

AN APPROXIMATION OF THE HANKEL TRANSFORM FOR ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

N.M. DRAGOMIR, S.S. DRAGOMIR, M. GU, X. GAN, AND R. WHITE

ABSTRACT. Using some techniques developed by Dragomir and Wang in the recent paper [2] in connection to Ostrowski integral inequality, we point out some approximation results for the Henkel's transform of absolutely continuous mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional systems may often show circular symmetry, for example optical systems are often constructed from components that, in themselves, are circularly symmetrical.

When circular symmetry exists, that is, when $f(x, y) = f(r)$, $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, then the bidimensional Fourier transform can be represented in the following way [8, p. 244 - p. 250]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) e^{-i2\pi(xu+yv)} dx dy \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r) e^{-i2\pi qr \cos(\theta-\varphi)} r dr d\theta = \int_0^{\infty} f(r) \left[\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i2\pi qr \cos(\theta-\varphi)} d\theta \right] r dr \\
 &= 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} f(r) J_0(2\pi qr) r dr
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x + iy = r e^{i\theta}$, $u + iv = q e^{i\varphi}$, $q^2 = u^2 + v^2$ and we have used the relation

$$(1.2) \quad J_0(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-iz \cos \beta} d\beta$$

We refer to $G(f)(q)$ given by

$$(1.3) \quad G(f)(q) = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} f(r) J_0(2\pi qr) r dr$$

as the Hankel transform (of zero order) of $f(r)$.

The main aim of the present article is to point out some estimates of the Hankel transform for absolutely continuous mappings defined on an finite interval $[a, b]$ by the use of some techniques developed by Dragomir and Wang in the recent paper [2] in connection to Ostrowski integral inequality. Some adaptive quadrature formulae which will allow another approach than the classical one will be also derived.

2. AN INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

Let $J_1(\cdot)$ represent the *first-order Bessel functions of the first kind*, that is,

$$(2.1) \quad J_1(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ix \sin \varphi - i\varphi} d\varphi, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define the corresponding *Bessel's mean* as:

$$(2.2) \quad B_1(z, w) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } w = z \\ \frac{zJ_1(z) - wJ_1(w)}{z - w} & \text{if } w \neq z \end{cases}; w, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The following representation of Hankel transform holds.

Theorem 1. *Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$) be an absolutely continuous mapping on $[a, b]$. Then we have the representation*

$$(2.3) \quad G(g)(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho) \times \int_a^b g(s) ds \\ + \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b k(r, s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r\rho) dr ds$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b]$, $\rho \neq 0$, where $k(\cdot, \cdot) : [a, b]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad k(u, v) := \begin{cases} v - a & \text{if } v \in [a, u] \\ v - b & \text{if } v \in (u, b] \end{cases}; (u, v) \in [a, b]^2$$

and $J_0(\cdot)$ is the *zeroth-order Bessel function of the first kind*, that is,

$$(2.5) \quad J_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ix \cos \beta} d\beta, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Using the integration by parts formula for absolutely continuous mappings on $[a, b]$, we can write

$$(2.6) \quad \int_a^x (s - a) g'(s) ds = (x - a) g(x) - \int_a^x g(s) ds$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \int_x^b (s - b) g'(s) ds = (b - x) g(x) - \int_x^b g(s) ds$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Adding (2.6) and (2.7), we end up with (see also [2])

$$(2.8) \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(s) ds + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b k(r, s) g'(s) ds$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$, which is of importance in itself, too.

Now, consider the Hankel transform of g on the interval $[a, b]$, that is:

$$(2.9) \quad G(g)(\rho) := 2\pi \int_a^b g(r) r J_0(2\pi r\rho) dr$$

and use the representation (2.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad G(g)(\rho) &= 2\pi \int_a^b \left[\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(s) ds + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b k(r,s) g'(s) ds \right] r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(s) ds \cdot 2\pi \int_a^b r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b k(r,s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r \rho) ds dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the change of variable $r' = 2\pi r \rho$. Then $r = \frac{r'}{2\pi\rho}$, $dr = \frac{dr'}{2\pi\rho}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr &= \int_{2\pi a \rho}^{2\pi b \rho} \frac{r'}{2\pi\rho} J_0(r') \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} dr' \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\rho)^2} \int_{2\pi a \rho}^{2\pi b \rho} r' J_0(r') dr'.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is a well-known property of Bessel functions that

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^x \xi J_0(\xi) d\xi = x J_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{2\pi a \rho}^{2\pi b \rho} r' J_0(r') dr' &= \int_0^{2\pi b \rho} r' J_0(r') dr' - \int_0^{2\pi a \rho} r' J_0(r') dr' \\
 &= 2\pi b \rho J_1(2\pi b \rho) - 2\pi a \rho J_1(2\pi a \rho)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr &= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi\rho)^2} [2\pi b \rho J_1(2\pi b \rho) - 2\pi a \rho J_1(2\pi a \rho)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{2\pi b \rho J_1(2\pi b \rho) - 2\pi a \rho J_1(2\pi a \rho)}{2\pi b \rho - 2\pi a \rho} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b \rho, 2\pi a \rho).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10), we deduce the desired representation (2.1). ■

In practical applications we have $a = 0$ and $b = 1$. Consequently, we can state the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$) be an absolutely continuous mapping on $[0, 1]$. Then we have the representation*

$$(2.12) \quad G(g)(\rho) = \frac{J_1(2\pi\rho)}{\rho} \times \int_0^1 g(s) ds + 2\pi \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tilde{k}(r,s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr ds, \quad \rho \in (0, 1]$$

where $\tilde{k} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\tilde{k}(u, v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \in [0, u] \\ v - 1 & \text{if } v \in (u, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Now let us define the mapping with real values $I(g) : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$(2.13) \quad I(g)(\rho) := |G(g)(\rho)|^2, \rho \in [a, b].$$

Using the well known property of complex numbers

$$(2.14) \quad |x + y|^2 = |x|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(z\bar{y}) + |y|^2 \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{C}$$

we can state the following corollary:

Corollary 3. *With the assumptions from Theorem 1, we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} I(g)(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} |B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho)|^2 \left| \int_a^b g(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &+ \frac{4\pi}{\rho(b-a)} \operatorname{Re} \left[B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho) \int_a^b g(s) ds \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_a^b \int_a^b k(r, s) \overline{r g'(s) J_0(2\pi r\rho)} dr ds \right] \\ &+ \frac{4\pi^2}{(b-a)^2} \left| \int_a^b \int_a^b k(r, s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r\rho) dr ds \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b], \rho \neq 0$.

If $a = 0, b = 1$, then

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} I(g)(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} |J_1(2\pi\rho)|^2 \left| \int_0^1 g(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &+ \frac{4\pi}{\rho} \operatorname{Re} \left[J_1(2\pi\rho) \int_0^1 g(s) ds \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tilde{k}(r, s) \overline{r g'(s) J_0(2\pi r\rho)} dr ds \right] \\ &+ 4\pi^2 \left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \tilde{k}(r, s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r\rho) dr ds \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$.

3. INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

The main aim of this section is to point out an estimate for the remainder

$$(3.1) \quad R[g](\rho) := \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b k(r, s) g'(s) r J_0(2\pi r\rho) dr ds$$

in formula (2.3).

We can state the following integral inequalities.

Theorem 4. *Let g be as in Theorem 1. Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho) \times \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^2 + (b-r)^2}{2} \right] |r| dr & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b]; \\ \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^{q+1} + (b-r)^{q+1}}{q+1} \right] |r|^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } g' \in L_p[a, b], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ 2\pi \|g'\|_1 \int_a^b |r| dr & \end{cases}$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b], \rho \neq 0$.

Proof. Using the representation (2.3), we get

$$\left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho) \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b |k(r, s)| |r| |g'(s)| |J_0(2\pi r\rho)| dr ds =: A(\rho)$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b] \setminus \{0\}$.

It is obvious that

$$|J_0(2\pi r\rho)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-x \cos \beta} d\beta \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{-x \cos \beta}| d\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta = 1.$$

In this way we can state the following inequality

$$A(\rho) \leq \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b |k(r, s)| |r| |g'(s)| dr ds := B(\rho).$$

It is obvious that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} B(\rho) &\leq \|g'\|_\infty \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \int_a^b |k(r, s)| |r| dr ds \\ &= \|g'\|_\infty \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \left(\int_a^b |k(r, s)| ds \right) |r| dr \\ &= \|g'\|_\infty \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \left[\int_a^r (s-a) ds + \int_r^b (b-s) ds \right] |r| dr \\ &= \|g'\|_\infty \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^2 + (b-r)^2}{2} \right] |r| dr, \end{aligned}$$

and the first inequality in (3.2) is obtained.

For the second inequality, we use Hölder's integral inequality for double integrals

to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad B(\rho) &\leq \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \left(\int_a^b \int_a^b |k(r,s)|^q |r|^q dr ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_a^b \int_a^b |g'(s)|^p ds dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} (b-a)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g'\|_p \left(\int_a^b \left(\int_a^r (s-a)^q ds + \int_r^b (b-s)^q ds \right) |r|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} (b-a)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g'\|_p \left(\int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^{q+1} + (b-r)^{q+1}}{q+1} \right] |r|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the second inequality is also proved.

Finally, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad B(\rho) &\leq \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \sup_{(r,s) \in [a,b]^2} |k(r,s)| \int_a^b |r| dr \cdot \int_a^b |g'(s)| ds \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} (b-a) \|g'\|_1 \int_a^b |r| dr = 2\pi \|g'\|_1 \int_a^b |r| dr
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

Remark 1. In practical applications $a \geq 0$, so the first bound in (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^2 + (b-r)^2}{2} \right] r dr \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (r-a)^2 r dr + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (b-r)^2 r dr \right] \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \left[\frac{1}{24} (b-a)^3 (3b+a) + \frac{1}{24} (b-a)^3 (3a+b) \right] \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \frac{1}{24} (b-a)^3 (4b+4a) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (b-a)^2 (a+b).
\end{aligned}$$

The second term will be:

$$\frac{2\pi}{(b-a)(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} r^q dr + \int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and the third term is:

$$\pi \|g'\|_1 (b+a)(b-a).$$

Consequently, we can state that

$$(3.6) \quad \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho) \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (a+b)(b-a)^2 & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b]; \\ \frac{2\pi}{(b-a)^{(q+1)\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} r^q dr + \int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } g' \in L_p[a, b], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \pi \|g'\|_1 (b+a)(b-a) & \end{cases}$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b]$.

Remark 2. If we assume that $a = 0$ and $b > 0$ in (3.6), then we get

$$(3.7) \quad \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{J_1(2\pi b\rho)}{\rho} \times \int_0^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty b^3 & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b] \\ \pi \|g'\|_1 b^2 & \end{cases}.$$

The above inequality shows that

$$G(g)(\rho) \approx \frac{J_1(2\pi b\rho)}{\rho} \times \int_0^b g(s) ds$$

when $b \rightarrow 0+$ and the precision of approximation is 3.

Remark 3. Let us observe that the integral

$$I = \int_a^b (b-r)^2 (r-a)^2 dr$$

can be written in a different form. By using the change of variable $r = a(1-t) + bt$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (b-a) \int_0^1 [b - (1-t)a - tb]^q [(1-t)a + tb - a]^s dt \\ &= (b-a)^{q+s+1} \int_0^1 (1-t)^q t^s dt = (b-a)^{q+s+1} \beta(q+1, s+1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a Beta function, that is,

$$\beta(q, s) = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{q-1} t^{s-1} dt; \quad q, s > 0.$$

Now, coming back to the second bound in (3.6) for $a = 0$, we can observe that

$$\int_0^b r^{q+1} r^q dr = \int_0^b r^{2q+1} dr = \frac{b^{2q+2}}{2q+2}$$

and

$$\int_0^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr = b^{2q+2} \beta(q+2, q+1).$$

Consequently, we have the inequality

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{J_1(2\pi b\rho)}{\rho} \int_0^b g(s) ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2\pi}{b(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\frac{b^{2q+2}}{2q+2} + b^{2q+2} \beta(q+2, q+1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{2\pi b^{1+\frac{2}{q}}}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left[\frac{1}{2q+2} + \beta(q+2, q+1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in (0, b]$.

In some practical applications the upper limit of integration is $b = 1$, therefore the following corollary is required.

Corollary 5. *Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$) be an absolutely continuous mapping on $[0, 1]$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{J_1(2\pi\rho)}{\rho} \times \int_0^1 g(s) ds \right| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b] \\ \frac{2\pi}{(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left[\frac{1}{2q+2} + \beta(q+2, q+1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g'\|_p \\ & \text{if } g' \in L_p[0, 1], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \pi \|g'\|_1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$.

Using the inequality (3.2) which provides upper bounds for the remainder $R[g](\rho)$, we will point out the following inequality which approximates the mapping $I(g)(\rho)$ (c.f.(2.13)).

Corollary 6. *Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$) be an absolutely continuous mapping on $[a, b]$. Then we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| I(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} |B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho)|^2 \left| \int_a^b g(s) ds \right|^2 \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{2}{\rho} |B_1(2\pi b\rho, 2\pi a\rho)| \left| \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| + E(g)(\rho) \right] E(g)(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(g)(\rho) := \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_\infty \int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^2 + (b-r)^2}{2} \right] |r| dr & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b]; \\ \frac{2\pi}{b-a} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b \left[\frac{(r-a)^{q+1} + (b-r)^{q+1}}{q+1} \right] |r|^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \text{if } g' \in L_p[a, b], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ 2\pi \|g'\|_1 \int_a^b |r| dr \end{cases}$$

for all $\rho \in [a, b], \rho \neq 0$.

The proof is obvious by Corollary 3 and Theorem 4.

4. A QUADRATURE FORMULA

In this section we point out a quadrature formula for the Hankel's transform

$$G(g)(\rho) := 2\pi \int_0^1 g(s) r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr$$

where g is assumed to be absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$.

Firstly, let us assume that in formula (3.6) we have $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$. Then we have the coarser upper bound

$$(4.1) \quad \left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b \rho, 2\pi a \rho) \times \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (b-a)^2 & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b]; \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi \|g'\|_p}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} (b-a)^{\frac{2}{q}} & \text{if } g' \in L_p[a, b], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ 2\pi \|g'\|_1 (b-a). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, from (3.6) we have

$$\left| G(g)(\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi b \rho, 2\pi a \rho) \times \int_a^b g(s) ds \right| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (a+b)(b-a)^2; \\ \frac{2\pi}{(b-a)(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} r^q dr + \int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}; \\ \pi \|g'\|_1 (b+a)(b-a). \end{cases}$$

As $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$, then $a+b \leq 2$ and hence:

$$\frac{\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (a+b)(b-a)^2 \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty (b-a)^2$$

and

$$\pi \|g'\|_1 (b+a)(b-a) \leq 2\pi \|g'\|_1 (b-a).$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} r^q dr \leq \int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} dr = \frac{(b-a)^{q+2}}{q+2}$$

and

$$\int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr \leq \int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} dr = \frac{(b-a)^{q+2}}{q+2}.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\pi}{(b-a)(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\int_a^b (r-a)^{q+1} r^q dr + \int_a^b (b-r)^{q+1} r^q dr \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq & \frac{2\pi}{(b-a)(q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left[\frac{2(b-a)^{q+2}}{q+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= & \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi \|g'\|_p (b-a)^{\frac{2}{q}}}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}}
\end{aligned}$$

and the second inequality in (4.1) is also proved.

Now, consider $I_n : 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = 1$ a division of the interval $[0, 1]$. Put $h_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$, ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$) and $\nu(h) := \max\{h_i | i = 0, \dots, n-1\}$ the norm of the division. Construct the sums

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & H(g, I_n, \rho) \\
: &= \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_1(2\pi x_{i+1}\rho, 2\pi x_i\rho) \times \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(s) ds \\
= & \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{h_i} [x_{i+1} J_1(2\pi x_{i+1}\rho) - x_i J_1(2\pi x_i\rho)] \times \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We can state the following theorem concerning the approximation of Hankel's transform in terms of the quadrature formula (4.2).

Theorem 7. *Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$) be an absolutely continuous mapping on $[0, 1]$. Then we have*

$$(4.3) \quad G(g)(\rho) = H(g, I_n, \rho) + R(g, I_n, \rho), \rho \in (0, 1]$$

where $H(g, I_n, \rho)$ is as given by the formula (4.2) and the remainder $R(g, I_n, \rho)$ satisfies the estimate

$$(4.4) \quad |R(g, I_n, \rho)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[a, b]; \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } g' \in L_p[a, b], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ 2\pi\nu(h) \|g'\|_1 & \end{cases}$$

for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Apply formula (4.1) on the subintervals $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, \dots, n-1$) to get

$$\leq \begin{cases} \left| 2\pi \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(r) r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi x_{i+1} \rho, 2\pi x_i \rho) \times \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(s) ds \right| \\ \frac{2\pi}{3} \sup_{r \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} |g'(r)| h_i^2 \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} h_i^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ 2\pi \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)| ds \right) h_i. \end{cases}$$

Summing over i from 0 to $n-1$ and using the generalized triangle inequality, we get

$$\leq \begin{cases} |R(g, I_n, \rho)| \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| 2\pi \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(r) r J_0(2\pi r \rho) dr - \frac{1}{\rho} B_1(2\pi x_{i+1} \rho, 2\pi x_i \rho) \times \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(s) ds \right| \\ \frac{2\pi}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sup_{r \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} |g'(r)| h_i^2 \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} h_i^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ 2\pi \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)| ds \right) h_i \end{cases} .$$

Now, observe that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sup_{r \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} |g'(r)| h_i^2 \leq \|g'\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2$$

and then the first inequality in (4.4) is proved.

Using Hölder's discrete inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} h_i^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(h_i^{\frac{2}{q}} \right)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)|^p ds \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \|g'\|_p \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)| ds \right) h_i &\leq 2\pi\nu(h) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} |g'(s)| ds \right) \\ &= 2\pi\nu(h) \|g'\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is completely proved. ■

Remark 4. *It is obvious that*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i^2 \leq \nu(h) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i$$

and then by (4.4) we deduce the coarser upper bound

$$(4.5) \quad |R(g, I_n, \rho)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{3} \|g'\|_\infty \nu(h); \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p [\nu(h)]^{\frac{1}{q}}; \\ 2\pi\nu(h) \|g'\|_1. \end{cases}$$

Now, observe that if $\nu(h) \rightarrow 0$, then every branch on the right hand side of (4.5) goes to 0, which proves that $H(g, I_n, \rho)$ approximates the Hankel's transform with any accuracy.

In practical problems the interval $[0, 1]$ is divided in equidistant subintervals by the division $I_n : x_i = \frac{i}{n}, i = 0, \dots, n$.

If we consider the sum

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} H_n(g, \rho) &: = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_1 \left(\frac{2\pi(i+1)\rho}{n}, \frac{2\pi i\rho}{n} \right) \times \int_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\frac{i+1}{n}} g(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[(i+1) J_1 \left(2\pi \frac{i+1}{n} \rho \right) - i J_1 \left(2\pi \frac{i}{n} \rho \right) \right] \times \int_{\frac{i}{n}}^{\frac{i+1}{n}} g(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

then we can state the following corollary.

Corollary 8. *Let g be as in Theorem 7. Then we have*

$$(4.7) \quad G(g)(\rho) = H_n(g, \rho) + R_n(g, \rho), \rho \in (0, 1]$$

where $H_n(g, \rho)$ is as given in (4.6) and the remainder $R_n(g, \rho)$ satisfies the estimate

$$(4.8) \quad |R_n(g, \rho)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{3n} \|g'\|_\infty & \text{if } g' \in L_\infty[0, 1]; \\ \frac{2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \pi}{[(q+1)(q+2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \|g'\|_p & \text{if } g' \in L_p[0, 1], p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1; \\ \frac{2\pi}{n} \|g'\|_1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 5. *Supposing that we know $\|g'\|_\infty$ and would like to approximate $G(g)(\rho)$ with a given error $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have to divide the interval $[0, 1]$ into at least $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}^*$ points, where*

$$n_\varepsilon := \left\lceil \frac{2\pi}{3\varepsilon} \|g'\|_\infty \right\rceil + 1$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using the tools provided in papers [1], [3]-[7] the authors are going to point out other quadrature formulae for Hankel transform for mappings of bounded variation, monotonic, of r-Hölder's type etc..

5. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

An example of the approximation of the Hankel Transform is shown below. The quadrature formula developed for the Hankel Transform was applied to the function $f(x)=e^{-x}$. The graphs displayed in fig 1 and fig 2 show the input function and the Hankel Transform quadrature formula output function.




FIGURE 1. Input function $f(r) = e^{-r}$.




FIGURE 2. Hankel transform of $f(r) = e^{-r}$.

The two dimensional representation of the Hankel Transform in fig 3 shows the exact Hankel Transform and the Hankel Transform approximation calculated using the quadrature formula developed above for 200 iterations.




FIGURE 3. Comparison of Hankel transform and approximation.
Step number = 200.

The graph shown in fig 4 demonstrates the maximum error of the Hankel Transform approximation as a function the number of steps used in the approximation.




FIGURE 4. Error vs. step size

REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's Integral inequality to Lipschitz mappings and applications, *submitted*.
- [2] S.S. Dragomir and S. Wang, Applications of Ostrowski's inequality for the estimation of error bounds for some special means and for some numerical quadrature rules, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **11** (1) (1998), 105 -109.
- [3] S.S. Dragomir and S. Wang, A new inequality of Ostrowski's type in L_p norm, *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, (*in press*)
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, Ostrowski's inequality for monotonic mapping and applications., *J. KSIAM*, *accepted*.

- [5] N.S. Barnett and S.S. Dragomir, An inequality of Ostrowski's type for cumulative distribution functions, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, *accepted*.
- [6] S.S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's inequality for mappings of bounded variation, *submitted*.
- [7] S.S. Dragomir, N.S. Barnett and S. Wang, An Ostrowski type inequality for a random variable whose probability density function belongs to $L_p[a, b]$, $p > 1$, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, *accepted*.
- [8] R.N. Bracewell, *The Fourier Transform and Its Applications*, Second Edition, Revised, McGraw-Hill, Inc. , 1986.

SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATICS, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PO
BOX 14428, MC MELBOURNE CITY, VICTORIA 8001, AUSTRALIA

URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>