

**TENSORIAL AND HADAMARD PRODUCT INEQUALITIES FOR
FUNCTIONS OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS IN HILBERT
SPACES IN TERMS OF KANTOROVICH RATIO**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hilbert space. In this paper we show among others that, if f, g are continuous on the interval I with

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma \text{ for } t \in I$$

and if A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \circ g(B) + \nu g(A) \circ f(B) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [2], we define

$$(1.1) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Tensorial product, Hadamard Product, Selfadjoint operators, Convex functions.

This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [11] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is known that, if f is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s) f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then [6, p. 173]

$$(1.2) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$(1.3) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [15] obtained the following *Callebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that the *Hadamard product* of A and B in $B(H)$ is defined to be the operator $A \circ B \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$\langle (A \circ B) e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle A e_j, e_j \rangle \langle B e_j, e_j \rangle$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an *orthonormal basis* for the separable Hilbert space H .

It is known that, see [5], we have the representation

$$(1.5) \quad A \circ B = \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes B) \mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U} e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If f is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on $[0, \infty)$, then also [6, p. 173]

$$(1.6) \quad f(A \circ B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \circ f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \circ 1 \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and *Fiedler inequality*

$$(1.7) \quad A \circ A^{-1} \geq 1 \text{ for } A > 0.$$

As extension of Kadison's Schwarz inequality on the Hadamard product, Ando [1] showed that

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Aujla and Vasudeva [3] gave an alternative upper bound

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0.$$

It has been shown in [10] that $(A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2}$ and $(A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2}$ are incomparable for 2-square positive definite matrices A and B .

The famous *Young inequality* for scalars says that, if $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(1.8) \quad a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b$$

with equality if and only if $a = b$. The inequality (1.8) is also called ν -weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [8], [9] provided a refinement and an additive reverse for Young inequality as follows:

$$(1.9) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min \{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max \{1-\nu, \nu\}$. The case $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ reduces (1.9) to an identity and is of no interest.

We recall that *Specht's ratio* is defined by [13]

$$(1.10) \quad S(h) := \begin{cases} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \ln \left(h^{\frac{1}{h-1}} \right)} & \text{if } h \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 1} S(h) = 1$, $S(h) = S\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) > 1$ for $h > 0$, $h \neq 1$. The function S is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

The following inequality provides a refinement and a multiplicative reverse for Young's

$$(1.11) \quad S \left(\left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^r \right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b \leq S \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu,$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min \{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

The second inequality in (1.11) is due to Tominaga [14] while the first one is due to Furuichi [7].

It is an open question for the author if in the right hand side of (1.11) we can replace $S\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ by $S\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^R\right)$ where $R = \max \{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

We consider the *Kantorovich's ratio* defined by

$$(1.12) \quad K(h) := \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}, \quad h > 0.$$

The function K is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$, $K(h) \geq 1$ for any $h > 0$ and $K(h) = K\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)$ for any $h > 0$.

The following multiplicative refinement and reverse of Young inequality in terms of Kantorovich's ratio holds

$$(1.13) \quad K^r \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b \leq K^R \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu,$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

The first inequality in (1.13) was obtained by Zuo et al. in [16] while the second by Liao et al. [12].

In [16] the authors also showed that

$$K^r(h) \geq S(h^r) \text{ for } h > 0 \text{ and } r \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

implying that the lower bound in (1.13) is better than the lower bound from (1.11).

We can give a simple direct proof for (1.13) as follows.

Recall the following result obtained by the author in 2006 [4] that provides a refinement and a reverse for the weighted Jensen's discrete inequality:

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & n \min_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi(x_j) - \Phi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \Phi(x_j) - \Phi\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j\right) \\ & \leq n \max_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{p_j\} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi(x_j) - \Phi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function defined on convex subset C of the linear space X , $\{x_j\}_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$ are vectors in C and $\{p_j\}_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$ are nonnegative numbers with $P_n = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j > 0$.

For $n = 2$, we deduce from (1.14) that

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left[\frac{\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)}{2} - \Phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \leq \nu \Phi(x) + (1-\nu) \Phi(y) - \Phi[\nu x + (1-\nu)y] \\ & \leq 2 \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left[\frac{\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)}{2} - \Phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now, if we write the inequality (1.15) for the convex function $\Phi(x) = -\ln x$, and for the positive numbers a and b we get (1.13).

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if f, g are continuous on the interval I with

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma \text{ for } t \in I$$

and if A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \circ g(B) + \nu g(A) \circ f(B) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

We have:

Theorem 1. *Let I and J be two intervals and f, g defined and continuous on an interval containing $I \cup J$. Assume that*

$$0 < \gamma_1 \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma_1 \text{ for } t \in I$$

and

$$0 < \gamma_2 \leq \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \leq \Gamma_2 \text{ for } s \in J.$$

Define

$$U(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) := \begin{cases} K\left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq \frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}, \\ \max\left\{K\left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2}\right), K\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}\right)\right\} & \text{if } \frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} < 1 < \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \\ K\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$u(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) = \begin{cases} K\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq \frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2} < 1 < \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2}, \\ K\left(\frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2}\right) & \text{if } \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

If A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$ and $\text{Sp}(B) \subset J$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B) \\ & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$, where $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

In particular,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & u^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left[f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A) \right] \otimes \left[f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(A) \otimes g(B) + g(A) \otimes f(B)] \\ & \leq U^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left[f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A) \right] \otimes \left[f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $a \in [\gamma_1, \Gamma_1] \subset (0, \infty)$ and $b \in [\gamma_2, \Gamma_2] \subset (0, \infty)$, then

$$\frac{a}{b} \in \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}, \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right] \subset (0, \infty).$$

The function K is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $[1, \infty)$, then we observe that

$$\max_{\tau \in \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}, \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right]} K(\tau) = U(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2)$$

and

$$\min_{\tau \in \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}, \frac{\Gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right]} K(\tau) = u(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2).$$

By (1.13) we then get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \\ & \leq K^r\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b \\ & \leq K^R\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

Now, if we take

$$a = \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}, \quad t \in I \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{f(s)}{g(s)}, \quad s \in J$$

in (2.3), then we get

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right)^{1-\nu} \left(\frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \right)^\nu \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} + \nu \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \\ & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left(\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right)^{1-\nu} \left(\frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \right)^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$ and $s \in J$.

This is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) f^{1-\nu}(t) g^\nu(t) f^\nu(s) g^{1-\nu}(s) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) f(t) g(s) + \nu g(t) f(s) \\ & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) f^{1-\nu}(t) g^\nu(t) f^\nu(s) g^{1-\nu}(s), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$ and $s \in J$.

If

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_J s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then by taking the integral $\int_I \int_J$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.5), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \int_I \int_J f^{1-\nu}(t) g^\nu(t) f^\nu(s) g^{1-\nu}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
 & \leq \int_I \int_J [(1-\nu) f(t) g(s) + \nu g(t) f(s)] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \int_I \int_J f^{1-\nu}(t) g^\nu(t) f^\nu(s) g^{1-\nu}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

By utilizing (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_I \int_J f^{1-\nu}(t) g^\nu(t) f^\nu(s) g^{1-\nu}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
 & = [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_I \int_J [(1-\nu) f(t) g(s) + \nu g(t) f(s)] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
 & = (1-\nu) \int_I \int_J f(t) g(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) + \nu \int_I \int_J g(t) f(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\
 & = (1-\nu) f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.6) we obtain the desired result (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) f(A) \circ g(B) + \nu g(A) \circ f(B) \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & u^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(A) \circ g(B) + g(A) \circ f(B)] \\
 & \leq U^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have the representation

$$X \circ Y = \mathcal{U}^*(X \otimes Y)\mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we take \mathcal{U}^* at the left and \mathcal{U} at the right in (2.1), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* ([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)]) \mathcal{U} \\
 & \leq \mathcal{U}^* [(1-\nu) f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B)] \mathcal{U} \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* ([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)]) \mathcal{U},
 \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* \left([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \right) \mathcal{U} \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}^* [f(A) \otimes g(B)] \mathcal{U} + \nu \mathcal{U}^* [g(A) \otimes f(B)] \mathcal{U} \\ & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* \left([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \right) \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* \left([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \right) \mathcal{U} \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}^* [f(A) \circ g(B)] \mathcal{U} + \nu \mathcal{U}^* [g(A) \circ f(B)] \mathcal{U} \\ & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \mathcal{U}^* \left([f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \right) \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (2.7) is obtained. \square

Corollary 2. *Assume that f, g are continuous on I and*

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma \text{ for } t \in I.$$

If A and B are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A), \text{Sp}(B) \subset I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad & [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu) f(A) \otimes g(B) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(B) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(B) g^{1-\nu}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad & [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(A) \otimes g(B) + g(A) \otimes f(B)] \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{1/2}(B) g^{1/2}(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $B = A$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad & [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(A) g^{1-\nu}(A)] \\ & \leq (1-\nu) f(A) \otimes g(A) + \nu g(A) \otimes f(A) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A) g^\nu(A)] \otimes [f^\nu(A) g^{1-\nu}(A)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(A) \otimes g(A) + g(A) \otimes f(A)] \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{1/2}(A) g^{1/2}(A)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by taking $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$ and $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ in Theorem 1.

Remark 1. *With the assumptions of Corollary 2 we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)] \\
 & \leq (1-\nu)f(A) \circ g(B) + \nu g(A) \circ f(B) \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(B)g^{1-\nu}(B)].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{1/2}(B)g^{1/2}(B)] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f(A) \circ g(B) + g(A) \circ f(B)] \\
 & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{1/2}(B)g^{1/2}(B)].
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $B = A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(A)g^{1-\nu}(A)] \\
 & \leq f(A) \circ g(A) \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R [f^{1-\nu}(A)g^\nu(A)] \circ [f^\nu(A)g^{1-\nu}(A)].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \\
 & \leq f(A) \circ g(A) \\
 & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)].
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

Theorem 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) & \leq (1-\nu) [f^\nu(A)g^{-\nu}(A)] \otimes [f^{-\nu}(B)g^\nu(B)] \\
 & \quad + \nu [g^{1-\nu}(A)f^{-1+\nu}(A)] \otimes [g^{-1+\nu}(B)f^{1-\nu}(B)] \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.18) \quad & u^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [f^{1/2}(A)g^{-1/2}(A)] \otimes [f^{-1/2}(B)g^{1/2}(B)] \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2} [g^{1/2}(A)f^{-1/2}(A)] \otimes [g^{-1/2}(B)f^{1/2}(B)] \\
 & \leq U^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.5) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) &\leq \frac{(1-\nu)f(t)g(s) + \nu g(t)f(s)}{f^{1-\nu}(t)g^\nu(t)f^\nu(s)g^{1-\nu}(s)} \\ &\leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2), \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} (2.19) \quad u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) &\leq (1-\nu)f^\nu(t)g^{-\nu}(t)f^{-\nu}(s)g^\nu(s) \\ &\quad + \nu g^{1-\nu}(t)f^{-1+\nu}(t)g^{-1+\nu}(s)f^{1-\nu}(s) \\ &\leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$ and $s \in J$.

By taking the integral $\int_I \int_J$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.19), we derive the desired inequality (2.17). \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.20) \quad u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) &\leq (1-\nu)[f^\nu(A)g^{-\nu}(A)] \circ [f^{-\nu}(B)g^\nu(B)] \\ &\quad + \nu[g^{1-\nu}(A)f^{-1+\nu}(A)] \circ [g^{-1+\nu}(B)f^{1-\nu}(B)] \\ &\leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.21) \quad u^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) &\leq \frac{1}{2}[f^{1/2}(A)g^{-1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{-1/2}(B)g^{1/2}(B)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[g^{1/2}(A)f^{-1/2}(A)] \circ [g^{-1/2}(B)f^{1/2}(B)] \\ &\leq U^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2). \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that f and g satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2 and A has the spectrum $\text{Sp}(A) \subset I$, then by (2.21) we get the following inequality of interest

$$(2.22) \quad 1 \leq [f^{1/2}(A)g^{-1/2}(A)] \circ [f^{-1/2}(A)g^{1/2}(A)] \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}}.$$

3. INEQUALITIES FOR SUMS

We can state the following result:

Proposition 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 and if A_i and B_i are self-adjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I$ and $\text{Sp}(B_i) \subset J$, $p_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with*

$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(B_i) g^{1-\nu}(B_i) \right] \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(B_i) \right) \\
 & \quad + \nu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(B_i) \right) \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(B_i) g^{1-\nu}(B_i) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$, where $r = \min\{1-\nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

In particular, for $\nu = 1/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & u^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \\
 & \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(B_i) g^{1/2}(B_i) \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(B_i) \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(B_i) \right) \right\} \\
 & \leq U^{1/2}(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \\
 & \times \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(B_i) g^{1/2}(B_i) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i)] \otimes [f^\nu(B_j) g^{1-\nu}(B_j)] \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) f(A_i) \otimes g(B_j) + \nu g(A_i) \otimes f(B_j) \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) [f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i)] \otimes [f^\nu(B_j) g^{1-\nu}(B_j)]
 \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we multiply (3.3) by $p_i p_j \geq 0$ and sum, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & u^r(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j [f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i)] \otimes [f^\nu(B_j) g^{1-\nu}(B_j)] \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j f(A_i) \otimes g(B_j) + \nu \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j g(A_i) \otimes f(B_j) \\
 & \leq U^R(\gamma_1, \Gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma_2) \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j [f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i)] \otimes [f^\nu(B_j) g^{1-\nu}(B_j)],
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.1). \square

Remark 2. Assume that f, g are continuous on I and

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma \text{ for } t \in I.$$

For $B_i = A_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we get from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad & \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(A_i) g^{1-\nu}(A_i) \right] \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \\ & \quad + \nu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(A_i) g^{1-\nu}(A_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\nu = 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(A_i) g^{1-\nu}(A_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) we get the following inequality for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \circ \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(A_i) g^{1-\nu}(A_i) \right] \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \circ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \\ & \leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2}{4\gamma\Gamma} \right]^R \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1-\nu}(A_i) g^\nu(A_i) \right] \circ \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^\nu(A_i) g^{1-\nu}(A_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \circ \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \right) \circ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(A_i) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2\sqrt{\gamma\Gamma}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right] \circ \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f^{1/2}(A_i) g^{1/2}(A_i) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

4. EXAMPLES

Assume that the operators A and B satisfy the conditions

$$0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$$

for some constants m and M .

Consider the functions $f(t) = t^p$, $g(t) = t^q$ for $t > 0$ and $p \neq q$ are real numbers. We have $\frac{t^p}{t^q} = t^{p-q}$ and

$$m^{p-q} \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq M^{p-q} \text{ for } p > q$$

and

$$M^{p-q} \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq m^{p-q} \text{ for } p < q$$

for all $t \in [m, M]$.

For $p > q$ we get by Corollary 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \otimes B^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q} \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) A^p \otimes B^q + \nu A^q \otimes B^p \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{(m^{p-q} + M^{p-q})^2}{4m^{p-q}M^{p-q}} \right]^R A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \otimes B^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu \in [0, 1]$ and $R = \max\{1-\nu, \nu\}$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad & A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \otimes B^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} [A^p \otimes B^q + A^q \otimes B^p] \\
 & \leq \frac{m^{p-q} + M^{p-q}}{2m^{\frac{p-q}{2}} M^{\frac{p-q}{2}}} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \otimes B^{\frac{p+q}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $B = A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad & A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \otimes A^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q} \\
 & \leq (1-\nu) A^p \otimes A^q + \nu A^q \otimes A^p \\
 & \leq \left[\frac{(m^{p-q} + M^{p-q})^2}{4m^{p-q}M^{p-q}} \right]^R A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \otimes A^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \otimes A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} &\leq \frac{1}{2} [A^p \otimes A^q + A^q \otimes A^p] \\ &\leq \frac{m^{p-q} + M^{p-q}}{2m^{\frac{p-q}{2}} M^{\frac{p-q}{2}}} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \otimes A^{\frac{p+q}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p > q$ we get by Remark 1 the following inequalities for Hadamard product

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \circ B^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q} \\ &\leq (1-\nu) A^p \circ B^q + \nu A^q \circ B^p \\ &\leq \left[\frac{(m^{p-q} + M^{p-q})^2}{4m^{p-q} M^{p-q}} \right]^R A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \circ B^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \circ B^{\frac{p+q}{2}} &\leq \frac{1}{2} [A^p \circ B^q + A^q \circ B^p] \\ &\leq \frac{m^{p-q} + M^{p-q}}{2m^{\frac{p-q}{2}} M^{\frac{p-q}{2}}} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \circ B^{\frac{p+q}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $B = A$ that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \circ A^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q} &\leq A^p \circ A^q \\ &\leq \left[\frac{(m^{p-q} + M^{p-q})^2}{4m^{p-q} M^{p-q}} \right]^R A^{(1-\nu)p+\nu q} \circ A^{\nu p+(1-\nu)q}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(4.8) \quad A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \circ A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \leq A^p \circ A^q \leq \frac{m^{p-q} + M^{p-q}}{2m^{\frac{p-q}{2}} M^{\frac{p-q}{2}}} A^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \circ A^{\frac{p+q}{2}}.$$

Similar inequalities may be stated if one consider the functions $f(t) = \exp(\alpha t)$, $g(t) = \exp(\beta t)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74**(3)(2006), 417-478.
- [5] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535
- [6] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [7] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society* **20**(2012), 46-49.
- [8] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **361** (2010), 262-269
- [9] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra.*, **59** (2011), 1031-1037.

- [10] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241.
- [11] A. Korányi. On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520–554.
- [12] W. Liao, J. Wu and J. Zhao, New versions of reverse Young and Heinz mean inequalities with the Kantorovich constant, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **19** (2015), No. 2, pp. 467-479.
- [13] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.*, **74** (1960), pp. 91-98.
- [14] M. Tominaga, Specht's ratio in the Young inequality, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, **55** (2002), 583-588.
- [15] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.
- [16] G. Zuo, G. Shi and M. Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **5** (2011), 551-556.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA