

TOMINAGA'S TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES FOR CONTINUOUS FIELDS OF OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hilbert space and Ω a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure μ with $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$. In this paper we show among others that, if $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ are continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$, then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have the tensorial inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is Specht's ratio. We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu)A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}] d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ 1 \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

As is known to all, the famous *Young inequality* for scalars says that if $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(1.1) \quad a^{1-\nu} b^{\nu} \leq (1-\nu)a + \nu b$$

with equality if and only if $a = b$. The inequality (1.1) is also called ν -weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

We recall that *Specht's ratio* is defined by

$$S(h) := \begin{cases} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e \ln\left(h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}\right)} & \text{if } h \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that $\lim_{h \rightarrow 1} S(h) = 1$, $S(h) = S\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) > 1$ for $h > 0$, $h \neq 1$. The function is decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and increasing on $(1, \infty)$.

¹1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Tensorial product, Hadamard Product, Selfadjoint operators, Convex functions.

Tominaga [10] had proved a multiplicative reverse Young inequality with the Specht's ratio [9] as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad (1 - \nu)a + \nu b \leq S\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

He also obtained the following additive reverse

$$(1.3) \quad (1 - \nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq L(a, b) \ln S\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, where $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the *logarithmic mean* defined by

$$L(a, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} & \text{for } b \neq a, \\ a & \text{if } b = a. \end{cases}$$

If $0 < m \leq a, b \leq M$, then also [10]

$$(1.4) \quad (a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq) (1 - \nu)a + \nu b \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) a^{1-\nu} b^\nu$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad (0 \leq) (1 - \nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu} b^\nu \leq aL\left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [2], we define

$$(1.6) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [5] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is know that, if f is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s) f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then [7, p. 173]

$$(1.7) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} tdE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} sdF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$(1.8) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [11] obtained the following *Callebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) &\leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A) \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that the *Hadamard product* of A and B in $B(H)$ is defined to be the operator $A \circ B \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$\langle (A \circ B) e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle A e_j, e_j \rangle \langle B e_j, e_j \rangle$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an *orthonormal basis* for the separable Hilbert space H .

It is known that, see [6], we have the representation

$$(1.10) \quad A \circ B = \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes B) \mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U} e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If f is *super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative)* on $[0, \infty)$, then also [7, p. 173]

$$(1.11) \quad f(A \circ B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \circ f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \circ 1 \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Fiedler inequality

$$A \circ A^{-1} \geq 1 \text{ for } A > 0.$$

As extension of Kadison's Schwarz inequality on the Hadamard product, Ando [1] showed that

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Aujla and Vasudeva [3] gave an alternative upper bound

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0.$$

It has been shown in [8] that $(A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2}$ and $(A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2}$ are incomparable for 2-square positive definite matrices A and B .

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure μ . A field $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ of operators in $B(H)$ is called a continuous field of operators if the parametrization $t \mapsto A_t$ is norm continuous on $B(H)$. If, in addition, the norm function $t \mapsto \|A_t\|$ is Lebesgue integrable on Ω , we can form the Bochner integral $\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)$, which is the unique operator in $B(H)$ such that $\varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)\right) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(A_t) d\mu(t)$ for every bounded linear functional φ on $B(H)$. Assume also that, $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$.

Let $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. In [4] we showed among others that, for $\nu \in [0, 1]$,

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM} \right] \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (M-m) (\ln M - \ln m) \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

We also obtained the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} ((1-\nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \\ & \leq \exp \left[\frac{(M-m)^2}{4mM} \right] \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} ((1-\nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (M-m) (\ln M - \ln m) \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ are continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$, then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we

have the tensorial inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is Specht's ratio. We also have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu)A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}] d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ 1 \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In what follows we assume that $\int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu(t) = 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. Then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ & \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.4) we get

$$(2.3) \quad t^{1-\nu} s^{\nu} \leq (1-\nu)t + \nu s \leq S\left(\frac{M}{m}\right) t^{1-\nu} s^{\nu}$$

for all $t, s \in [m, M]$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Assume that

$$A = \int_m^M t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_m^M s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B . Now, if we take the double integral $\int_m^M \int_m^M$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.3), then we get

$$(2.4) \quad \int_m^M \int_m^M t^{1-\nu} s^\nu dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \leq \int_m^M \int_m^M [(1-\nu)t + \nu s] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \int_m^M \int_m^M t^{1-\nu} s^\nu dE(t) \otimes dF(s).$$

Since

$$\int_m^M \int_m^M t^{1-\nu} s^\nu dE(t) \otimes dF(s) = A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu$$

and

$$\int_m^M \int_m^M [(1-\nu)t + \nu s] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) = (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B,$$

hence by (2.4) we get

$$(2.5) \quad A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \leq (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu$$

for $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now, from (2.5) we get

$$(2.6) \quad A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu \leq (1-\nu)A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu$$

for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Now, if we take the integral \int_Ω over $d\mu(\tau)$, then we get

$$(2.7) \quad \int_\Omega (A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu) d\mu(\tau) \leq \int_\Omega [(1-\nu)A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma] d\mu(\tau) \\ \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \int_\Omega (A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu) d\mu(\tau).$$

Using the properties of the Bochner's integral and the tensorial product we have

$$\int_\Omega (A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu) d\mu(\tau) = \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_\gamma^\nu$$

and

$$\int_\Omega [(1-\nu)A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma] d\mu(\tau) \\ = (1-\nu) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega$.

From (2.7) we then get

$$(2.8) \quad \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_\gamma^\nu \\ \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma \\ \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_\gamma^\nu$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega$.

If we take the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(\gamma)$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu} \right] d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[(1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_{\gamma} \right] d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu} \right] d\mu(\gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes B_{\gamma}^{\nu} \right] d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & = \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \left[(1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_{\gamma} \right] d\mu(\gamma) \\
 & = (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence by (2.9) we derive (2.1). \square

Corollary 1. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} ((1-\nu) A_{\tau} + \nu B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \\
 & \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
 & \leq \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \\
 & \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we use the identity (1.10) and apply \mathcal{U}^* to the left and \mathcal{U} to the right of inequality (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \mathcal{U}^* \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U} \\
 & \leq \mathcal{U}^* \left[(1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U} \\
 & \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \mathcal{U}^* \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}^* \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma}^{\nu} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}^* \left[(1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U} \\ &= (1-\nu) \mathcal{U}^* \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 \right] \mathcal{U} \\ &+ \nu \mathcal{U}^* \left[1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\gamma} d\mu(\gamma) \right) \right] \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

hence by (2.12), we derive (2.10). \square

Theorem 2. Let $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. Then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad 0 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &- \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m} \right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.14) \quad 0 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &- \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m} \right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.5) we have

$$(2.15) \quad 0 \leq (1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^{\nu} \leq tL \left(1, \frac{M}{m} \right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $t, s \in [m, M]$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Let

$$A = \int_m^M t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_m^M s dF(s)$$

be the spectral resolutions of A and B . Now, if we take the double integral $\int_m^M \int_m^M$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.15), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_m^M \int_m^M [(1-\nu)t + \nu s - t^{1-\nu}s^\nu] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \int_m^M \int_m^M t dE(t) \otimes dF(s), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad 0 &\leq (1-\nu)A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) A \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now, from (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-\nu)A_\tau \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_\gamma - A_\tau^{1-\nu} \otimes B_\gamma^\nu \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) A_\tau \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Now if we use a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce the desired result (2.13). \square

Corollary 2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \quad 0 &\leq \int_\Omega ((1-\nu)A_\tau + \nu B_\tau) d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 \\ &\quad - \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_\Omega B_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.18) \quad 0 &\leq \int_\Omega A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \circ 1 - \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \frac{M}{m}\right) \ln S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ 1. \end{aligned}$$

We also have:

Theorem 3. *Let $(A_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_\tau)_{\tau \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_\tau), \text{Sp}(B_\tau) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. Then for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.19) \quad 1 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^\nu d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_\Omega B_\tau^{-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_\Omega A_\tau^{\nu-1} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_\Omega B_\tau^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq S \left(\frac{M}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq (1 - \nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu-1} d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We also have, by dividing in both sides of (2.3) that

$$(2.21) \quad 1 \leq (1 - \nu) t^{\nu} s^{-\nu} + \nu t^{\nu-1} s^{1-\nu} \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $t, s \in [m, M]$.

Let

$$A = \int_m^M t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_m^M s dF(s)$$

be the spectral resolutions of A and B . Now, if we take the double integral $\int_m^M \int_m^M$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (2.21), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_m^M \int_m^M dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\leq \int_m^M \int_m^M [(1 - \nu) t^{\nu} s^{-\nu} + \nu t^{\nu-1} s^{1-\nu}] dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ &\leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \int_m^M \int_m^M dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.22) \quad 1 \leq (1 - \nu) A^{\nu} \otimes B^{-\nu} + \nu A^{\nu-1} \otimes B^{1-\nu} \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

This implies that

$$1 \leq (1 - \nu) A_{\tau}^{\nu} \otimes B_{\gamma}^{-\nu} + \nu A_{\tau}^{\nu-1} \otimes B_{\gamma}^{1-\nu} \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)$$

for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Now if we use a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce the desired result (2.13). \square

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have*

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq (1 - \nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu-1} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{\nu-1} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1-\nu} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\nu = 1/2$, we also have

$$(2.25) \quad 1 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{1/2} d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{-1/2} d\mu(\tau) \right) \leq S \left(\frac{M}{m} \right).$$

3. RELATED RESULTS

We can state some related results as follows:

Theorem 4. Assume that f, g are continuous and nonnegative on the interval I and there exists $0 \leq \gamma < \Gamma$ such that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \Gamma \text{ for all } t \in I,$$

then for $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subset I$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\ &\leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For any $t, s \in I$ we have

$$\gamma^2 \leq \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)}, \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \leq \Gamma^2.$$

If we use the inequality (1.4) for

$$a = \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)}, \quad b = \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)},$$

then we get

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} \right)^{1-\nu} \left(\frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \right)^\nu \leq (1-\nu) \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} + \nu \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \\ \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} \right)^{1-\nu} \left(\frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \right)^\nu$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

Now, if we multiply (3.3) by $g^2(t)g^2(s) > 0$, then we get

$$(3.4) \quad f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) \\ \leq (1-\nu) f^2(t) g^2(s) + \nu g^2(t) f^2(s) \\ \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s)$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

Assume that

$$A = \int_I t dE(t) \quad \text{and} \quad B = \int_I s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B .

Further on, if we take the double integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (3.4), then we get

$$(3.5) \quad \int_I \int_I f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ \leq (1-\nu) \int_I \int_I f^2(t) g^2(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ + \nu \int_I \int_I g^2(t) f^2(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \int_I \int_I f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s).$$

Since

$$\int_I \int_I f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) \\ = \int_I f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) dE(t) \otimes \int_I f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) dF(s) \\ = \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A) g^{2\nu}(A) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \int_I f^2(t) g^2(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) &= \int_I f^2(t) dE(t) \otimes \int_I g^2(s) dF(s) \\ &= f^2(A) \otimes g^2(B), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \int_I g^2(t) f^2(s) dE(t) \otimes dF(s) &= \int_I g^2(t) dE(t) \otimes \int_I f^2(s) dF(s) \\ &= g^2(A) \otimes f^2(B), \end{aligned}$$

hence by (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A) g^{2\nu}(A) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) f^2(A) \otimes g^2(B) + \nu g^2(A) \otimes f^2(B) \\ & \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A) g^{2\nu}(A) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

From (1.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \leq) & (1-\nu) \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} + \nu \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} - \left(\frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} \right)^{1-\nu} \left(\frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \right)^\nu \\ & \leq \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)} L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

If we multiply by $g^2(t)g^2(s) > 0$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & (1-\nu) f^2(t) g^2(s) + \nu g^2(t) f^2(s) - f^{2(1-\nu)}(t) g^{2\nu}(t) f^{2\nu}(s) g^{2(1-\nu)}(s) \\ & \leq f^2(t) g^2(s) L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t, s \in I$.

If we take the double integral $\int_I \int_I$ over $dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$ in (3.7) and use a similar argument as above, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad & 0 \leq (1-\nu) f^2(A) \otimes g^2(B) + \nu g^2(A) \otimes f^2(B) \\ & \quad - \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A) g^{2\nu}(A) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B) \right) \\ & \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) f^2(A) \otimes g^2(B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now, from (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad & \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_\tau) g^{2\nu}(A_\tau) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B_\gamma) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_\gamma) \right) \\ & \leq (1-\nu) f^2(A_\tau) \otimes g^2(B_\gamma) + \nu g^2(A_\tau) \otimes f^2(B_\gamma) \\ & \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_\tau) g^{2\nu}(A_\tau) \right) \otimes \left(f^{2\nu}(B_\gamma) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_\gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Now, if we take the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(\tau)$ and then the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(\gamma)$ and perform the required calculations as in the proof of Theorem 1 we derive the desired result (3.1).

The inequality (3.2) follows in the same way by employing the inequality (3.9). \square

Corollary 4. *With the assumption of Theorem 4, we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & 0 \leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad + \nu \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(B_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(B_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 1. *If we take $B_{\tau} = A_{\tau}$ for $\tau \in \Omega$ in Corollary 4, then we get*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad 0 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) g^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f^{2\nu}(A_{\tau}) g^{2(1-\nu)}(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we take $\nu = 1/2$ in (3.12) and (3.13), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad &\left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\leq S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad 0 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} f(A_{\tau}) g(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
&\leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega} f^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} g^2(A_{\tau}) d\mu(\tau) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

4. SOME EXAMPLES

Consider the functions $f(t) = t^p$ and $g(t) = t^q$ for $t > 0$ and $p, q \neq 0$. Then

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} = t^{p-q}, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Therefore

$$m^{p-q} \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq M^{p-q} \text{ for } t \in [m, M] \text{ and } p > q$$

and

$$M^{p-q} \leq \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq m^{p-q} \text{ for } t \in [m, M] \text{ and } p < q.$$

Now, assume that $(A_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ and $(B_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Omega}$ are continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_{\tau}), \text{Sp}(B_{\tau}) \subset [m, M]$ for each $\tau \in \Omega$. From Theorem 2 we

get for $p > q$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad & \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \quad + \nu \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & 0 \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \quad + \nu \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\nu = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) + \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) + \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& + \nu \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & 0 \leq (1-\nu) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& + \nu \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \\
& - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\nu = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) + \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) + \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \right) \\
& - \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\
& \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} A_{\tau}^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} B_{\tau}^{2q} d\mu(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if we take $B_\tau = A_\tau$, $\tau \in \Omega$ in (4.5)-(4.8), then we get

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2(1-\nu)p+2\nu q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2\nu p+2(1-\nu)q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\nu = 1/2$,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 & \leq \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau) - \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{p+q} d\mu(\tau) \\ & \leq L \left(1, \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \ln S \left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{2(p-q)} \right) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2p} d\mu(\tau) \circ \int_{\Omega} A_\tau^{2q} d\mu(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, Some tensorial arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequalities for sequences of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **25** (2022), Art.
- [5] A. Korányi, On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520-554.
- [6] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535

- [7] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [8] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241.
- [9] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.*, **74** (1960), pp. 91-98.
- [10] M. Tominaga, Specht's ratio in the Young inequality, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, **55** (2002), 583-588.
- [11] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au`

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA