

**REFINEMENTS AND REVERSES OF TENSORIAL
ARITHMETIC MEAN-GEOMETRIC MEAN INEQUALITIES FOR
SELFADJOINT OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACES**

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let H be a Hilbert space. In this paper we show among others that, if the operators $A_i \in B(H)$ satisfy the condition $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2K} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i$ is defined as a tensorial product of A_i in position $i = 1, \dots, n$ and with 1 in the other positions.

Let $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ be a continuous field of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_t), \text{Sp}(B_t) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $t \in \Omega$. Then for $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we also have the integral inequalities for Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu)A_t + \nu B_t] d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let I_1, \dots, I_k be intervals from \mathbb{R} and let $f : I_1 \times \dots \times I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an essentially bounded real function defined on the product of the intervals. Let $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ be a k -tuple of bounded selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces H_1, \dots, H_k such that the spectrum of A_i is contained in I_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We say that such a k -tuple is in the domain of f . If

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} \lambda_i dE_i(\lambda_i)$$

is the spectral resolution of A_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$; by following [2], we define

$$(1.1) \quad f(A_1, \dots, A_k) := \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_k} f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) dE_1(\lambda_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE_k(\lambda_k)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63; 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Tensorial product, Hadamard Product, Selfadjoint operators, Convex functions.

as a bounded selfadjoint operator on the tensorial product $H_1 \otimes \dots \otimes H_k$.

If the Hilbert spaces are of finite dimension, then the above integrals become finite sums, and we may consider the functional calculus for arbitrary real functions. This construction [2] extends the definition of Korányi [7] for functions of two variables and have the property that

$$f(A_1, \dots, A_k) = f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_k(A_k),$$

whenever f can be separated as a product $f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$ of k functions each depending on only one variable.

It is known that, if f is super-multiplicative (sub-multiplicative) on $[0, \infty)$, namely

$$f(st) \geq (\leq) f(s) f(t) \text{ for all } s, t \in [0, \infty)$$

and if f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, then [9, p. 173]

$$(1.2) \quad f(A \otimes B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \otimes f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

This follows by observing that, if

$$A = \int_{[0, \infty)} t dE(t) \text{ and } B = \int_{[0, \infty)} s dF(s)$$

are the spectral resolutions of A and B , then

$$(1.3) \quad f(A \otimes B) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} f(st) dE(t) \otimes dF(s)$$

for the continuous function f on $[0, \infty)$.

Recall the *geometric operator mean* for the positive operators $A, B > 0$

$$A \#_t B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^t A^{1/2},$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$A \# B := A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

By the definitions of $\#$ and \otimes we have

$$A \# B = B \# A \text{ and } (A \# B) \otimes (B \# A) = (A \otimes B) \# (B \otimes A).$$

In 2007, S. Wada [12] obtained the following *Caltebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.4) \quad (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) \leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A)$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In 2007, S. Wada [12] obtained the following *Caltebaut type inequalities* for tensorial product

$$(1.5) \quad (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) \leq \frac{1}{2} [(A \#_\alpha B) \otimes (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) + (A \#_{1-\alpha} B) \otimes (A \#_\alpha B)] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A)$$

for $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Recall that the *Hadamard product* of A and B in $B(H)$ is defined to be the operator $A \circ B \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$\langle (A \circ B) e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle A e_j, e_j \rangle \langle B e_j, e_j \rangle$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an *orthonormal basis* for the separable Hilbert space H .

It is known that, see [8], we have the representation

$$(1.6) \quad A \circ B = \mathcal{U}^* (A \otimes B) \mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If f is *super-multiplicative* (*sub-multiplicative*) on $[0, \infty)$, then also [9, p. 173]

$$(1.7) \quad f(A \circ B) \geq (\leq) f(A) \circ f(B) \text{ for all } A, B \geq 0.$$

We recall the following elementary inequalities for the Hadamard product

$$A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \circ 1 \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Fiedler inequality

$$A \circ A^{-1} \geq 1 \text{ for } A > 0.$$

As extension of Kadison's Schwarz inequality on the Hadamard product, Ando [1] showed that

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0$$

and Aujla and Vasudeva [3] gave an alternative upper bound

$$A \circ B \leq (A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2} \text{ for } A, B \geq 0.$$

It has been shown in [10] that $(A^2 \circ 1)^{1/2} (B^2 \circ 1)^{1/2}$ and $(A^2 \circ B^2)^{1/2}$ are incomparable for 2-square positive definite matrices A and B .

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we show among others that, if the operators $A_i \in B(H)$ satisfy the condition $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2K} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i$ is defined as a tensorial product of A_i in position $i = 1, \dots, n$ and with 1 in the other positions.

Let $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ be a continuous field of positive operators in $B(H)$ such that $\text{Sp}(A_t), \text{Sp}(B_t) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $t \in \Omega$. Then for $\nu \in [0, 1]$ we also have the integral inequalities for Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1-\nu)A_t + \nu B_t] d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. SOME PRELIMINARY FACTS

Recall the following property of the tensorial product

$$(2.1) \quad (AC) \otimes (BD) = (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)$$

that holds for any $A, B, C, D \in B(H)$.

If we take $C = A$ and $D = B$, then we get

$$A^2 \otimes B^2 = (A \otimes B)^2.$$

By induction and using (2.1) we derive that

$$(2.2) \quad A^n \otimes B^n = (A \otimes B)^n \text{ for natural } n \geq 0.$$

In particular

$$(2.3) \quad A^n \otimes 1 = (A \otimes 1)^n \text{ and } 1 \otimes B^n = (1 \otimes B)^n$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

We also observe that, by (2.1), the operators $A \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes B$ are commutative and

$$(2.4) \quad (A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) = (1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1) = A \otimes B.$$

Moreover, for two natural numbers m, n we have

$$(2.5) \quad (A \otimes 1)^m (1 \otimes B)^n = (1 \otimes B)^n (A \otimes 1)^m = A^m \otimes B^n.$$

By induction over m , we derive

$$(2.6) \quad (A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_m)^n = A_1^n \otimes A_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes A_m^n \text{ for natural } n \geq 0$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_m \\ &= (A_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)(1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \dots (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_m) \end{aligned}$$

and the m operators $(A_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1), (1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes 1), \dots$ and $(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_m)$ are commutative between them.

We define for $A_i, B_i \in B(H), i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n B_i := B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_n$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}_i := 1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_i \otimes \dots \otimes 1, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}_1 := A_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \text{ while } \hat{\mathbf{A}}_n := 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes A_n.$$

Basically $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_i$ is defined as a tensorial product of A_i in position $i = 1, \dots, n$ and with 1 in the other positions.

Theorem 1. *Assume $A_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and with the spectral resolutions*

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} t_i dE(t_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let $f_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be continuous on I_i and φ continuous on an interval K that contains the product of the intervals $f(I_1) \dots f(I_m)$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right) = \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \varphi \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(t_i) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).$$

We also have

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}\right) = \varphi\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}\right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, any continuous function can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials, therefore it suffices to prove the equality for the power function $\varphi(t) = t^n$ with n any natural number.

Then, by (1.1) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} [f_1(t_1) \dots f_m(t_m)]^n dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} [f_1(t_1)]^n \dots [f_m(t_m)]^n dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= [f(A_1)]^n \otimes \dots \otimes [f_m(A_m)]^n = [f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_m(A_m)]^n, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the identity (2.6) is valid for the power function.

This proves the identity (2.8)

By taking $f_j \equiv 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $j \neq i$ in (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_i(A_i) \otimes \dots \otimes 1) &= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \varphi(f_i(t_i)) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= 1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi(f_i(A_i)) \otimes \dots \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.9). □

Corollary 1. Assume $A_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I_i$ and $f_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are continuous and positive on I_i , then

$$(2.10) \quad \ln\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ln\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}\right).$$

Also

$$(2.11) \quad \ln\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}\right) = \ln\left(\widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}\right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Assume that

$$A_i = \int_I t_i dE(t_i)$$

are the spectral resolutions for $A_i, i = 1, \dots, m$.

We have for $\varphi(u) = \ln u$, $u > 0$, in (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln(f_1(A) \otimes \dots \otimes f_m(A_m)) \\
&= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \ln(f_1(t_1) \dots f_m(t_m)) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} [\ln f_1(t_1) + \dots + \ln f_m(t_m)] dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \ln f_1(t_1) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) + \dots \\
&+ \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \ln f_m(t_m) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= (\ln f_1(A_1)) \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes (\ln f_m(A_m))
\end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.10) is proved. \square

Corollary 2. Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I_i$ and f_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are continuous on I_i , then for $r > 0$

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right|^r = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m |f_i(A_i)|^r$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \left| \widehat{\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right)} \right|^r = \widehat{\left| \bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right|^r}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. From (2.8) we have for the function $\varphi(t) = |t|^r$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f_1(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_m(A_m)|^r \\
&= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} |f_1(t_1) \dots f_m(t_m)|^r dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} |f_1(t_1)|^r \dots |f_m(t_m)|^r dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= |f_1(A_1)|^r \otimes \dots \otimes |f_m(A_m)|^r,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.12).

The identity (2.13) follows in a similar way. \square

Corollary 3. Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are positive operators and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, then

$$(2.14) \quad \varphi \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_i^{q_i} \right) = \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \varphi \left(\prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).$$

We also have the additive result:

Theorem 2. Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and with the spectral resolutions

$$A_i = \int_{I_i} t_i dE(t_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let $g_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be continuous on I_i and ψ continuous on an interval K that contains the sum of the intervals $g(I_1) + \dots + g(I_m)$, then

$$(2.15) \quad \psi \left(\widehat{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)} \right) = \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t_i) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).$$

Proof. Let f_i , continuous, positive and such that $g_i(t_i) = \ln f_i(t_i)$, $t_i \in I_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ln f_i(t_i) = \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(t_i) \right).$$

By (2.8) we get for $\varphi = \psi \circ \ln$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t_i) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \psi \left(\ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(t_i) \right) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} (\psi \circ \ln) \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(t_i) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= (\psi \circ \ln) \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right) = \psi \left(\ln \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10) we also have

$$\ln \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ln \widehat{\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)}$$

and the identity (2.15) is obtained. \square

Corollary 4. Assume that $A_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $g_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are as in Theorem 2 and $r > 0$, then

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \widehat{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)} \right|^r = \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t_i) \right|^r dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).$$

Also, if we take $\psi = \exp$, then we get

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp \left(\widehat{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{A}_i)} \right) &= \int_{I_1} \dots \int_{I_m} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t_i) \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &= \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \exp [g_i(A_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

The case of convex combination is as follows:

Corollary 5. Assume $A_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and with the spectral resolutions

$$A_i = \int_I t_i dE(t_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

If $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$, and ψ continuous on I , then

$$(2.18) \quad \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right) = \int_I \dots \int_I \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i \right) dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).$$

Follows by (2.4) for $g_i(t_i) = p_i t_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We have the following refinements and reverses for the tensorial arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality:

Theorem 3. Assume that $A_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)} \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \left[m \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_i^{q_i} \\ &\leq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \left[m \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall the following classical result due to H. Kober, see [11]:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(m-1)} \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{x_i} - \sqrt{x_j})^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i - \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{x_i} - \sqrt{x_j})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$.

Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset I \subset [0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and with the spectral resolutions

$$A_i = \int_I t_i dE(t_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

From (3.2) have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(m-1)} \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i - \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_i \in I$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \dots \int_I$ over $dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m)$ in (3.3), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(m-1)} \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \\
 &\times \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \int_I \dots \int_I t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\quad - \int_I \dots \int_I \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\} \\
 &\times \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m (t_i - 2t_i^{1/2}t_j^{1/2} + t_j) \\
 &= 2 \left[m \sum_{i=1}^m t_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &= 2m \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i=1}^m t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_I \dots \int_I \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_i^{1/2} \right)^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &= 2 \left[m \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where for the last term we employed equality (2.15) for $\psi(u) = u^2$ and $g_i(t_i) = t_i^{1/2}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Also

$$\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \int_I \dots \int_I t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i$$

and

$$\int_I \dots \int_I \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_i^{q_i}$$

and by (3.4) we derive (3.1). \square

Corollary 6. *Assume that $A, B \geq 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \left(A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B - 2A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \nu) A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \\ &\leq \max\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \left(A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B - 2A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows by (3.1) for $m = 2$, $q_1 = \nu$, $q_2 = 1 - \nu$, $A_1 = A$ and $A_2 = B$.

Corollary 7. *Assume that $A, B \geq 0$ and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq 2 \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq (1 - \nu) A \circ 1 + \nu 1 \circ B - A^{1-\nu} \circ B^\nu \\ &\leq 2 \max\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \circ 1 - A^{1/2} \circ B^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have the representation

$$X \circ Y = \mathcal{U}^* (X \otimes Y) \mathcal{U}$$

where $\mathcal{U} : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the isometry defined by $\mathcal{U}e_j = e_j \otimes e_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we take at the left of (3.5) \mathcal{U}^* and at the right \mathcal{U} , then we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \mathcal{U}^* \left(A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B - 2A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \right) \mathcal{U} \\ &\leq \mathcal{U}^* \left[(1 - \nu) A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \right] \mathcal{U} \\ &\leq \max\{\nu, 1 - \nu\} \mathcal{U}^* \left(A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B - 2A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2} \right) \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.6). □

We also have:

Theorem 4. *Assume that $A_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$, then*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_i^{q_i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall the following classical result due to P. H. Diananda, see [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\})} \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{x_i} - \sqrt{x_j})^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i - \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{q_i} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2 \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\}} \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{x_i} - \sqrt{x_j})^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$.

From (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\})} \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i - \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2 \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\}} \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_i \in I$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

If we take the integral $\int_I \dots \int_I$ over $dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m)$ in (3.10), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\})} \\
 &\times \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\quad - \int_I \dots \int_I \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2 \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{q_i\}} \\
 &\times \int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (t_i - 2t_i^{1/2}t_j^{1/2} + t_j) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j t_i - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j t_i^{1/2} t_j^{1/2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j t_j \\
&= 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n q_i t_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i t_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \dots \int_I \sum_{i,j=1}^m q_i q_j (\sqrt{t_i} - \sqrt{t_j})^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \int_I \dots \int_I t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&\quad - 2 \int_I \dots \int_I \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i t_i^{1/2} \right)^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^{1/2} \right)^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last term we employed equality (2.15) for $\psi(u) = u^2$ and $g_i(t_i) = q_i t_i^{1/2}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

By employing the inequality (3.11) we deduce the desired result (3.8). \square

For $m = 2$, $q_1 = \nu$ and $q_2 = 1 - \nu$ observe that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\}} = \frac{1}{\max\{\nu, 1 - \nu\}} = \min\{\nu, 1 - \nu\},$$

which shows that the case $m = 2$ in Theorem 4 gives the same particular case as of Theorem 2.

Theorem 5. Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2K} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i - \bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In 1978, Cartwright and Field [4] obtained the following refinement and reverse for the difference between the arithmetic mean and geometric mean

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2b} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i (x_i - \bar{x}_q)^2 \leq \bar{x}_q - \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2,$$

where $x_i \in [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$, $q_i \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1$ and $\bar{x}_q := \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i (x_i - \bar{x}_q)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}_q \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i + (\bar{x}_q)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i x_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $t_i \in [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ then by (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2K} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i - \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} \leq \frac{1}{2k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

Assume A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are selfadjoint operators with $\text{Sp}(A_i) \subset [k, K] \subset (0, \infty)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and with the spectral resolutions

$$A_i = \int_k^K t_i dE(t_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

If we take the integral $\int_k^K \dots \int_k^K$ over $dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m)$ in (3.10), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 \right] dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K t_i dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &\quad - \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K \prod_{i=1}^m t_i^{q_i} dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2k} \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 \right] dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K \left[\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 \right] dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K t_i^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&\quad - \int_k^K \dots \int_k^K \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i t_i \right)^2 dE(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes dE(t_m) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.15) we obtain (3.12). \square

Corollary 8. *Assume that $m \leq A, B \leq M$ for some constants m, M and $\nu \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} (A^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B^2 - 2A \otimes B) \\
&\leq (1 - \nu) A \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B - A^{1-\nu} \otimes B^\nu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2m} (A^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B^2 - 2A \otimes B)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{A^2 + B^2}{2} \circ 1 - A \circ B \right) \\
&\leq [(1 - \nu) A + \nu B] \circ 1 - A^{1-\nu} \circ B^\nu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{A^2 + B^2}{2} \circ 1 - A \circ B \right).
\end{aligned}$$

4. INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a Radon measure μ . A field $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ of operators in $B(H)$ is called a continuous field of operators if the parametrization $t \mapsto A_t$ is norm continuous on $B(H)$. If, in addition, the norm function $t \mapsto \|A_t\|$ is Lebesgue integrable on Ω , we can form the Bochner integral $\int_\Omega A_t d\mu(t)$, which is the unique operator in $B(H)$ such that $\varphi\left(\int_\Omega A_t d\mu(t)\right) = \int_\Omega \varphi(A_t) d\mu(t)$ for every bounded linear functional φ on $B(H)$. Assume also that, $\int_\Omega 1 d\mu(t) = 1$.

Proposition 1. *Let $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$, then for $\nu \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad 0 &\leq \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
 &\leq (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
 &\leq \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad 0 &\leq \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left(A_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2A_t^{1/2} \otimes B_s^{1/2} \right) \\
 &\leq (1-\nu) A_t \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_s - A_t^{1-\nu} \otimes B_s^{\nu} \\
 &\leq \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \left(A_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2A_t^{1/2} \otimes B_s^{1/2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, s \in \Omega$.

Fix $s \in \Omega$. If we take the \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(t)$ in (4.2), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad 0 &\leq \min\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \int_{\Omega} \left(A_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2A_t^{1/2} \otimes B_s^{1/2} \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[(1-\nu) A_t \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_s - A_t^{1-\nu} \otimes B_s^{\nu} \right] d\mu(t) \\
 &\leq \max\{\nu, 1-\nu\} \int_{\Omega} \left(A_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2A_t^{1/2} \otimes B_s^{1/2} \right) d\mu(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \Omega$.

Since, by the properties of tensorial product and Bochner's integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} \left(A_t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2A_t^{1/2} \otimes B_s^{1/2} \right) d\mu(t) \\
 &= \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes B_s^{1/2} d\mu(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} \left[(1-\nu) A_t \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_s - A_t^{1-\nu} \otimes B_s^{\nu} \right] d\mu(t) \\
 &= (1-\nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_s \\
 &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes B_s^{\nu} d\mu(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & 0 \leq \min \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \\
& \times \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes B_s^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right] \\
& \leq (1 - \nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes B_s \\
& - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes B_s^{\nu} d\mu(t) \\
& \leq \max \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \\
& \times \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_s - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes B_s^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in \Omega$.

Further, by taking the integral \int_{Ω} over $d\mu(s)$, and conducting a similar argument, we derive the desired result (4.1). \square

Corollary 9. *With the assumptions of Proposition 1, we have the following inequalities for the Hadamard product*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & 0 \leq 2 \min \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t + B_t}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1 - \nu) A_t + \nu B_t] d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \\
& - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
& \leq 2 \max \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t + B_t}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that, if we take $B_t = A_t$, for $t \in \Omega$ in (4.5), then we get the simpler inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & 0 \leq 2 \min \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \\
& \times \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
& \leq 2 \max \{ \nu, 1 - \nu \} \\
& \times \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1/2} d\mu(t) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2. Let $(A_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ and $(B_t)_{t \in \Omega}$ be continuous fields of positive operators in $B(H)$ with $\text{Sp}(A_t), \text{Sp}(B_t) \subseteq [m, M] \subset (0, \infty)$ for each $t \in \Omega$, then for $\nu \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^2 d\mu(t) \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
 &\leq (1 - \nu) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + \nu 1 \otimes \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \\
 &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^2 d\mu(t) \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \otimes \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have the Hadamard product inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} [(1 - \nu) A_t + \nu B_t] d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 \\
 &\quad - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{A_t^2 + B_t^2}{2} d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2M} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{1-\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^{\nu} d\mu(t) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) \right) \circ 1 - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \circ \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **26** (1979), 203-241.
- [2] H. Araki and F. Hansen, Jensen's operator inequality for functions of several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), No. 7, 2075-2084.
- [3] J. S. Aujila and H. L. Vasudeva, Inequalities involving Hadamard product and operator means, *Math. Japon.* **42** (1995), 265-272.
- [4] D. I. Cartwright, M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71** (1978), 36-38.
- [5] P. H. Diananda, On some inequalities of H. Kober, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **59** (1963), , 341-346.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **74**(3)(2006), 417-478.

- [7] A. Korányi, On some classes of analytic functions of several variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **101** (1961), 520–554.
- [8] J. I. Fujii, The Marcus-Khan theorem for Hilbert space operators. *Math. Jpn.* **41** (1995), 531-535
- [9] T. Furuta, J. Mičić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities. Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] K. Kitamura and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities on Hadamard product associated with Kadison's Schwarz inequalities, *Scient. Math.* **1** (1998), No. 2, 237-241.
- [11] H. Kober, On the arithmetic and geometric means and on Hölder's inequality. *Proc. American Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 452-459.
- [12] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, *Lin. Alg. & Appl.* **420** (2007), 433-440.

¹MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>

²DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL, AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND,, PRIVATE BAG 3, JOHANNESBURG 2050, SOUTH AFRICA