

# A GENERALIZED $f$ -DIVERGENCE FOR PROBABILITY VECTORS AND APPLICATIONS

S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. A generalized  $f$ -divergence for probability vectors and some fundamental inequalities are pointed out.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the important issues in many applications of Probability Theory is finding an appropriate measure of *distance* (or *difference* or *discrimination*) between two probability distributions. A number of divergence measures for this purpose have been proposed and extensively studied by Jeffreys [31], Kullback and Leibler [42], Rényi [20], Havrda and Charvat [25], Kapur [44], Sharma and Mittal [5], Burbea and Rao [41], Rao [34], Lin [10], Csiszár [11], Ali and Silvey [52], Vajda [37], Shioya and Da-te [21] and others (see for example [44] and the references therein).

These measures have been applied in a variety of fields such as: anthropology [34], genetics [43], finance, economics, and political science [47], [48], [39], biology [19], the analysis of contingency tables [9], approximation of probability distributions [26], [23], signal processing [24], [3] and pattern recognition [8], [53]. A number of these measures of distance are specific cases of Csiszár  $f$ -divergence and so further exploration of this concept will have a flow on effect to other measures of distance and to areas in which they are applied.

Assume that a set  $\chi$  and the  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  are given. Consider the set of all probability densities on  $\mu$  to be  $\Omega := \{p|p : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p(x) \geq 0, \int p(x) d\mu(x) = 1\}$ . The Kullback-Leibler divergence [42] is well known among the information divergences. It is defined as:-

$$(1.1) \quad D_{KL}(p, q) := \int_{\chi} p(x) \log \left[ \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega,$$

where  $\log$  is to base 2.

In Information Theory and Statistics, various divergences are applied in addition to the Kullback-Leibler divergence. These are the: *variation distance*  $D_v$ , *Hellinger distance*  $D_H$  [31],  $\chi^2$ -*divergence*  $D_{\chi^2}$ ,  $\alpha$ -*divergence*  $D_{\alpha}$ , *Bhattacharyya distance*  $D_B$  [42], *Harmonic distance*  $D_{H\alpha}$ , *Jeffreys distance*  $D_J$  [31], *triangular discrimination*  $D_{\Delta}$  [33], etc... They are defined as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad D_v(p, q) := \int_{\chi} |p(x) - q(x)| d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

---

*Date:* March 20, 2000.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 94Xxx; Secondary 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* A Generalized  $f$ -Divergence, Jensen's Inequality.

$$(1.3) \quad D_H(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left[ \sqrt{p(x)} - \sqrt{q(x)} \right]^2 d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.4) \quad D_{\chi^2}(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left[ \left( \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 - 1 \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.5) \quad D_{\alpha}(p, q) := \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left[ 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} [p(x)]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} [q(x)]^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} d\mu(x) \right], \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.6) \quad D_B(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{p(x)q(x)} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.7) \quad D_{Ha}(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{2p(x)q(x)}{p(x)+q(x)} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.8) \quad D_J(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} [p(x) - q(x)] \ln \left[ \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega;$$

$$(1.9) \quad D_{\Delta}(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{[p(x) - q(x)]^2}{p(x) + q(x)} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

For other divergence measures, see the paper [25] by Kapur or the book on line [5] by Taneja. For a comprehensive collection of preprints available on line, see the RGMIA web site

<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/papersinfth.html>

Csiszár  $f$ -divergence is defined as follows [11]

$$(1.10) \quad D_f(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) f \left[ \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega,$$

where  $f$  is convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . It is assumed that  $f(u)$  is zero and strictly convex at  $u = 1$ . By appropriately defining this convex function, various divergences are derived. All the above distances (1.1) – (1.9), are particular instances of Csiszár  $f$ -divergence. There are also many others which are not in this class (see for example [44] or [5]). For the basic properties of Csiszár  $f$ -divergence see [41]-[11].

In [1], Lin and Wong (see also [10]) introduced the following divergence

$$(1.11) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \left[ \frac{p(x)}{\frac{1}{2}p(x) + \frac{1}{2}q(x)} \right] d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

This can be represented as follows, using the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$D_{LW}(p, q) = D_{KL} \left( p, \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q \right).$$

Lin and Wong have established the following inequalities

$$(1.12) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{KL}(p, q);$$

$$(1.13) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) + D_{LW}(q, p) \leq D_v(p, q) \leq 2;$$

$$(1.14) \quad D_{LW}(p, q) \leq 1.$$

In [37], Shioya and Da-te improved (1.12) – (1.14) by showing that

$$D_{LW}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_v(p, q) \leq 1.$$

For classical and new results in comparing different kinds of divergence measures, see the papers [31]-[38] where further references are given.

## 2. THE GENERALIZED $f$ -DIVERGENCE AND SOME INEQUALITIES

Let  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  and  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  be such that  $p_i, q_i \in \Omega$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Define the  $f$ -divergence between two vectors by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{D}_f(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n) \\ & : = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) f \left[ \frac{\frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)}}{n} \right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

provided that the multiple integral exists and is finite and  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given mapping.

Usually, the mapping is assumed to be convex and normalised.

The following simple result holds.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that the mapping  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex. Then we have the inequalities*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f(1) & \leq \bar{D}_f(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}, q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \bar{D}_f(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n) \leq \dots \leq \bar{D}_f(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) \\ & \leq \bar{D}_f(p_1, q_1), \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $p_i, q_i \in \Omega$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D_f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual  $f$ -Csiszár divergence.

*Proof.* Using Jensen's inequality for multiple integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) f \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)} \right) \right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\ & \geq f \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)} \right) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \right] \\ & = f(1) \end{aligned}$$

because a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \left( \frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)} \right) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\ & = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_1(x_1) d\mu(x_1) \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_2(x_2) d\mu(x_2) \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_n(x_n) d\mu(x_n) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) d\mu(x_1) \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} q_n(x_n) d\mu(x_n) \right] = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the first inequality in (2.2) is proved.

If we apply Jensen's inequality for  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ , we may write

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{n+1} [f(y_1) + \dots + f(y_{n+1})] \geq f\left(\frac{y_1 + \dots + y_{n+1}}{n+1}\right).$$

Choose

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1} + z_n}{n}, \\ y_2 &= \frac{z_2 + z_3 + \dots + z_n + z_{n+1}}{n}, \\ &\vdots \\ y_{n+1} &= \frac{z_{n+1} + z_1 + \dots + z_{n-1}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} (y_1 + \dots + y_{n+1}) &= \frac{n(z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n+1})}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n + z_{n+1}}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

and then, by (2.3) we have the inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n+1} \left[ f\left(\frac{z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{n-1} + z_n}{n}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{z_{n+1} + z_1 + \dots + z_{n-1}}{n}\right) \right] \\ &\geq f\left(\frac{z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n + z_{n+1}}{n+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z_1, \dots, z_{n+1} \in [0, \infty)$ .

If we put in (2.4)  $z_i = \frac{p_i(x_i)}{q_i(x_i)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ ) and if we multiply with  $p_1(x_1) \dots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \geq 0$  and then integrate over  $\chi^{n+1}$ , we may write

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n+1} \left[ \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \right. \\ &\quad \times f\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{p_1(x_1)}{q_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{p_n(x_n)}{q_n(x_n)}\right)\right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad \dots + \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad \times f\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{p_{n+1}(x_{n+1})}{q_{n+1}(x_{n+1})} + \frac{p_1(x_1)}{q_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{p_{n-1}(x_{n-1})}{q_{n-1}(x_{n-1})}\right)\right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_{n+1}) \Big] \\ &\geq \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad \times f\left[\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{p_1(x_1)}{q_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{p_{n+1}(x_{n+1})}{q_{n+1}(x_{n+1})}\right)\right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_{n+1}) \\ &= \bar{D}_f(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}, q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n+1} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \cdots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \right. \\
& \times f \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{p_1(x_1)}{q_1(x_1)} + \cdots + \frac{p_n(x_n)}{q_n(x_n)} \right) \right] d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_{n+1}) \\
& = \cdots = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \cdots p_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) \\
& \times f \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{p_{n+1}(x_{n+1})}{q_{n+1}(x_{n+1})} + \cdots + \frac{p_{n-1}(x_{n-1})}{q_{n-1}(x_{n-1})} \right) \right] d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_{n+1}) \\
& = \bar{D}_f(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n),
\end{aligned}$$

then, from (2.5), we deduce the second inequality for all  $n$ , and the theorem is thus proved. ■

For  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = (x-1)^2$ , we obtain the  $\chi^2$ -distance

$$D_{\chi^2}(p_1, q_1) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x) \left( \frac{q_1(x)}{p_1(x)} - 1 \right)^2 d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{q_1^2(x) - p_1^2(x)}{p_1(x)} d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

The following corollary holds.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $p_i, q_i \in \Omega$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and define the  $\chi^2$ -divergence by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad & \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\
& : = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \cdots p_n(x_n) \left[ \frac{\left( \frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \cdots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)} \right)}{n} - 1 \right]^2 d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\chi^2}(p_i, q_i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & 0 \leq \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}; q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \leq \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\
& \leq \cdots \leq \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) \leq \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, q_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \\
&\quad \times \left[ \left( \frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \left( \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)} - 1 \right) \right]^2 d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{q_j(x_j)}{p_j(x_j)} - 1 \right) \right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right)^2 d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{q_j(x_j)}{p_j(x_j)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

However, for any  $i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right)^2 d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\
&= \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) d\mu(x_1) \dots \int_{\chi} p_i(x_i) d\mu(x_i) \dots \int_{\chi} p_n(x_n) d\mu(x_n) \\
&= \int_{\chi} p_i(x_i) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right)^2 d\mu(x_i) = \bar{D}_{\chi^2}(p_i, q_i)
\end{aligned}$$

and (for  $i \neq j$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\chi} \dots \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{q_j(x_j)}{p_j(x_j)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\
&= \int_{\chi} p_1(x_1) d\mu(x_1) \dots \int_{\chi} p_i(x_i) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_i) \\
&\quad \dots \int_{\chi} p_j(x_j) \left( \frac{q_j(x_j)}{p_j(x_j)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_j) \\
&\quad \dots \int_{\chi} p_n(x_n) d\mu(x_n) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

as

$$\int_{\chi} p_i(x_i) \left( \frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_i) = \int_{\chi} p_j(x_j) \left( \frac{q_j(x_j)}{p_j(x_j)} - 1 \right) d\mu(x_j) = 0$$

and then the representation (2.7) is proved.

The sequence of inequalities in (2.8) follows by (2.2) and we omit the details. ■

For  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = e^x$ , define the exp-divergence by

$$(2.9) \quad D_{\exp(\cdot)}(p_1, q_1) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x) \exp\left(\frac{q_1(x)}{p_1(x)}\right) d\mu(x), \quad p, q \in \Omega.$$

The following corollary holds.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $p_i, q_i \in \Omega$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and define the exp-divergence by

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\ : &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \exp\left(\frac{\frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)} + \dots + \frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)}}{n}\right) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.11) \quad \bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{[\exp(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{n}}}(p_i, q_i)$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}; q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \leq \bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) \leq D_{\exp}(p_1, q_1), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{D}_{\exp}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_1(x_1) \dots p_n(x_n) \exp\left[\frac{q_1(x_1)}{p_1(x_1)}\right] \dots \exp\left[\frac{q_n(x_n)}{p_n(x_n)}\right] d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_i(x_i) \exp\left[\frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)}\right] d\mu(x_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_i(x_i) \left(\exp\frac{q_i(x_i)}{p_i(x_i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} d\mu(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{[\exp(\cdot)]^{\frac{1}{n}}}(p_i, q_i) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity (2.11) is proved.

The sequence of inequalities (2.12) follows by (2.2). ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.M. ALI and S.D. SILVEY, A general class of coefficients of divergence of one distribution from another, *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Sec B*, **28** (1966), 131-142.
- [2] N.S. BARNETT, S.S. DRAGOMIR and A. SOFO, Better bounds for an inequality of the Ostrowski type with applications, *RGMA Research Report Collection*, **3** (1) (2000), Article 1.
- [3] M. BETH BASSAT,  $f$ -entropies, probability of error and feature selection, *Inform. Control*, **39** (1978), 227-242.
- [4] A. BHATTACHARYYA, On a measure of divergence between two statistical populations defined by their probability distributions, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **35** (1943), 99-109.
- [5] I. BURBEA and C.R. RAO, On the convexity of some divergence measures based on entropy function, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **28** (3) (1982), 489-495.
- [6] P. CERONE and S.S. DRAGOMIR, Midpoint type rules from an inequalities point of view, *RGMA Research Report Collect.*, **2** (1999), No. 7, Article 1 (<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n7.html>)

- [7] P. CERONE and S.S. DRAGOMIR, Trapezoidal type rules from an inequalities point of view, *RGMA Research Report Collect.*, **2** (1999), No. 6, Article 8 (<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n6.html>)
- [8] C.H. CHEN, *Statistical Pattern Recognition*, Rocelle Park, New York, Hoyderc Book Co., 1973.
- [9] C.K. CHOW and C.N. LIN, Approximating discrete probability distributions with dependence trees, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **14** (3) (1968), 462-467.
- [10] I. CSISZÁR, Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2** (1967), 299-318.
- [11] I. CSISZÁR, On topological properties of  $f$ -divergences, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2** (1967), 329-339.
- [12] I. CSISZÁR, A note on Jensen's inequality, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, **1** (1966), 185-188.
- [13] I. CSISZÁR, On topological properties of  $f$ -divergences, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2** (1967), 329-339.
- [14] I. CSISZÁR, A note on Jensen's inequality, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, **1** (1966), 185-188.
- [15] I. CSISZÁR and J. KÖRNER, *Information Theory: Coding Theorem for Discrete Memoryless Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [16] D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE, *Ecole d'ete de Probability de Saint-Flour*, III-1977, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer 1978.
- [17] S.S. DRAGOMIR and S. MABIZELA, Some error estimates in the trapezoidal quadrature rule, *RGMA Research Report Collect.*, **2** (1999), No. 5, Article 6 (<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n5.html>)
- [18] S.S. DRAGOMIR and S. WANG, A new inequality of Ostrowski-Grüss type and its applications to the estimation of error bounds for special means and for some numerical quadrature rules, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, **33** (1997), No. 11, 15-20.
- [19] D.V. GOKHALE and S. KULLBACK, *Information in Contingency Tables*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1978.
- [20] J.H. HAVRDA and F. CHARVAT, Quantification method classification process: concept of structural  $\alpha$ -entropy, *Kybernetika*, **3** (1967), 30-35.
- [21] E. HELLINGER, Neue Begründung der Theorie quadratischer Formen von unendlichvielen Veränderlichen, *J. für reine und Angew. Math.*, **36** (1909), 210-271.
- [22] H. JEFFREYS, An invariant form for the prior probability in estimating problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, **186** A (1946), 453-461.
- [23] T.T. KADOTA and L.A. SHEPP, On the best finite set of linear observables for discriminating two Gaussian signals, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **13** (1967), 288-294.
- [24] T. KAILATH, The divergence and Bhattacharyya distance measures in signal selection, *IEEE Trans. Comm. Technology.*, Vol COM-15 (1967), 52-60.
- [25] J.N. KAPUR, A comparative assessment of various measures of directed divergence, *Advances in Management Studies*, **3** (1984), 1-16.
- [26] D. KAZAKOS and T. COTSIDAS, A decision theory approach to the approximation of discrete probability densities, *IEEE Trans. Perform. Anal. Machine Intell.*, **1** (1980), 61-67.
- [27] J.H.B. KEMPERMAN, On the optimum note of transmitting information, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **40** (1969), 2158-2177.
- [28] C. KRAFT, Some conditions for consistency and uniform consistency of statistical procedures, *Univ. of California Pub. in Statistics*, **1** (1955), 125-142.
- [29] S. KULLBACK, A lower bound for discrimination information in terms of variation, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **13** (1967), 126-127.
- [30] S. KULLBACK, Correction to a lower bound for discrimination information in terms of variation, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **16** (1970), 771-773.
- [31] S. KULLBACK and R.A. LEIBLER, On information and sufficiency, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **22** (1951), 79-86.
- [32] S. KULLBACK and R.A. LEIBLER, On information and sufficiency, *Annals Math. Statist.*, **22** (1951), 79-86.
- [33] L. LECAM, *Asymptotic Methods in Statistical Decision Theory*, New York: Springer, 1986.
- [34] J. LIN, Divergence measures based on the Shannon entropy, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **37** (1) (1991), 145-151.
- [35] J. LIN and S.K.M. WONG, A new directed divergence measure and its characterization, *Int. J. General Systems*, **17** (1990), 73-81.



- [36] H.P. McKEAN, JR., Speed of approach to equilibrium for Koc's caricature of a Maximilian gas, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **21** (1966), 343-367.
- [37] M. MEI, The theory of genetic distance and evaluation of human races, *Japan J. Human Genetics*, **23** (1978), 341-369.
- [38] C.E.M. PEARCE, J. PEČARIĆ, N. UJEVIĆ and S. VAROŠANEC, Generalisations of some inequalities of Ostrowski-Grüss type, *Math. Ineq. & Appl.*, **3** (2000), No. 1, 25-34.
- [39] E.C. PIELOU, *Ecological Diversity*, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [40] M.S. PINSKER, Information and Information Stability of Random variables and processes, (in Russian), Moscow: Izv. Akad. Nauk, 1960.
- [41] C.R. RAO, Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: a unified approach, *Theoretic Population Biology*, **21** (1982), 24-43.
- [42] A. RÉNYI, On measures of entropy and information, *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. and Prob.*, University of California Press, **1** (1961), 547-561.
- [43] A. SEN, *On Economic Inequality*, Oxford University Press, London 1973.
- [44] B.D. SHARMA and D.P. MITTAL, New non-additive measures of relative information, *Journ. Comb. Inf. Sys. Sci.*, **2** (4)(1977), 122-132.
- [45] H. SHIOYA and T. DA-TE, A generalisation of Lin divergence and the derivative of a new information divergence, *Elec. and Comm. in Japan*, **78** (7) (1995), 37-40.
- [46] I. J. TANEJA, *Generalised Information Measures and their Applications* (<http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja/bhtml/bhtml.html>).
- [47] H. THEIL, *Economics and Information Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.
- [48] H. THEIL, *Statistical Decomposition Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.
- [49] F. TOPSOE, Some inequalities for information divergence and related measures of discrimination, *Res. Rep. Coll., RGMIA*, **2** (1) (1999), 85-98.
- [50] G.T. TOUSSAINT, Sharper lower bounds for discrimination in terms of variation, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **21** (1975), 99-100.
- [51] I. VAJDA, Note on discrimination information and variation, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, **16** (1970), 771-773.
- [52] I. VAJDA, *Theory of Statistical Inference and Information*, Dordrecht-Boston, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- [53] V.A. VOLKONSKI and J. A. ROZANOV, Some limit theorems for random function  $-I$ , (English Trans.), *Theory Prob. Appl.*, (USSR), **4** (1959), 178-197.

SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PO BOX 14428, MELBOURNE CITY MC, VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA.

*E-mail address:* sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

*URL:* <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>