

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF INEQUALITIES FOR GENERALIZED ELEMENTARY SYMMETRIC MEAN

ZHEN-GANG XIAO AND ZHI-HUA ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We give an elementary proof for an inequality involving the generalized elementary symmetric means.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, where $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be non-negative real numbers and let r be an integer, then

$$(1.1) \quad E_n^{[r]} = E_n^{[r]}(a) = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r} \prod_{k=1}^n a_k^{i_k}$$

is called the r th generalized elementary symmetric function of a , where the sum is over all $\binom{n+r-1}{r}$ n -tuples of non-negative integers with $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = r$. In addition we have $E_n^{[0]} = E_n^{[0]}(a) = 1$ for $n \geq 0$, $E_n^{[r]} = 0$ for $r < 0$ or $n \leq 0$. We note that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_n^{[r]} = \sum_n^{[r]}(a) = E_n^{[r]}(a) / \binom{n+r-1}{r}$$

is called the r th generalized elementary symmetric mean of a .

In 1968, K.V. Menon [1] proved the following inequality for $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and $r = 1, 2, 3$

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_n^{[r-1]}(a) \cdot \sum_n^{[r+1]}(a) \geq \left[\sum_n^{[r]}(a) \right]^2$$

A question in [2] (see also [3]) arises whether the inequality (1.3) holds for arbitrary $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 1934, I.Schur obtained in [4]

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_n^{[r]}(a) = (n-1)! \iint \dots \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right)^r dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{n-1},$$

where $x_n = 1 - (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1})$, and the integral is over $x_k \geq 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). By using (1.4), he proved that (1.3) holds by using the Cauchy integral inequality.

Recently, Z.H. Zhang et al. in [5] proved and generalized (1.4), and also proved (1.3) by using the same proof.

Date: March 3, 2003.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Symmetric function, symmetric mean, inequality, elementary proof.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ be defined as above, for $1 \leq r \leq n$,

$$e_r = \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq 1} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{i_j}^{i_j}$$

is called the r th elementary symmetric function of a , where the sum is over all $\binom{n}{r}$ n -tuples of non-negative integers with $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = r$ and $0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq 1$. In particular, for $e_0 = 1$, we note

$$p_r = e_r \binom{n}{r}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

is called the r th elementary symmetric mean of a , then

$$(1.5) \quad \left[\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r-1)!} \right]^2 (p_r^2 - p_{r-1}p_{r+1}) \\ = (n-1) \sum (a_1 - a_2)^2 (c_{r-1}^{n-2})^2 + \frac{2!(n-3)}{(r-1)(n-r-1)} \sum (a_1 - a_2)^2 (a_3 - a_4)^2 (c_{r-2}^{n-4})^2 \\ + \frac{3!(n-5)}{(r-1)(r-2)(n-r-1)(n-r-2)} \sum (a_1 - a_2)^2 (a_3 - a_4)^2 (a_5 - a_6)^2 (c_{r-3}^{n-6})^2 + \dots$$

where c_{r-1}^{n-2} is the sum of all the possible $(r-1)$ products of the $(n-2)$ a_k 's other than a_1, a_2 . Similarly, one defines $c_{r-2}^{n-4}, c_{r-3}^{n-6}, \dots$.

We note that A.E. Jolliffe in [6] proved the Maclaurin symmetric mean inequality $p_r^2 \geq p_{r-1}p_{r+1}$ ($1 \leq r \leq n-1$). In this paper, we obtain an identity for $\sum_n^{[r]}(a)$ and $E_n^{[r]}(a)$, and give an elementary proof of (1.3).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_n^{[r-1]}(a) \cdot \sum_n^{[r+1]}(a) \geq \left[\sum_n^{[r]}(a) \right]^2$$

with equality holding if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

In this article, the following generalized result is proved:

Theorem 2. *If $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r > s$, then*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_n^{[s]}(a) \sum_n^{[r+1]}(a) \geq \sum_n^{[r]}(a) \sum_n^{[s+1]}(a)$$

with equality holding if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

Let $s = r - 1$, then the inequality (2.2) is the inequality (1.3).

3. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

To prove the inequality (2.2), we discussed the following properties for $E_n^{[r]}$:

Property 1. *If $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad E_n^{[r]} = E_{n-1}^{[r]} + a_n E_n^{[r-1]}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad E_n^{[r]} = \sum_{j=0}^r a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-j]}$$

Proof. If $n = 1$ or $r = 0$, (3.1) holds trivially. When $n > 1$, $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \geq 0}} \prod_{k=1}^n a_k^{i_k} = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0, i_n=0}} \prod_{k=1}^n a_k^{i_k} + a_n \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r-1 \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \geq 0}} \prod_{k=1}^n a_k^{i_k}$$

From the definition of $E_n^{[r]}$, this is just (3.1), and we obtain (3.2) by the recurrence (3.1). ■

Property 2. *If r be an integer, then*

$$(3.3) \quad (r+1)E_n^{[r+1]} = \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} \right) E_n^{[r-k]}$$

Proof. We show this by induction. (3.3) holds trivially for $n = 1$. Now suppose for $n-1$, $n > 1$ and nonnegative integer r , (3.3) is true. We will prove the validity of (3.3) for n .

By (3.2), for $0 \leq k \leq r$, we have

$$E_n^{[r-k]} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-k-j]}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^r (j+1) a_n^{j+1} E_{n-1}^{[r-j]} \\ &= a_n E_{n-1}^{[r]} + a_n^2 E_{n-1}^{[r-1]} + \dots + a_n^r E_{n-1}^{[1]} + a_n^{r+1} E_{n-1}^{[0]} + a_n^2 E_{n-1}^{[r-1]} + \dots + a_n^r E_{n-1}^{[1]} + a_n^{r+1} E_{n-1}^{[0]} \\ & \quad + \dots + a_n^r E_{n-1}^{[1]} + a_n^{r+1} E_{n-1}^{[0]} + a_n^{r+1} E_{n-1}^{[0]} = \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_n^{j+k+1} E_{n-1}^{[r-k-j]} \end{aligned}$$

According to the inductive hypothesis, for nonnegative integers r and $0 \leq j \leq r$, we have

$$(r-j+1)E_{n-1}^{[r+1-j]} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{k+1} \right) E_{n-1}^{[r-k-j]}$$

From Property 1 and the above formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (r+1)E_n^{[r+1]} &= (r+1) \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r+1-j]} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r (r-j+1) a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-j+1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} j a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-j+1]} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r a_n^j \sum_{k=0}^{r-j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{k+1} \right) E_{n-1}^{[r-j-k]} + \sum_{j=0}^r (j+1) a_n^{j+1} E_{n-1}^{[r-j]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_n^j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{k+1} \right) E_{n-1}^{[r-j-k]} + \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_n^{j+k+1} E_{n-1}^{[r-k-j]} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{k+1} + a_n^{k+1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-k-j]} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} \right) E_n^{[r-k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows (3.3) holds for n and this completes the proof. \blacksquare

Property 3. *If $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r > s$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & (r+1)(s+1) \binom{n+r}{r+1} \binom{n+s}{s+1} \left(\sum_n^{[s]} \sum_n^{[r+1]} - \sum_n^{[r]} \sum_n^{[s+1]} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} (E_n^{[s-k]} E_n^{[r-j]} - E_n^{[s-j]} E_n^{[r-k]}) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $j > k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n (a_v^k a_u^{j+1} + a_v^{j+1} a_u^k - a_v^j a_u^{k+1} - a_v^{k+1} a_u^j) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n [a_v^k a_u^k (a_v^{j-k+1} + a_u^{j-k+1} - a_v^{j-k} a_u - a_v a_u^{j-k})] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^n [a_v^k a_u^k (a_v^{j-k} - a_u^{j-k}) (a_v - a_u)] \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} [a_v^k a_u^k (a_v^{j-k} - a_u^{j-k}) (a_v - a_u)]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(a_v^{j-k} - a_u^{j-k}) = (a_v - a_u) \sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-k-1-t} a_u^t$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} = \sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} \left[\left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-j-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right]$$

But when $k > j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} &= - \sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-j-1} a_v^{j+t} a_u^{k-1-t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2
\end{aligned}$$

By the Property 2, we obtain

$$(r+1)E_n^{[r+1]} = \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} \right) E_n^{[r-j]}$$

and

$$(n+r)E_n^{[r]} = nE_n^{[r]} + rE_n^{[r]} = \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \right) E_n^{[r-j]}$$

From the above formula and note when $k > r, E_n^{[r-k]} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (r+1)(s+1) \binom{n+r}{r+1} \binom{n+s}{s+1} \left[\sum_n^{[s]} \sum_n^{[r+1]} - \sum_n^{[r]} \sum_n^{[s+1]} \right] \\ &= (n+s)(r+1)E_n^{[s]}E_n^{[r+1]} - (n+r)(s+1)E_n^{[r]}E_n^{[s+1]} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k \right) E_n^{[s-k]} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} \right) E_n^{[r-j]} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \right) E_n^{[r-j]} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} \right) E_n^{[s-k]} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{j+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{k+1} \right) E_n^{[s-k]} \cdot E_n^{[r-j]} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} E_n^{[s-k]} E_n^{[r-j]} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^k \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} E_n^{[s-k]} E_n^{[r-j]} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{k-j-1} a_v^{j+t} a_u^{k-1-t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} E_n^{[s-k]} E_n^{[r-j]} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} E_n^{[s-j]} E_n^{[r-k]} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^j \left[\sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} (E_n^{[s-k]} E_n^{[r-j]} - E_n^{[s-j]} E_n^{[r-k]}) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{j-k-1} a_v^{j-1-t} a_u^{k+t} \right) (a_v - a_u)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which gives expression (3.4). ■

Property 4. If $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r > s$, then

$$(3.5) \quad E_n^{[r-1]} \cdot E_n^{[s]} \geq E_n^{[r]} \cdot E_n^{[s-1]}$$

with equality holding if and only if among a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , we have $(n-1)a_k = 0$'s.

Proof. From the Property 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_n^{[r-1]} \cdot E_n^{[s]} - E_n^{[r]} \cdot E_n^{[s-1]} \\
&= E_n^{[r-1]} \left(E_{n-1}^{[s]} + a_n E_n^{[s-1]} \right) - \left(E_{n-1}^{[r]} + a_n E_n^{[r-1]} \right) E_n^{[s-1]} \\
&= E_n^{[r-1]} \cdot E_{n-1}^{[s]} - E_{n-1}^{[r]} \cdot E_n^{[s-1]} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-1-j]} \right) E_{n-1}^{[s]} - E_{n-1}^{[r]} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[s-1-j]} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_n^j \left(E_{n-1}^{[r-1-j]} \cdot E_{n-1}^{[s]} - E_{n-1}^{[r]} \cdot E_{n-1}^{[s-1-j]} \right) + E_{n-1}^{[s]} \left(\sum_{j=s}^{r-1} a_n^j E_{n-1}^{[r-1-j]} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since (3.5) holds $n = 1$, it follow induction that (3.5)holds for n . ■

Property 5. *If $r, s, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r > s > j > k$, then*

$$(3.6) \quad E_n^{[s-k]} \cdot E_n^{[r-j]} \geq E_n^{[s-j]} \cdot E_n^{[r-k]}$$

with equality holding if and only if among a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n we have $(n-1) a_k = 0$'s.

Proof. From the Property 4, if $r-k+1 > s-k+1, r-k+2 > s-k+2, \dots, r-j > s-j$, then

$$\prod_{m=k+1}^j \left(E_n^{[s-m]} \cdot E_n^{[j-m+1]} \right) \geq \prod_{m=k+1}^j \left(E_n^{[s-m+1]} \cdot E_n^{[j-m]} \right)$$

This gives(3.6) with the right condition equality. ■

Combining Property 3 and Property 5, we obtain the Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.V. Menon: Inequalities for symmetric functions. *Duke Math J.*, 35, 37-45 (1968).
- [2] D.S. Mitrinovic: *Analytic Inequalities*. Springer-Verlag,1970.
- [3] Kuang Jichang:*Applied Inequalities*.2nd ed. Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1993 (Chinese).
- [4] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, and G. Polya: *Inequalities*, 2nd ed. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952, 182.
- [5] Zhang Zhihua:Three classes of new mean in variables and their applications. *J. Hunan Edu. Ins.*,1997, 15(5):130-136 (Chinese).
- [6] A.E. Jolliffe: An identity connected with a polynomial algebraic equation. *J. London Math. Soc.*, **8**(1933),82-85.

YUEYANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, YUEYANG, HUNAN 414006, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: xzgzzh@163.com

ZIXING EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH SECTION, CHENZHOU, HUNAN 423400, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: zxzh1234@163.com