

## SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE CHEBYSHEV FUNCTIONAL

SEVER S. DRAGOMIR  
SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATICS,  
VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 14428, MC 8001,  
MELBOURNE, VICTORIA, AUSTRALIA

May 17, 2002

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For two real  $n$ -tuples  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , consider the Chebyshev functional:

$$T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i. \quad (1)$$

By Korkine's identity (obtained in 1882, [1], see also [2] or [3, p. 242]) one has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i p_j (a_j - a_i) (b_j - b_i) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i p_j (a_j - a_i) (b_j - b_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By Sonin's identity (obtained in 1898, see [4], or [3, p. 246]), we also have the representation

$$T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n [p_i a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})] [b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})] \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i.$$

We note that, the above identities may be proved by direct computation. The proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

Observe that if the  $n$ -tuples  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}$  are synchronous, i.e.,

$$(a_j - a_i) (b_j - b_i) \geq 0 \text{ for any } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

then obviously

$$T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

which is well known in the literature as *Chebyshev's inequality*.

Another sufficient condition for the positivity of the Chebyshev functional that easily follows from the identity (3) is

$$[a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})] [b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})] \geq 0 \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

The following result for the Chebyshev functional holds:

$$[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \leq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (5)$$

It is the main aim of this note to provide some reverse inequalities for (5).

## 2. THE RESULTS

The following lemma that is of interest in itself holds.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are real  $n$ -tuples so that for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i < j$ , one has*

$$m(b_j - b_i) \leq a_j - a_i \leq M(b_j - b_i), \quad (6)$$

where  $m, M$  are given real numbers. If  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , then one has the inequality

$$(m + M) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \geq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) + mMT(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** Using the assumption (6) we obviously have

$$[M(b_j - b_i) - (a_j - a_i)][(a_j - a_i) - m(b_j - b_i)] \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i < j$ . This relation is equivalent, after multiplication, to

$$(a_j - a_i)^2 + mM(b_j - b_i)^2 \leq (m + M)(a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i), \quad (9)$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i < j$ . Multiplying (9) by  $p_i p_j \geq 0$ , summing over  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and using Korkine's identity we deduce the required inequality (7). ■

**Remark 2.** *A sufficient condition for (6) to hold is*

$$m\Delta b_l \leq \Delta a_l \leq M\Delta b_l \quad (10)$$

for any  $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , where  $\Delta b_l := b_{l+1} - b_l$  denotes the forward difference.

The following result provides a reverse inequality for (5).

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}$  are as in Lemma 1 and, in addition, we assume that  $M \geq m > 0$ , then the following inequality*

$$[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \geq \frac{4mM}{(m+M)^2} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (11)$$

holds. The constant 4 in (11) is best in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a larger constant.

**Proof.** We use the following elementary inequality

$$\alpha x^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} y^2 \geq 2xy, x, y \geq 0, \alpha > 0;$$

to get, for the choices

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sqrt{mM} > 0, x = [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^{1/2} \geq 0, \\ \text{and } y &= [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^{1/2} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{mM}T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{mM}}T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) \\ & \geq 2[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^{1/2} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now, making use of (7) and (12) we deduce the desired inequality (11).

To prove the sharpness of the constant in the sense mentioned above, assume that (11) holds with a constant  $C > 0$ , *i.e.*,

$$[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \geq \frac{CmM}{(m+M)^2} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (13)$$

Choose  $n = 2$ , and assume that  $b_2 > b_1, a_2 > a_1, p_1 > 0, p_2 > 0$  and  $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ . Also suppose that  $m(b_2 - b_1) \leq a_2 - a_1 \leq M(b_2 - b_1)$  with  $M > m > 0$ .

Since, in this case, we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) &= p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1) (b_2 - b_1) \\ T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) &= p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1)^2 \\ T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) &= p_1 p_2 (b_2 - b_1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

then by (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1) (b_2 - b_1)]^2 \\ & \geq \frac{CmM}{(m+M)^2} p_1 p_2 (a_2 - a_1)^2 p_1 p_2 (b_2 - b_1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$(m+M)^2 \geq CmM.$$

If in this last inequality we let  $m := 1 - \varepsilon, M := 1 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , then we obtain  $4 \geq C(1 - \varepsilon^2)$  for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  showing that  $C \leq 4$ . ■

The following corollary is a natural consequence of the above theorem and provides different counterparts for the inequality (5).

**Corollary 4.** *With the assumptions in Theorem 3 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^{1/2} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^{1/2} - T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \\ & \leq \frac{(\sqrt{M} - \sqrt{m})^2}{2\sqrt{mM}} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) - [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(M - m)^2}{4mM} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following result also holds

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f, g : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow R$  be continuous on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and differentiable on  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $g'(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Assume also that

$$-\infty < \gamma := \inf_{x \in (\alpha, \beta)} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}, \sup_{x \in (\alpha, \beta)} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} =: \Gamma < \infty. \quad (14)$$

If  $\bar{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a real  $n$ -tuple with  $x_i \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and if we denote by  $\mathbf{f}(\bar{x})$  the  $n$ -tuple  $(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n))$ , then we have the inequality

$$(\gamma + \Gamma) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})) \geq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{f}(\bar{x})) + \gamma \Gamma T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})) \quad (15)$$

for any  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ .

**Proof.** Applying Cauchy Mean-Value Theorem, for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i < j$  there exists  $\xi_{ij} \in (\alpha, \beta)$  so that

$$\frac{f(x_j) - f(x_i)}{g(x_j) - g(x_i)} = \frac{f'(\xi_{ij})}{g'(\xi_{ij})} \in [\gamma, \Gamma].$$

Then obviously

$$\left[ \frac{f(x_j) - f(x_i)}{g(x_j) - g(x_i)} - \gamma \right] \left[ \Gamma - \frac{f(x_j) - f(x_i)}{g(x_j) - g(x_i)} \right] \geq 0$$

for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i < j$ . Using a similar argument to the one in Lemma 1 we deduce the desired result (15). ■

The following corollary is a natural consequence of the above theorem.

**Corollary 6.** With the assumptions in Theorem 5 and if, in addition,  $\Gamma \geq \gamma > 0$ , then one has the inequalities

$$[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}))]^2 \geq \frac{4\gamma\Gamma}{(\gamma + \Gamma)^2} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{f}(\bar{x})) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})),$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}))]^{1/2} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}))]^{1/2} - T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})) \\ &\leq \frac{(\sqrt{\Gamma} - \sqrt{\gamma})^2}{2\sqrt{\Gamma\gamma}} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{f}(\bar{x})) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x})) - [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}))]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)^2}{4\Gamma\gamma} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{f}(\bar{x}), \mathbf{g}(\bar{x}))]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we point out other sufficient conditions for the inequality (7) to hold.

**Lemma 7.** Assume that  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, \dots, a_n), \bar{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are real  $n$ -tuples,  $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$  and  $b_i \neq A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If

$$-\infty < l \leq \frac{a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})}{b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})} \leq L < \infty; \quad (16)$$

for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $l, L$  are given real numbers, then one has the inequality

$$(l + L) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \geq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) + lLT(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** Using (16) we obviously have

$$\left[ L - \frac{a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})}{b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})} \right] \left[ \frac{a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})}{b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})} - l \right] \geq 0 \quad (18)$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

If we multiply (18) by  $[b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \geq 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^2 + lL [b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \\ & \leq (l + L) [a_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})] [b_i - A_n(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Finally, if we multiply (19) by  $p_i \geq 0$ , sum over  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  and use Sonin's identity, then we obtain the desired inequality (17). ■

Using Lemma 7 and a similar argument to that in the Theorem 3 we may state the following result as well.

**Theorem 8.** *With the assumptions of Lemma 7 and, in addition, if  $L \geq l > 0$ , then one has the inequality*

$$[T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \geq \frac{4lL}{(l+L)^2} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}).$$

The constant 4 cannot be replaced by a larger constant.

The following corollary also holds

**Corollary 9.** *With the assumptions of Lemma 7 and, in addition, if  $L \geq l > 0$ , then one has the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})]^{1/2} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^{1/2} - T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \\ & \leq \frac{(\sqrt{L} - \sqrt{l})^2}{2\sqrt{lL}} T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) - [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(L-l)^2}{4lL} [T(\bar{\mathbf{p}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.N. KORKINE, Ob' odnom opredelennom' integrale, (Russian), *Math. Sbornik*, **10**(1882), 571-572.
- [2] A.N. KORKINE, Sur un théorème de M. Tchebychef, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **96**(1883), 326-327.
- [3] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ and A.M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [4] N.Ja. SONIN, O nekotoryh neravenstvah, odnosjcihsja k opredelennym integralam, *Zap. Imp. Akad. Nauk. po Fiziko-Matem. Otd.*, **6**(1898), 1-54.