

ON THE OSCILLATORY BEHAVIOUR OF SOME SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

Juan E. Nápoles Valdés

February 28, 2001

Abstract

In that paper, we obtain some results on the nonoscillatory behaviour of system (1), which contains as particular cases, some well known systems. By negation, oscillation criterior are derived for that systems. Some well known oscillation criteria are obtained as particular cases.

Universidad de la Cuenca del Plata
Plácido Martínez 964
(3400) Corrientes
Argentina

Universidad Tecnológica Nacional
French 414 U.D.B. Matemáticas
(3500) Resistencia, Chaco
Argentina

e-mail: cuencadelplata@arnet.com.ar and napoles33@latinmail.com

AMS Subject Classification (1991):

Primary: 34C15

Secondary: 34C10, 34D05

Key words and phrases: nonlinear oscillations, oscillation and nonoscillation theorems

1 Preliminars

We are concerned with the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of the following second order nonlinear differential system:

$$\begin{aligned}x' &= a(t)x + b(t)f(y), \\y' &= -c(t)g(x) + d(t)y,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where the functions a, b, c, d of the independent variable t are real-valued and continuous on $[t_0, +\infty)$, for some $t_0 \geq 0$ with $b(t) > 0$. The functions f and g are also real-valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R} such that:

- i) $g'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $xg(x) > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.
- ii) $yf(y) > 0$ for all $y \neq 0$.

Further conditions will be imposed in the appropriate moments.

A solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (1) is said continuable if it exists on some interval $[t_0, +\infty)$. A continuable solution is said to be oscillatory if one (or both) of your components has an infinite number of zeros with ∞ as the only accumulation point. The system (1) is said to be oscillatory if all continuable solutions $(x(t), y(t))$ are oscillatory.

That the oscillatory nature of the equation:

$$y'' + q(t)y = 0, t \in [0, \infty)\tag{L}$$

and the existence of solutions of Riccati equations:

$$r'(t) = r^2(t) + q(t), t \in [a, \infty) \text{ with } a > 0,\tag{R}$$

are closely related is well known. Many important results in the oscillation theory of (L) are in fact established by studying (R), see [11-12] and [15]. Particularly useful in that studies is the theory of differential and integral inequalities (see [9] and [19]). The present work supports this view point.

Kwong and Wong (see [14]) have studied the oscillatory nature of system:

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= a_1(t)f(y), \\
y' &= -a_2(t)g(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2}$$

which includes the classical Emden-Fowler systems:

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= a_1(t) |y|^\lambda \operatorname{sgn} y, \\
y' &= -a_2(t) |x|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} x,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}$$

studied by Mirzov in the papers [18-20]. Further details can be found in [11].

In [6] Elbert studied a some nonlinear system of type:

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= a(t)y + b(t)y^{\frac{1}{n}*}, \\
y' &= -c(t)x^{n*} + d(t)y,
\end{aligned}
\tag{E}$$

where the number n is positive and the star above the exponent denotes the power function preserves the sign of function, for example, $x^{n*} = |x|^n \operatorname{sgn} x$. It is clear that system (E) is an Emden-Fowler type system (M).

The goal of this work is to obtain some results on the nonoscillatory behaviour of system (1), which contain as particular cases, the systems (2), (M) and (E). By negation, oscillation criterion are derived. The method used contain the Hartman's method applied to the linear second order differential equation (see [8, Ch XI]). In the section 3 we present some examples and remarks, and various well known oscillation criteria are obtained.

2 The system (1).

First we generalize the Riccati equations to the system (1).

Let the system (1) be nonoscillatory and the interval $[t_1, +\infty)$ be a disconjugacy interval (see [2] or [8]) and $(x(t), y(t))$ be a solution of (1) such that $x(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Let the function $r = r(t)$ be defined by:

$$r = \frac{f(y)}{g(x)}, \quad (4)$$

then r is continuous and satisfies the generalized Riccati equation:

$$r'' + p(t)r^2 + q(t)r + s(t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $p(t) = b(t)g'(x(t))$, $s(t) = c(t)f'(y(t))$ and $q(t) = a(t)\frac{g'(x(t))}{g(x(t))}x(t) - d(t)\frac{f'(y(t))}{f(y(t))}y(t)$.

This follows easily by differentiating (3) and making use of (1).

For convenience, we introduce the following function:

$$\lambda^*(t) = \exp\left(\int_{t_1}^t q(s)ds\right). \quad (6)$$

Then we can define the set A of the admissible pairs (λ, μ) (see [6]) of the functions $\lambda(t), \mu(t)$ by the following restrictions:

6a) $\lambda(t), \mu(t)$ are continuous, positive and λ^* is continuously differentiable on $[t_1, +\infty)$,

$$6b) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)}{\mu(t)} \left| \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right|^2 dt < \infty,$$

$$6c) \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt = \infty,$$

$$6d) \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \frac{\mu^2(t)\lambda(t)}{p(t)}}{\left(\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt\right)^2} < \infty.$$

Clearly, the existence of the set A depends heavily on the coefficients a, b, d of the system (1) and we will suppose that it is nonempty, moreover, for the sake convenience, that there are functions m such that $(\lambda^*, \mu) \in A$.

With respect to the fourth coefficient c , we shall research the behaviour of the function $H(T)$ defined by

$$H(T) = \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) (\lambda(u)s(u)du) dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt}. \quad (7)$$

This function can be considered as the cuasi average of the function $h(t) = \int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)du$. It is clear that if the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \overline{C}$ holds where \overline{C} may be finite or infinite then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \overline{C}$. This property will be called averaging property of $H(T)$.

In this paper, we shall use the well know inequality:

$$2|uv| \leq |u|^2 + |v|^2. \quad (8)$$

We now state the following results relative to the function $H(T)$.

Lemma 1. Let the system (1) be nonoscillatory and let $(x(t), y(t))$ be a solution such that $x(t) \equiv 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$ with some $t_1 \geq t_0$. Let the function $r(t)$ be given by (3). If for some function $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ of a pair $(\lambda, \mu) \in A$ the inequality:

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds < \infty, \quad (9)$$

holds, then the function $H(T)$ defined by (7), corresponding μ , is bounded on $[t_1, \infty)$. If $\lambda = \lambda^*$ then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \overline{C}$ exists and is finite.

Proof. Multiplying (4) by λ and integrating from t_1 to t , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} r(t)\lambda(t) + \int_{t_1}^t r(s) \left[\lambda(s) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(s) \right] ds + \int_{t_1}^t p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds + \\ + \int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)ds - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

since $q(s) = \frac{(\lambda^*(s))'}{\lambda^*(s)}$. Putting:

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= (2(1-\varepsilon)p(t)\lambda(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}r(t) \\
&\text{and} \\
v(t) &= \left[\lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right] (2(1-\varepsilon)p(t)\lambda(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

we deduce from (8), with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, that:

$$\left| r(t) \left[\lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right] \right| \leq (1-\varepsilon)p(t)\lambda(t) |r(t)|^2 + \gamma(\varepsilon) \frac{\left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right|^2}{p(t)\lambda(t)},$$

where $\gamma(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4(1-\varepsilon)}$. Hence by (10):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| r(t)\lambda(t) + \int_{t_1}^t p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds + \int_{t_1}^t \lambda(s)c(s)g(s)ds - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \int_{t_1}^t |r(s)| \left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right| ds \leq \\
&\leq (1-\varepsilon) \int_{t_1}^t p(s)\lambda(s) |r(s)|^2 ds + \gamma(\varepsilon) \int_{t_1}^t \frac{\left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right|^2}{p(t)\lambda(t)} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From this inequality it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&r(t)\lambda(t) + \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds + \int_{t_1}^t \lambda(s)c(s)g(s)ds - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) \leq \\
&\leq \gamma(\varepsilon) \frac{\int_{t_1}^t \left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right|^2 ds}{p(t)\lambda(t)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using (6b) and (9):

$$\int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)du - C_1 \leq \lambda(t) |r(t)|, \quad (14)$$

where $C_1 = r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) + \gamma(\varepsilon) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t)|^2}{p(t)\lambda(t_1)} ds + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds$.
 Multiplying (14) by μ , integrating over $[t_1, T]$ and using the definition of function H, we obtain:

$$H(T) - C_1 \leq \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t) |r(t)| dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt} := L(T). \quad (15)$$

From this we can derive two relations for the function $L(T)$. The first is a simple consequence of the Holder inequality:

$$0 \leq L(T) \leq \left[\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \frac{\lambda(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt}{\left(\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt \right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{t_1}^T p(t)\lambda(t)r^2(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (16)$$

Let T_1 be an arbitrary number such that $T_1 > t_1$. Using again the Holder inequality we get the second relation:

$$L(T) \leq \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t)|r(t)|dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt} + \left[\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \frac{\lambda(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt}{\left(\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt \right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{t_1}^T p(t)\lambda(t)r^2(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (6c)-(6d) we obtain:

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} L(T) \leq \left[\sup_{T > T_1} \frac{\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt}{\left(\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt \right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{T_1}^{\infty} p(t)\lambda(t)r^2(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

from this, (9) and the second relation for $L(T)$ we have, by letting $T_1 \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} L(T) = 0. \quad (17)$$

It then follows by (15) that $\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) \leq C_1$. Is easy the formulation of a lower estimate for $H(T)$ using the second inequality involved in (12) and leave to the reader. So, we have that $H(T) - C_2 \geq -L(T)$, where:

$$C_2 = r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) - (2-\varepsilon) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)\lambda(s)r(s)^2 ds - \gamma(\varepsilon) \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \frac{\left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right|^2}{p(t)\lambda(t)} ds,$$

using again (19) we obtain $\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) \geq C_2$ this, together with the above relations, proves the first part of lemma.

If $\lambda = \lambda^*$, from (10) we have:

$$0 = r(t)\lambda^*(t) - \int_t^{\infty} p(s)r^2(s)\lambda^*(s)ds + \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u)s(u)du - C, \quad (18)$$

with $C = r(t_1)\lambda^*(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)r^2(s)\lambda^*(s)ds$. (18) after multiplying by μ and integrating between t_1 and T ($T > t_1$) yields:

$$|H(T) - C| \leq \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \int_t^{\infty} b(s)\lambda^*(s)r^2(s)ds dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt} + \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)\lambda^*(t)|r(t)| dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt},$$

(9) implies that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} b(t)\lambda^*(t)r^2(t)dt$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ hence the first term of the right hand side tends to zero, while the second term is $L(T)$, which tends to zero using (16) and (17), therefore $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) = C$. Thus, the proof is complete. ■

Let the functions $S(T)$ and $M(T)$ be introduced for $T > t_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S(T) &= \int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left(\int_{t_1}^t p(s)\lambda(s)r^2(s)ds \right) dt, \\ M(T) &= \int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By (6c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(T) = \infty$. We assume that:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^T p(t)\lambda(t)r^2(t)dt = \infty. \quad (20)$$

Using the averaging property of the function $H(T)$ we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(T)}{M(T)} = \infty. \quad (21)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S(T) = \infty. \quad (22)$$

But, by the inequality (6b) we can write (13) as

$$r(t)\lambda(t) + \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^t p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds \leq C_1 - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)du,$$

where the constant is the same as in (14). Multiplying this last inequality by μ and using (7) after integration we have:

$$\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t)r(t)dt}{M(T)} + \varepsilon \frac{S(T)}{M(T)} \leq C_1 - H(T). \quad (23)$$

Putting:

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) > -\infty, \quad (24)$$

we have that the right hand side of (23) is bounded from above, then from (21) it will be less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{S(T)}{M(T)}$ for $T > T_1$ with some T_1 sufficiently large. Consequently we obtain:

$$\varepsilon \frac{S(T)}{M(T)} < \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t)r(t)dt}{M(T)} = L(T) \text{ for } T > T_1, \quad (25)$$

with $L(T)$ as in (15). From (19) we deduce:

$$S'(T) = \mu(T) \int_{t_1}^T p(t)\lambda(t)r^2(t)dt, M' = \mu,$$

the estimates (16) with (15) implies:

$$L^2 \leq \left(\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \frac{\lambda(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt}{M^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{S'(T)}{M'(T)}, \quad (26)$$

from (6d) we have sufficiently large T_1 and N that:

$$\left(\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \frac{\lambda(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt}{M^2(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < N \text{ for } T > T_1.$$

Combining this with (25) and (26) we get that:

$$\gamma_1 M' M^{-2} < N^{\frac{1}{2}} S' S^{-2}, \text{ for } T > T_1, \quad (27)$$

where γ_1 is a positive constant depending only on n .

We have, by (22) and (6c) that $\gamma_1 M^{\frac{1}{2}} < N^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$, hence $\frac{S}{M} < N\gamma_1^{-2}$ for $T > T_1$ which contradicts to (20), hence the relation (19) is valid. This shows that we can apply the lemma and we can obtain, under simple conditions, the following result.

Theorem 1. Let us suppose that system (1) be nonoscillatory and disconjugate on $[t_1, \infty)$, and the pair of the functions (λ, μ) be admissible for (1). If the function $H(T)$ defined by (7) fulfils the relations (24), then the relation (9) is valid and the function $H(T)$ is bounded on $[t_1, \infty)$. Moreover in the case $\lambda = \lambda^*$, the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = C, \quad (28)$$

with C finite, holds.

Remark 1. It is clear that the above theorem is a conversion of Lemma 1, under suitable assumptions.

In the next result, we formulate a sufficient criterion for oscillation of the solutions of the system (1).

Theorem 2. Let (λ, μ) be an admissible pair for the system (1). If for some $t_1 > t_0$ the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty$ holds then the system (1) is oscillatory. Also, if for an admissible pair (λ^*, μ) the relations $\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) > \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) > -\infty$ hold then the system (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assuming the opposite, suppose that the system (1) is nonoscillatory. By the assumptions on $H(T)$ the condition (24) is fulfilled, hence the Theorem 1 is valid, thus the limit of function $H(T)$, if any, had to be finite, this is the desired contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 2. It is not difficult to show that the limits here are independent of the choice of the value t_1 .

The Theorem 2 may be simplified by the following:

Corollary. Let λ be a function such that there exists at least one function μ satisfying $(\lambda, \mu) \in A$. If the relation:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t) s(t) dt = \infty, \quad (29)$$

holds for some $t_1 \geq t_0$ then system (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. We consider the function $H(T)$ for $T > t_1$. From definition of $H(T)$ the limit in (29) yields the same limit for $H(T)$, i.e., $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty$. Theorem 2 implies that the system (1) can be only oscillatory. ■

Under stronger restrictions on the pairs (λ, μ) can be established a more stringent criterion for nonoscillation, thus we have:

Theorem 3. Let us suppose that the system (1) be nonoscillatory and disconjugate on $[t_1, \infty)$. Let (λ^*, μ) be a pair of functions satisfying the conditions (6a), (6c) and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\lambda^*(t)}{p(t)}}{\int_{t_1}^t \mu(s) ds} < \infty. \quad (6d')$$

Moreover let the relation (24) be valid. Then the relation (28) holds and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left| C - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u) s(u) du \right|^2 dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Proof. We show that the pair (λ^*, μ) under restrictions of Theorem 3 is admissible, i.e., it fulfils (6d), too. We have, from (6d)', for sufficiently large N and T_1 that:

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda^*(t)\mu(t)}{p(t)}}{M(t)} < N \text{ for all } t > T_1, \quad (31)$$

where M is defined by (19). Since $M'(t) = \mu(t)$, we have that:

$$\frac{\lambda^*(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} < NM(t)M'(t) \text{ for all } t > T_1,$$

putting $K(T) = \int_{t_1}^T \frac{\lambda^*(t)\mu^2(t)}{p(t)} dt$ we have by integration:

$$K(T) - K(T_1) < N \frac{M^2(T) - M^2(T_1)}{2} \text{ for } T > T_1,$$

from here we obtain $\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(T)}{M^2(T)} \leq \frac{N}{2}$ in other words, the relation (6d) holds.

Thus the pair (λ^*, μ) is admissible and the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, therefore the relation (9) holds and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T) = C$ (with C finite).

Repeating the proof of lemma and rewriting (18) in the form:

$$\left| C - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u) s(u) du \right|^2 = \left| r(t)\lambda^*(t) - \int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)\lambda^*(s)r(s) ds \right|^2.$$

We have $\left| C - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u) s(u) du \right|^2 \leq 2 \left\{ r^2(t) (\lambda^*(t))^2 + \left(\int_{t_1}^{\infty} p(s)\lambda^*(s)r(s) ds \right)^2 \right\}$
and then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left| C - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u) s(u) du \right|^2 dt}{M(T)} &\leq 2 \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) r^2(t) (\lambda^*(t))^2 dt}{M(T)} + \\
&+ 2 \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left(\int_t^\infty b(s) r^2(s) ds \right)^2 dt}{M(T)} = M_1(T) + M_2(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From averaging property of function $H(T)$, M_2 tends to zero as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Let T_1 be as large as in (31), then we have for all $T \geq T_2 > T_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{M_1(T)}{2} &= \frac{\int_{t_1}^{T_2} \mu(t) r^2(t) (\lambda^*(t))^2 dt + \int_{T_2}^T \frac{b(t) \lambda^*(t) r^2(t) (\lambda^*(t)) \mu(t)}{p(t)} dt}{M(T)} < \\
&< \frac{\int_{t_1}^{T_2} \mu(t) r^2(t) (\lambda^*(t))^2 dt + NM(T) \int_{T_2}^T b(t) \lambda^*(t) r^2(t) dt}{M(T)},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore:

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_1(T)}{2} \leq N \int_{T_2}^\infty p(t) \lambda^*(t) r^2(t) dt \text{ for all } T_2 > T_1,$$

hence by (9), $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} M_1(T) = 0 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} M_2(T)$ thus (32) implies the desired conclusion. This completes the proof. ■

In the next theorem, we obtain a companion criterion for oscillation of system (1).

Theorem 4. Let (λ^*, μ) be an admissible pair for the system (1) satisfying the relation (6d³). If the function $H(T)$ defined by (7) satisfies the relation (28) and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left| C - \int_{t_1}^t \lambda^*(u) s(u) du \right|^2 dt}{M(T)} > 0,$$

then system (1) is oscillatory.

The proof of this last result is omitted because is based on ideas of proof of Theorem 2.

Can be established another nonoscillation criterion if the relation (6b) is omitted. But is necessary defines the set \bar{A} of the pairs (λ, μ) by the conditions (6a), (6c) and (6d).

Hence the requirement (6b) is dropped and therefore $A \subset \bar{A}$. Similarly, let

$$\overline{H(T)} = \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left(\int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)du - \gamma(\varepsilon) \frac{\left| \lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t) \right|^2}{p(t)\lambda(t)} \right) dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt}, \quad (33)$$

thus, we can rewrite (13) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & r(t)\lambda(t) + \int_{t_1}^t p(s)r^2(s)\lambda(s)ds - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) + \\ & + \int_{t_1}^T \left(\lambda(t)s(t) - \gamma(\varepsilon) \frac{\left| \lambda(s) \frac{(\lambda^*(s))'}{\lambda^*(s)} - \lambda'(s) \right|^2}{b(t)\lambda(t)} \right) dt \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence by (33):

$$\overline{H(T)} - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1) \leq \frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t) |r(t)| dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) dt} = L(T). \quad (34)$$

By (6c)-(6d) and (9) the relation (17) is true, so the function $\overline{H(T)}$ is bounded from above. We suppose that the relation (9) is not true, then the functions $S(T)$, $M(T)$ given by (19) satisfy the relations in (21), (22). From (34) we have:

$$\frac{\int_{t_1}^T \lambda(t)\mu(t)r(t)dt}{M(T)} + \varepsilon \frac{S(T)}{M(T)} \leq \overline{H(T)} - r(t_1)\lambda(t_1).$$

But the right hand side is bounded from above, hence we have for sufficiently large T_1 the relation (25) and by the same way we would have the boundedness of the quotient $\frac{S}{M}$ for large $T \geq T_1$, but this contradicts to (21). Again the inequality (9) holds and according to the above, the function $\overline{H(T)}$ is bounded above. Hence we have the following:

Theorem 5. Let us suppose that system (1) be nonoscillatory and let $(x(t), y(t))$ be a solution such that $x(t) \neq 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. Let r as above. If for the function λ of a pair $(\lambda, \mu) \in \overline{A}$ the inequality (9) holds then with the corresponding μ the function $\overline{H(T)}$ is bounded from above. On the other hand if $\overline{H(T)}$ in (33) is bounded from below and system (1) is nonoscillatory then the inequality (9) holds again and, consequently, $\overline{H(T)}$ is bounded from above.

The next result is obtained as a consequence of this theorem.

Theorem 6. Let the pair $(\lambda, \mu) \in \overline{A}$. If for some $t_1 \geq t_0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ the relation:

$$\frac{\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^T \mu(t) \left(\int_{t_1}^t \lambda(u)s(u)du - \gamma(\varepsilon) \frac{|\lambda(t) \frac{(\lambda^*(t))'}{\lambda^*(t)} - \lambda'(t)|^2}{(b(t)\lambda(t))^n} \right) dt}{\int_{t_1}^T \mu(t)dt} = \infty,$$

holds, then system (1) is oscillatory.

Remark 3. The results obtained are consistent with the well know oscillatory case $x' = by$, $y' = -cx$ (b, c positive constants). Is enough take the pair $\lambda(t) = [b(t - t_1)]^\alpha$, $\alpha < 1$ and $\mu(t) = bt$.

Remark 4. Elbert [6] gave information on the oscillatory nature of equation (E), i.e., system (1) with $f(y) = y^{\frac{1}{n}}$ and $g(x) = x^n$. So, our results contains those given in that paper, in particular, the Examples 1, 2 and 3 still valid.

Methodological Remark. From Theorem 2 and (7), we can obtain various well known integral criteria for oscillation of some class of differential equations of second order, rewrite in the Riccati form (4). The following results are devoted to clarify that.

In [35] the author gave the following oscillation result for equation

$$x'' + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)x(t) = 0, \quad (\text{YJ})$$

where p and q are continuous on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 > 0$, and p and q are allowed to take on negative values for arbitrarily large t .

[35, Theorem]. If there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^\alpha s^\beta q(s) ds = \infty, \quad (c_I)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^\alpha} \int_{t_0}^t [(t-s)p(s)s + \alpha s - \beta(t-s)]^2 (t-s)^{\alpha-2} s^{\beta-2} ds < \infty, \quad (c_{II})$$

then (YJ) is oscillatory.

From definition of $H(t)$ and our Theorem 2, taking $\mu(t) = \alpha(t-t_0)^{\alpha-1}$, and we obtain the desired conclusion without making use of (c_{II}) .

In [4] is studied the equation

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + h(t)f(x(t))x'(t) + y(t, x(t)) = H(t, x(t), x'(t)) \quad (\text{EME})$$

where $f : R \rightarrow R$, $r, h : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$, $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\Psi : [t_0, \infty) \times R \rightarrow R$, $H : [t_0, \infty) \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions, $r(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. For all $x \neq 0$ and for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ we assume that there exists continuous functions $g : R \rightarrow R$ and $p, q : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that

$$xg(x) > 0, g'(x) \geq k > 0, x \neq 0; \frac{\Psi(t, x)}{g(x)} \geq q(t), \frac{H(t, x, x')}{g(x)} \leq p(t), x \neq 0. \quad (c_1)$$

And he considered the equation (EME) of sublinear type, e.g., satisfying

$$0 < \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{du}{g(u)} < \infty, 0 < \int_0^{-\varepsilon} \frac{du}{g(u)} < \infty, \varepsilon > 0. \quad (c_2)$$

The main result of that paper is the following result.

[4, **Theorem 1**]. Suppose (c_1) and (c_2) hold. Furthermore, assume that

$$f(x) \geq -c, c > 0, x \in R, \quad (c_8)$$

$$r(t) \text{ is bounded for } t \in [t_0, \infty), \text{ i.e., } 0 < r(t) \leq a, a > 0, \quad (c_9)$$

there exists a continuously differentiable function $\rho(t)$ on $[t_0, \infty)$

$$\text{such that } \rho(t) > 0, \rho'(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \rho''(t) \leq 0 \text{ on } [t_0, \infty), \quad (c_{10})$$

$$\text{and } \gamma(t) = \rho'(t)r(t) + c\rho(t)h(t) \geq 0, \gamma'(t) \leq 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0,$$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \rho(s)(q(s) - p(s))ds > -\infty \quad (c_{11})$$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{ds}{\rho(s)} \right)^{-1} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \rho(u)(q(u) - p(u))duds = \infty. \quad (c_{12})$$

Then equation (EME) is oscillatory.

Easily we obtain the assumption (c_{12}) from definition of $H(t)$ and making use of Theorem 2. Let us note that assumption (c_{11}) holds.

3 3. Some particular cases and related results.

We present here, some illustrative examples to show how known oscillation criteria for different equations can be obtained using Corollary 1.

Example 1. Kwong has shown in [12] that for the equation $x'' + q(t)x = 0$, a sufficient condition for oscillation is that $\int_0^\infty \overline{Q}(t)dt = \infty$ for some $\gamma > 1$ and where $\overline{Q}(t) = \min(Q_+, 1) = \min(\max(Q(t), 0), 1)$ with $Q(t) = \int_0^t q(s)ds$. In this case $\lambda^*(t) \equiv 1$, and we choose $\lambda(t) = \mu(t) \equiv 1$. It is not difficult to show that they form an admissible pair for this equation if $Q(\infty) = \infty$. Therefore, we have a criterion comparable with the Corollary 5 of this paper.

Example 2. For the equation

$$x'' + a(t)g(x) = 0, \quad (35)$$

studied by Burton and Grimmer in [1], we known that if $a(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and g satisfy the condition ii) of section 1, a necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of this equation is that:

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty a(t)g[\pm k(t - t_1)]dt = \pm\infty, \quad (36)$$

for some $k > 0$ and all t_1 . Also in this case $\lambda^*(t) \equiv 1$. Let $\lambda(t) \equiv 1$, $\mu(t) = g[k(t - t_1)]$ with $k > 0$ and $t_1 \geq 0$. Then the pair $(1, a(t)g[k(t - t_1)])$ is admissible for this equation if,

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty a(t)dt = \infty, \quad (37)$$

which coincides with the sufficiency of the above result.

Other admissible pair is and $\lambda = t^\alpha (\alpha < 1)$ and $\mu(t) \equiv 1$, under the same condition (37).

By the other hand, under assumption (36), the class of equation (35) is not very large, but if this condition is not fulfilled, we can exhibit equations that have nonoscillatory solutions. For example, the equation

$$x'' + (kt^\lambda \sin t) |x|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x = 0, t > 0,$$

(see [22]) where k, γ and $\gamma > 0$ are constants, has a nonoscillatory solution if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda < -1 \text{ for } \gamma > 1, \\ \lambda < -1, k \text{ arbitrary and } \gamma = 1, \\ \lambda = -1, |k| \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } \gamma = 1, \\ \lambda < -\gamma \text{ for } 0 < \gamma < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Further details can be found in [22-26].

Example 3. The Corollaries 1 and 3 of [13] for the equation $y''(t) + a(t)|y(t)|^\gamma \text{sign}y(t) = 0$ ($\gamma > 1$), can be obtained choosing the following pairs $\lambda(t) \equiv 1$, $\mu(t) = \int_{t_1}^t a(s)ds$, under condition (37) (Corollary 1) and $\lambda(t) = \phi(t)$, $\mu(t) \equiv 1$, with ϕ some positive nondecreasing function of class $C^1[0, \infty)$ satisfying:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(\phi'(t))^2}{\phi(t)} dt < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \phi(s)a(s)ds = \infty,$$

for integrable coefficient case, $\int_0^\infty a(t)dt < \infty$, (Corollary 3).

Remark 5. Using these examples and Theorems 2 and 4, it is easy to see how to obtain the oscillation results of Kwong and Zettl [15] (Theorems 4 and 7 and Corollaries 9 and 10), Wong [34], Yan [36] (see example 2 and, mainly, final remark), Kwong and Wong [13] (Theorems 1, 2 and 3), Lewis and Wright [15] (see example 1 of this work with $m = n \equiv 1$) and Repilado and Ruiz [31] (Theorem 1, also cf. Example 1 above). The details are lengthy but essentially routine, therefore they are left to the reader.

Remark 6. Our results are consistent with several earlier results on oscillatory nature of second order nonlinear differential equation closed to system (1). We consider the equation (see [17]):

$$[r(t)\Phi(u'(t))] + c(t)\Phi(u(t)) = 0.$$

Making $v(t) = r(t)\Phi(u(t))$ we obtain the Emden-Fowler type system:

$$u' = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{v(t)}{r(t)} \right), v' = -c(t)\Phi(u(t)). \quad (38)$$

If in (38) we make $r(t) \equiv 1$ and $\Phi(s) = s$, then that system reduces the linear equation (L). Many criteria for oscillation of (L) have been found which involve the behaviour of the integral of $c(t)$. It is easily seen from Theorem 2 (or Theorem 4) we can obtain special cases of results of [3], [7], [17], [32] and results of Wintner [33] and Kamenev [10].

Remark 7. The above remark still valid if we consider the equation $(p(t)x'(t))' + q(t)x(t) = 0$ (see [28]).

Remark 8. In [5] the authors studied the second order nonlinear differential equation:

$$(r(t)f(x'))' + p(t)f(g(x), r(t)f(x')) + q(t)g(x) = 0,$$

under suitable assumptions. An admissible pair is $(1, \rho(t)q(t))$, where ρ is a positive and differentiable function defined on $[t_0, \infty)$. It is clear that Theorem 3 of [5] can be obtained from Theorem 4 under milder conditions.

Remark 9. From results of [29], [30] and ideas presented here, we can obtain generalizations to bidimensional system:

$$x' = \alpha(y) - \beta(y)f(x), y' = -a(t)g(x), \quad (39)$$

(which contain the classical Liénard equation). This is not a trivial problem. The resolution implies obtaining results likewise to Theorems 2 and 4 for completing the study of oscillatory nature of solutions of (39).

Remark 10. In [27] the author studied the equation $(p(t)j(x'(t)))' + f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0$, which is equivalent to system:

$$x' = \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{p(t)} \right), y' = -f \left(t, x, \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{p(t)} \right) \right),$$

a system of type (1) with $a \equiv 0$, $b \equiv 1$ and $-c(t)g(x) + d(t)y = -f \left(t, x, \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{p(t)} \right) \right)$. From that paper and ideas used here, raises the following open problem:

Under which conditions we can obtain analogous results to Theorems 2 and 4, valid for the equation

$$(p(t)\varphi(x'(t)))' + f(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0?$$

4 REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Burton and R. Grimmer-"On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of $x'' + a(t)f(x) = 0$ ", Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 70(1971), 77-88.
- [2] W.A. Coppel-"Disconjugacy", Lectures Notes in Math., 220, Springer, 1971.
- [3] M. Del Pino and R. Manasevich-"Oscillation and nonoscillation for $(|u|^{p-2}u)' + a(t)|u|^{p-2}u = 0, p > 1$ ", Houston J. Math. 14(1988), 173-179.
- [4] E.M. Elabassy-"On the oscillation of perturbed second order nonlinear damped differential equations", Anal. St. Univ. "AL.I.Cuza", XLII(1996), 391-404.
- [5] E.M. Elabassy and M.A. Elsharabasy-"Oscillation properties for second order nonlinear differential equations", Kyungpook Math. J. 37(1997), 211-220.
- [6] A. Elbert-"Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for some nonlinear ordinary differential equations", Lectures Notes in Math., 964 (1982), 187-212.
- [7] A. Elbert and I. Kusano-"Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for a class of second order quasilinear differential equations", Acta Math. Hung. 56(1990), 325-336.
- [8] P. Hartman-"Ordinary Differential Equations", Willey, New York, 1964.
- [9] M. L. J. Hautus-"Uniformly asymptotic formulas for the Emden-Fowler differential equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 30 (1970), 680-694.
- [10] I. V. Kamenev-"An integral criterion for oscillation of linear differential equations of second order", Math. Zametki 23 (1978), 249-251 (Russian).
- [11] I. I. Kiguradze and T. A. Chanturia-"Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous ordinary differential equations", Fizmathit, Moscú, 1990 (Russian).
- [12] M. K. Kwong-"On certain Riccati integral equations and second-order linear oscillation", J. Math. Anal. and Appl., Vol.85, No.2, 1982, 315-330.
- [13] M. K. Kwong and J. S. W. Wong-"Linearization of second-order nonlinear oscillation theorems", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 279 (1983), 705-722.
- [14] M. K. Kwong and J. S. W. Wong-"Oscillation of Emden-Fowler systems", Diff. and Int. Equations, Vol.1 (1988), 133-141.
- [15] M. K. Kwong and A. Zettl-"Asymptotically constant functions and

- second order linear oscillation", J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 93(1983), 475-494.
- [16] R. T. Lewis and L. C. Wright-"Comparison and oscillation criteria for selfadjoint vector-matrix differential equations", Pacific J. of Math., 90(1980), 125-134.
- [17] H. J. Li and C. C. Yeh-"An integral criterion for oscillation of nonlinear differential equations", Math. Japonica 41(1995), 185-188.
- [18] B. N. Mehta and R. Aris-"A note on a form of the Emden-Fowler equation", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 36(1971), 611-621.
- [19] D. D. Mirzov-"Oscillations of solutions of a system of nonlinear differential equations", Differentsialnie Uravnenija 9(1973), 581-583 (Russian).
- [20] D. D. Mirzov-"The oscillations of solutions of a system of nonlinear differential equations", Mat. Zametki 4 (1974), 571-576 (Russian).
- [21] D. D. Mirzov-"Oscillation properties of solutions of a nonlinear differential equations", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 98(1986), 109-112.
- [22] M. Naito-"Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order differential equations with integrable coefficients", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 282(1984), 577-588.
- [23] M. Naito-"Nonoscillatory solutions of second order differential equations with integrable coefficients", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109(1990), 769-774.
- [24] M. Naito-"Integral averages and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order differential equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 164(1992), 370-380.
- [25] M. Naito and Y. Naito-"Solutions with prescribed number of zeros for nonlinear second order differential equations", Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 37(1994), 505-520.
- [26] M. Naito and Y. Naito-"Integral averaging techniques for the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of second order ordinary differential equations", Hiroshima Math. J. 24(1994), 657-670.
- [27] J. E. Nápoles-"On oscillatory behaviour of some second order nonlinear differential equation", Panamerican J. of Math., 4(1999), 35-53.
- [28] J. E. Nápoles and C. Negrón-"On the behaviour of solutions of second order linear differential equations", Integración 14(1996), 49-56.
- [29] J. A. Repilado and J. E. Nápoles-"On the continuability and non-oscillation of solutions of bidimensional system $x' = \alpha(y) - \beta(y)f(x)$, $y' = -a(t)g(x)$ ", Revista Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad de la Habana, XV(1994), 155-160 (Spanish).

- [30] J. A. Repilado and J. E. Nápoles-"Continuability, oscillability and boundedness of solutions of a bidimensional system", *Revista Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad de la Habana*, XV(1994), 167-179 (Spanish).
- [31] J. A. Repilado and A. I. Ruiz-"On some properties of solutions of equation $u''+a(t)f(u)h(u') = 0$ ", *Revista Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad de la Habana*, VIII(1987), 55-60 (Spanish).
- [32] P. Waltman-"An oscillation criterion for a nonlinear second order equation", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 10(1965), 439-441.
- [33] A. Wintner-"A criterion of oscillation stability", *Q. Appl. Math.* 7(1949), 115-117.
- [34] J. S. W. Wong-"An oscillation criterion for second order nonlinear differential equations", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(1986), 109-112.
- [35] J. Yan-"A note on an oscillation criterion for an equation with damped term", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90(1984), 277-280.
- [36] J. Yan-"Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential equations with damping", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98(1986), 276-282.