iii) We have

$$\mathcal{B}_2(H) \mathcal{B}_2(H) = \mathcal{B}_1(H);$$

(iv) We have

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup \{ \langle A, B \rangle_2 \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H), \|B\|_2 \leq 1 \};$$

(v) $(\mathcal{B}_1(H), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

We define the *trace* of a trace class operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ to be

$$(1.9) \quad \text{tr}(A) := \sum_{i \in I} \langle A e_i, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ an orthonormal basis of H . Note that this coincides with the usual definition of the trace if H is finite-dimensional. We observe that the series (1.9) converges absolutely and it is independent from the choice of basis.

The following result collects some properties of the trace:

Theorem 3. *We have:*

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ then $A^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and

$$(1.10) \quad \text{tr}(A^*) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)};$$

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, then $AT, TA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$(1.11) \quad \text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr}(TA) \quad \text{and} \quad |\text{tr}(AT)| \leq \|A\|_1 \|T\|;$$

(iii) $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\|\text{tr}\| = 1$;

(iv) If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$ then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

Now, if we assume that $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, PT , $TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Also, since $P^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, $TP^{1/2} \in \mathcal{B}_2(H)$, hence $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}$ and $TP^{1/2}P^{1/2} = TP \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ with $\text{tr}(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(TP)$. Therefore, if $P \geq 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$,

$$\text{tr}(PT) = \text{tr}(TP) = \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}TP^{1/2}\right)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

If $T \geq 0$, then $P^{1/2}TP^{1/2} \geq 0$, which implies that $\text{tr}(PT) \geq 0$ that shows that the functional $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is linear and isotonic functional. Also, by (1.11), if $T_n \rightarrow T$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(PT_n) = \text{tr}(PT)$, namely $\mathcal{B}(H) \ni T \mapsto \text{tr}(PT)$ is also continuous in the norm topology.

For recent results on trace inequalities see [3]-[10] and the references therein.

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *P-determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta_P(A) := \exp \text{tr}(P \ln A) = \exp \text{tr}((\ln A)P) = \exp \text{tr}\left(P^{1/2}(\ln A)P^{1/2}\right).$$

Assume that $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$. We observe that we have the following elementary properties [11]:

- (i) *continuity*: the map $A \rightarrow \Delta_P(A)$ is norm continuous;
- (ii) *power equality*: $\Delta_P(A^t) = \Delta_P(A)^t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) *homogeneity*: $\Delta_P(tA) = t\Delta_P(A)$ and $\Delta_P(t1_H) = t$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) *monotonicity*: $0 < A \leq B$ implies $\Delta_P(A) \leq \Delta_P(B)$.

In [11], we presented some fundamental properties of this determinant. Among others we showed that

$$1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(PA)}{\Delta_P(A)} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA) \text{tr}(PA^{-1}) - 1]$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{\Delta_P(A)}{[\text{tr}(PA^{-1})]^{-1}} \leq \exp[\text{tr}(PA^{-1}) \text{tr}(PA) - 1],$$

for $A > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.

For the entropy function $\eta(t) = -t \ln t$, $t > 0$, the operator entropy has the following expression:

$$\eta(A) = -A \ln A$$

for positive A .

Now, for a given $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, we define the *entropic P-determinant* of the positive invertible operator A by [12]

$$\eta_P(A) := \exp[-\text{tr}(PA \ln A)] = \exp\{\text{tr}[P\eta(A)]\} = \exp\left\{\text{tr}\left[P^{1/2}\eta(A)P^{1/2}\right]\right\}.$$

Observe that the map $A \rightarrow \eta_P(A)$ is *norm continuous* and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-\text{tr}\{P[tA \ln(tA)]\}) \\ &= \exp(-\text{tr}\{P[tA(\ln t + \ln A)]\}) = \exp(-\text{tr}\{P(tA \ln t + tA \ln A)\}) \\ &= \exp(-t \ln t \text{tr}(PA)) \exp(-t \text{tr}(PA \ln A)) \\ &= \exp \ln \left(t^{-\text{tr}(PA)t} \right) [\exp(-\text{tr}(PA \ln A))]^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(1.13) \quad \eta_P(tA) = t^{-t \operatorname{tr}(PA)} [\eta_P(A)]^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$ and $A > 0$.

Observe also that

$$(1.14) \quad \eta_P(1_H) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_P(t1_H) = t^{-t}$$

for $t > 0$.

Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. If $A, B > 0$, then we have the Ky Fan type inequality [12]

$$(1.15) \quad \eta_P((1-t)A + tB) \geq [\eta_P(A)]^{1-t} [\eta_P(B)]^t$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Also we have the inequalities [12]:

$$\left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1$$

and if there exists the constants $0 < m < M$ such that $m1_H \leq A \leq M1_H$, then [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2M} &\leq \left(\frac{m+M}{2\sqrt{mM}} \right)^{-2\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \leq \left[\frac{\operatorname{tr}(PA^2)}{\operatorname{tr}^2(PA)} \right]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta_P(A)}{[\operatorname{tr}(PA)]^{-\operatorname{tr}(PA)}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Kamei and Fujii [17], [18] defined the *relative operator entropy* $S(A|B)$, for positive invertible operators A and B , by

$$(1.16) \quad S(A|B) := A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is a relative version of the operator entropy considered by Nakamura-Umegaki [28]. For various results on relative operator entropy see [14]-[29] and the references therein.

Definition 1. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. For positive invertible operators A, B we define the *relative entropic normalized P -determinant* by

$$\begin{aligned} D_P(A|B) &:= \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|B)]\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left[PA^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that for $A > 0$,

$$D_P(A|1_H) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(A|1_H)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(-PA \ln A)\} = \eta_P(A),$$

where $\eta_P(\cdot)$ is the *entropic P -determinant* and for $B > 0$,

$$D_P(1_H|B) := \exp\{\operatorname{tr}[PS(1_H|B)]\} = \exp\{\operatorname{tr}(P \ln B)\} = \Delta_P(B),$$

where $\Delta_P(\cdot)$ is the *P -determinant*.

Assume that $A, B, C > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(P) = 1$. In this paper we show among others that, if $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then

$$D_P(A|B + C + A) \leq D_P(A|B + A) D_P(A|C + A).$$

If $B + C \leq \Theta A$, with Θ a positive constant, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{D_P(A|B+A) D_P(A|C+A)}{D_P(A|B+C+A)} \\ & \leq \exp \left[\Theta^2 \operatorname{tr} \left(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} (B+C+A)^{-1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

To simplify the notations, instead of $a1_H$ we write a when this is a scalar. The following representation result holds:

Lemma 1. *For all $U, B \geq 0$ and $a > 0$ we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \ln(U+a) + \ln(V+a) - \ln(U+V+a) - \ln a \\ & = \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} Q(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\lambda, a, U, V) := (U+V+a+\lambda)^{-1} (UV+VU) (U+V+a+\lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\lambda, a, U, V) & := (U+V+a+\lambda)^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \left[V(U+a+\lambda)^{-1} UV + U(V+a+\lambda)^{-1} VU \right] \\ & \quad \times (U+V+a+\lambda)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Observe that for $t > 0, t \neq 1$, we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)} = \frac{\ln t}{t-1} + \frac{1}{1-t} \ln \left(\frac{u+t}{u+1} \right)$$

for all $u > 0$.

By taking the limit over $u \rightarrow \infty$ in this equality, we derive

$$\frac{\ln t}{t-1} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+t)(\lambda+1)},$$

which gives the representation for the logarithm

$$(2.2) \quad \ln t = (t-1) \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+t)}$$

for all $t > 0$.

If we use the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \ln T = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

for all operators $T > 0$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda & = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda+1} (T+\lambda-\lambda-1) (\lambda+T)^{-1} d\lambda \\ & = \int_0^\infty \left[(\lambda+1)^{-1} - (\lambda+T)^{-1} \right] d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\ln T = \int_0^\infty [(\lambda + 1)^{-1} - (\lambda + T)^{-1}] d\lambda.$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a = \int_0^\infty K_\lambda d\lambda$$

where

$$K_\lambda := (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} + (a + \lambda)^{-1} - (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} - (V + a + \lambda)^{-1}.$$

To simplify calculations, consider $\delta := a + \lambda$ and set

$$L_\delta := (U + V + \delta)^{-1} + \delta^{-1} - (U + \delta)^{-1} - (V + \delta)^{-1}.$$

If we multiply both sides by $U + V + \delta$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\delta &:= (U + V + \delta) L_\delta (U + V + \delta) \\ &= (U + V + \delta) + \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 \\ &\quad - (U + V + \delta) (U + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\ &\quad - (U + V + \delta) (V + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\ &= (U + V + \delta) + \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 \\ &\quad - (U + V + \delta) - V (U + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) \\ &\quad - U (V + \delta)^{-1} (U + V + \delta) - (U + V + \delta) \\ &= \delta^{-1} (U + V + \delta)^2 - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - V \\ &\quad - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - U - (U + V + \delta) \\ &= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + \delta U + VU + V^2 + \delta V + \delta U + \delta V + \delta^2) \\ &\quad - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - 2V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - 2U - \delta \\ &= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + VU + V^2) + 2V + 2U + \delta \\ &\quad - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U - 2U - 2V - \delta \\ &= \delta^{-1} (U^2 + UV + VU + V^2) - V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - U (V + \delta)^{-1} U \\ &= \delta^{-1} [U^2 + UV + VU + V^2 - \delta V (U + \delta)^{-1} V - \delta U (V + \delta)^{-1} U] \\ &= \delta^{-1} [U^2 + UV + VU + V^2 - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V - U (\delta^{-1} V + 1)^{-1} U]. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &V^2 - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V \\ &= V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} U + 1) V - V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} V \\ &= V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} (\delta^{-1} U + 1 - 1) V \\ &= \delta^{-1} V (\delta^{-1} U + 1)^{-1} UV = V (U + \delta)^{-1} UV \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& U^2 - U(\delta^{-1}V + 1)^{-1}U \\
&= U(\delta^{-1}V + 1)^{-1}(\delta^{-1}V + 1)U - U(\delta^{-1}V + 1)^{-1}U \\
&= U(\delta^{-1}V + 1)^{-1}(\delta^{-1}V + 1 - 1)U \\
&= \delta^{-1}U(\delta^{-1}V + 1)^{-1}VU = U(V + \delta)^{-1}VU.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$W_\delta = \delta^{-1} \left[UV + VU + V(U + \delta)^{-1}UV + U(V + \delta)^{-1}VU \right]$$

which gives that

$$L_\delta := (U + V + \delta)^{-1}W_\delta(U + V + \delta)^{-1}.$$

We obtain then the following representation

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad K_\lambda &= (a + \lambda)^{-1}(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(UV + VU)(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad + (a + \lambda)^{-1}(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left[V(U + a + \lambda)^{-1}UV + U(V + a + \lambda)^{-1}VU \right] (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&= (a + \lambda)^{-1}S(\lambda, a, U, V) + (a + \lambda)^{-1}P(\lambda, a, U, V)
\end{aligned}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

By utilizing (2.4) and (2.5) we derive the representation (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *For all $U, V \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \\
&= \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1}S(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1}Q(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\lambda, U, V) := (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}(UV + VU)(U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\lambda, a, U, V) &:= (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \left[V(U + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}UV + U(V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}VU \right] \\
&\quad \times (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

We have the following operator inequalities:

Theorem 4. *For all $U, V > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda \\
&\leq \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}R(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(\lambda, a, U, V) = (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}(U + V)^2(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

In particular,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda \\ & \leq \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda, U, V) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(\lambda, U, V) = (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $U, V \geq 0$. Observe that for $a, \lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} U &= (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + a + \lambda - a - \lambda) \\ &= 1 - (a + \lambda) (U + a + \lambda)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$0 \leq (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} U \leq 1.$$

If we multiply this inequality both sides by V , then we get

$$0 \leq V (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} UV \leq V^2.$$

Similarly,

$$0 \leq U (V + a + \lambda)^{-1} VU \leq U^2.$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq V (U + a + \lambda)^{-1} UV + U (V + a + \lambda)^{-1} VU \leq U^2 + V^2$$

and by multiplying both sides by $(U + V + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$ we deduce

$$0 \leq Q(\lambda, a, U, V) \leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U^2 + V^2) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

Now, if to this inequality we add $S(\lambda, a, U, V)$, then we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} S(\lambda, a, U, V) &\leq Q(\lambda, a, U, V) + S(\lambda, a, U, V) \\ &\leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U^2 + V^2) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad + (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= R(\lambda, a, U, V) \end{aligned}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$.

If we multiply (2.9) by $(1 + \lambda)^{-1} > 0$, integrate over λ on $[0, \infty)$ and use representation (2.1) we derive (2.7). \square

Corollary 2. *Let $U, V > 0$ and $a > 0$.*

(i) *If $UV + VU \geq 0$, then*

$$(2.10) \quad \ln(U + V + a) + \ln a \leq \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a).$$

In particular,

$$(2.11) \quad \ln(U + V + 1) \leq \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1).$$

(ii) If $U + V \leq \Omega$, with Ω a positive constant, then

$$(2.12) \quad \ln(U + a) + \ln(V + a) - \ln(U + V + a) - \ln a \leq \frac{\Omega^2}{a} (U + V + a)^{-1}.$$

In particular,

$$(2.13) \quad \ln(U + 1) + \ln(V + 1) - \ln(U + V + 1) \leq \Omega^2 (U + V + 1)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (i) If $UV + VU \geq 0$, then by multiplying both sides by $(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$ we get

$$0 \leq (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (UV + VU) (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} S(\lambda, a, U, V) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.7) we get (2.10).

(ii) If $U + V \leq \Omega$, then

$$(U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} \leq \Omega^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2}$$

for $a, \lambda > 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad &\int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V)^2 (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &\leq \Omega^2 \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{\Omega^2}{a} \int_0^\infty (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take the derivative over t in (2.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-1} &= \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{t-1}{\lambda+t} \right)' d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \frac{\lambda + 1}{(\lambda + t)^2} d\lambda = \int_0^\infty (\lambda + t)^{-2} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\int_0^\infty (U + V + a + \lambda)^{-2} d\lambda = (U + V + a)^{-1}$$

and by (2.14) and (2.7) we obtain (2.12). \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

We also have the following representation result:

Theorem 5. Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all $A, B, C > 0$ and $a > 0$ we have the representation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{D_P(A|B+aA) D_P(A|C+aA)}{a^{\text{tr}(PA)} D_P(A|B+C+aA)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} Y(A, B, C, a, \lambda) \right] d\lambda \\ &+ \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} Z(A, B, C, a, \lambda) \right] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y(A, B, C, a, \lambda) &:= A^{1/2} (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} (BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B) \\ &\times (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A, B, C, a, \lambda) &:= A^{1/2} (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} \\ &\times \left[C (B + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} BA^{-1}C + B (C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} CA^{-1}B \right] \\ &\times (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1) we have for $U = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ and $V = A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left(A^{-1/2} (B + aA) A^{-1/2} \right) + \ln \left(A^{-1/2} (C + aA) A^{-1/2} \right) \\ & - \ln \left(A^{-1/2} (B + C + aA) A^{-1/2} \right) - \ln a \\ &= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} S \left(\lambda, a, A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}, A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} \right) d\lambda \\ &+ \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1} Q \left(\lambda, a, A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}, A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} \right) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & S \left(\lambda, a, A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}, A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} \right) \\ &= \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda \right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right) \\ &\times \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda \right)^{-1} \\ &= A^{1/2} (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} A^{-1/2} (BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B) A^{-1/2} \\ &\times A^{1/2} (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \\ &= A^{1/2} (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} (BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B) \\ &\times (B + C + (a + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \\ &= Y(A, B, C, a, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q\left(\lambda, a, A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}, A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}\right) \\
&= \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda\right)^{-1} \\
&\times \left[A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda\right)^{-1} A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}\right. \\
&\left.+ A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\left(A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda\right)^{-1} A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\right] \\
&\times \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2} + a + \lambda\right)^{-1} \\
&= A^{1/2}(B + C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2} \\
&\times \left[A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{1/2}(B + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}\right. \\
&\left.+ A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}A^{1/2}(C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2}A^{-1/2}CA^{-1/2}A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\right] \\
&\times A^{1/2}(B + C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2} \\
&= A^{1/2}(B + C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1} \\
&\times \left[C(B + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}BA^{-1}C + B(C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}CA^{-1}B\right] \\
&\times (B + C + (a + \lambda)A)^{-1}A^{1/2} \\
&= Z(A, B, C, a, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides of (2.1) by $A^{1/2}$ and then by $P^{1/2}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& P^{1/2}A^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)A^{1/2}P^{1/2} \\
&+ P^{1/2}A^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(C + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)A^{1/2}P^{1/2} \\
&- P^{1/2}A^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + C + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)A^{1/2}P^{1/2} - \ln aP^{1/2}AP^{1/2} \\
&= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}P^{1/2}A^{1/2}Y(A, B, C, a, \lambda)A^{1/2}P^{1/2}d\lambda \\
&+ \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}P^{1/2}A^{1/2}Z(A, B, C, a, \lambda)A^{1/2}P^{1/2}d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take the trace and use its properties, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad & \operatorname{tr}\left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)\right] \\
&+ \operatorname{tr}\left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(C + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)\right] \\
&- \operatorname{tr}\left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}\ln\left(A^{-1/2}(B + C + aA)A^{-1/2}\right)\right] - \ln a\operatorname{tr}(PA) \\
&= \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}Y(A, B, C, a, \lambda)\right]d\lambda \\
&+ \int_0^\infty (a + \lambda)^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\left[A^{1/2}PA^{1/2}Z(A, B, C, a, \lambda)\right]d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, if we take the exponential in (3.2), then we get the desired result (3.1). \square

Corollary 3. *Let $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$, then for all A, B , and $C > 0$ we have the representation*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{D_P(A|B+A) D_P(A|C+A)}{D_P(A|B+C+A)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} Y(A, B, C, \lambda) \right] d\lambda \\ &+ \int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} \left[A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} Z(A, B, C, \lambda) \right] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y(A, B, C, \lambda) &:= A^{1/2} (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} (B A^{-1} C + C A^{-1} B) \\ &\times (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A, B, C, \lambda) &:= A^{1/2} (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} \\ &\times \left[C (B + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} B A^{-1} C + B (C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} C A^{-1} B \right] \\ &\times (B + C + (1 + \lambda) A)^{-1} A^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *If we take $A = 1_H$ in Theorem 5, then we get*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta_P(B+a) \Delta_P(C+a)}{a \Delta_P(B+C+a)} &= \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} [P Y(B, C, a, \lambda)] d\lambda \\ &+ \int_0^\infty (a+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} [P Z(AB, C, a, \lambda)] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y(B, C, a, \lambda) := (B + C + a + \lambda)^{-1} (B C + C B) (B + C + a + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(B, C, a, \lambda) &:= (B + C + a + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\times \left[C (B + a + \lambda)^{-1} B C + B (C + a + \lambda)^{-1} C B \right] \\ &\times (B + C + a + \lambda)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta_P(B+1) \Delta_P(C+1)}{\Delta_P(B+C+1)} &= \int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} [P Y(B, C, \lambda)] d\lambda \\ &+ \int_0^\infty (1+\lambda)^{-1} \text{tr} [P Z(AB, C, \lambda)] d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y(B, C, \lambda) := (B + C + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} (B C + C B) (B + C + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(B, C, \lambda) &:= (B + C + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[C(B + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} BC + B(C + 1 + \lambda)^{-1} CB \right] \\ &\quad \times (B + C + 1 + \lambda)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following super-multiplicative properties:

Theorem 6. *Assume that $A, B, C > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.*

(i) *If $BA^{-1}C + CA^{-1}B \geq 0$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad D_P(A|B + C + A) \leq D_P(A|B + A) D_P(A|C + A).$$

(ii) *If $B + C \leq \Theta A$, with Θ a positive constant, then*

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{D_P(A|B + A) D_P(A|C + A)}{D_P(A|B + C + A)} \leq \exp \left[\Theta^2 \text{tr} \left(A^{1/2} P A^{1/2} (B + C + A)^{-1} \right) \right].$$

The proof follows by Corollary 2 and Theorem 5 and the details are omitted.

Corollary 4. *Assume that $B, C > 0$ and $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.*

(i) *If $BC + CB \geq 0$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta_P(B + C + 1) \leq \Delta_P(B + 1) \Delta_P(C + 1).$$

(ii) *If $B + C \leq \Theta$, with Θ a positive constant, then*

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\Delta_P(B + 1) \Delta_P(C + 1)}{\Delta_P(B + C + 1)} \leq \exp \left[\Theta^2 \text{tr} \left(P(B + C + 1)^{-1} \right) \right].$$

The symmetrized product of two operators $C, B \in B(H)$ is defined by $S(C, B) = CB + BC$. In general, the symmetrized product of two operators C, B is not positive. Also Gustafson [23] showed that if $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then we have the lower bound

$$(3.10) \quad S(A, B) \geq 2mn - \frac{1}{4}(M - m)(N - n),$$

which can take positive or negative values depending on the parameters m, M, n, N .

So, if $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$ with

$$8mn \geq (M - m)(N - n),$$

then by (3.8) we get that

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta_P(B + C + 1) \leq \Delta_P(B + 1) \Delta_P(C + 1),$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.

If $0 \leq m \leq C \leq M$ and $0 \leq n \leq B \leq N$, then $C + B \leq M + N$ and $(B + C + 1)^{-1} \leq (m + n + 1)^{-1}$ and by (3.9) we also obtain that

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\Delta_P(B + 1) \Delta_P(C + 1)}{\Delta_P(B + C + 1)} \leq \exp \left(\frac{(M + N)^2}{(m + n + 1)} \right),$$

for all $P \geq 0$ with $P \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ and $\text{tr}(P) = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalised Jensen functional. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74** (2006), no. 3, 471–478.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the deviation of a function from the chord generated by its extremities. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), no. 2, 225–248.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Hermite–Hadamard’s type inequalities for operator convex functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **218** (2011), Issue 3, pp. 766–772.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for relative operator entropy, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **18** (2015), Art. 145. [<http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a145.pdf>].
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, Some Grüss type inequalities for trace of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Oper. Matrices*, **10** (2016), no. 4, 923–943. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **17** (2014), Art. 114. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a114.pdf>].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Korean J. Math.*, **24** (2016), no. 2, 273–296. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 115. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a115.pdf>].
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, Jensen’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.*, **31** (2016), no. 5, 981–998. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 116. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a116.pdf>].
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, Some Slater’s type trace inequalities for convex functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Toyama Math. J.*, **38** (2016), 75–99. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 117. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a117.pdf>].
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities of Cassels and Grüss type for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.*, **9** (2017), no. 1, 74–93. Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **17** (2014), Art. 121. [<https://rgmia.org/papers/v17/v17a121.pdf>].
- [10] S. S. Dragomir, Trace inequalities for operators in Hilbert spaces: a survey of recent results, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. **19** (2022), No. 1, Art. 1, 202 pp. [Online <https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/files/pdf/v19n1/v19i1p1.pdf>].
- [11] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 15, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a16.pdf>].
- [12] S. S. Dragomir, Some properties of trace class entropic P -determinant of positive operators in Hilbert Spaces, Preprint *RGMA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art. 49, 14 pp. [Online <https://rgmia.org/papers/v25/v25a49.pdf>].
- [13] B. Fuglede and R. V. Kadison, Determinant theory in finite factors, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **55** (1952), 520–530.
- [14] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi, K. Kuriyama, Fundamental properties for Tsallis relative entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 4868–4877.
- [15] S. Furuichi, Precise estimates of bounds on relative operator entropies, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **18** (2015), 869–877.
- [16] S. Furuichi and N. Minulete, Alternative reverse inequalities for Young’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.* **5** (2011), Number 4, 595–600.
- [17] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann’s interpolational method for operator means. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 4, 541–547.
- [18] J. I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Relative operator entropy in noncommutative information theory. *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), no. 3, 341–348.
- [19] J. I. Fujii and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 153–156.
- [20] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht’s Theorem, *Sci. Math.*, **1** (1998), 307–310.
- [21] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of $f(A) - f(B)$ for $A > B > 0$ and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. *J. Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [22] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space.* Monographs in Inequalities, 1. Element, Zagreb, 2005. xiv+262 pp.+loose errata. ISBN: 953-197-572-8.
- [23] K. Gustafson, Interaction antieigenvalues. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **299** (1) (2004), 174–185.
- [24] S. Hiramoto and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Oppenheim’s inequality, *J. Math. Inequal.*, Volume 15 (2021), Number 4, 1637–1645.

- [25] I. H. Kim, Operator extension of strong subadditivity of entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **53**(2012), 122204
- [26] P. Kluza and M. Niezgodna, Inequalities for relative operator entropies, *Elec. J. Lin. Alg.* **27** (2014), Art. 1066.
- [27] M. S. Moslehian, F. Mirzapour, and A. Morassaei, Operator entropy inequalities. *Colloq. Math.*, **130** (2013), 159–168.
- [28] M. Nakamura and H. Umegaki, A note on the entropy for operator algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **37** (1961) 149–154.
- [29] I. Nikoufar, On operator inequalities of some relative operator entropies, *Adv. Math.* **259** (2014), 376–383.
- [30] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.* **74** (1960), pp. 91-98.
- [31] A. Uhlmann, Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* Volume **54**, Number 1 (1977), 21-32.
- [32] H. Zuo, G. Duan, Some inequalities of operator monotone functions. *J. Math. Inequal.* **8** (2014), no. 4, 777–781.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: `http://rgmia.org/dragomir`

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA