

# COMPARISON THEOREM FOR GENERALIZATION OF STOLARSKY MEANS

ALFRED WITKOWSKI

ABSTRACT. For real  $u, v, r, s$  and positive  $x, y$  we define

$$R(u, v; r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{E(u, v; x^s, y^s)}{E(u, v; x^r, y^r)} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

where  $E$  is the Stolarsky mean.  $R$  contains Gini, Heronian and many other known means.

In this paper we give sufficient and necessary conditions for

$$R(u, v; a, b; x, y) \leq R(u, v; c, d; x, y)$$

to hold.

We also investigate convexity properties of  $R(\alpha, \alpha+1; r, s; x, y)$  and obtain new inequalities between Gini, Heronian and Stolarsky means.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The comparison theorem for Stolarsky means

$$(1) \quad E(r, s) = E(r, s; x, y) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{r y^s - x^s}{s y^r - x^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)} & sr(s-r)(x-y) \neq 0, \\ \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{y^r - x^r}{\log y - \log x} \right)^{1/r} & r(x-y) \neq 0, s = 0, \\ e^{-1/r} (y y^r / x x^r)^{1/(y^r - x^r)} & r = s, r(x-y) \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{xy} & r = s = 0, x - y \neq 0, \\ x & x = y. \end{cases}$$

proved first by Leach and Scholander in [2] states that  $E(a, b; x, y) \leq E(c, d; x, y)$  holds for all  $x, y > 0$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad a + b \leq c + d$$

and

$$(3) \quad e(a, b) \leq e(c, d)$$

where

$$(4) \quad e(r, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{|r|-|s|}{r-s} & \min(a, b, c, d) < 0 < \max(a, b, c, d) \\ \begin{cases} \frac{r-s}{\log(r/s)} & rs > 0, \\ 0 & rs = 0 \end{cases} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

---

*Date:* 2 January 2004.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Extended mean values, mean, convexity.

In [4] Páles gave a proof of the comparison theorem for Gini means

$$(5) \quad G(r, s) = G(r, s; x, y) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{x^s + y^s}{x^r + y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)} & s - r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left( \frac{x^r \log x + y^r \log y}{x^r + y^r} \right) & s = r \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{xy} & s = r = 0 \end{cases}$$

which states that  $G(a, b, x, y) \leq G(c, d, x, y)$  holds for all  $x, y > 0$  if and only if

$$(6) \quad a + b \leq c + d$$

and

$$(7) \quad m(a, b) \leq m(c, d)$$

where

$$(8) \quad m(r, s) = \begin{cases} \min(r, s) & \text{if } \min(a, b, c, d) \geq 0, \\ \max(r, s) & \text{if } \max(a, b, c, d) \leq 0, \\ \frac{|r| - |s|}{r - s} & \text{if } \min(a, b, c, d) < 0 < \max(a, b, c, d). \end{cases}$$

It is natural to ask if similar results can be obtained for other two-parameter families of means like Heronian

$$(9) \quad N(r, s) = N(r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{x^s + (\sqrt{xy})^s + y^s}{x^r + (\sqrt{xy})^r + y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

or centroidal

$$(10) \quad T(r, s) = T(r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{x^{2s} + (xy)^s + y^{2s}}{x^s + y^s} \bigg/ \frac{x^{2r} + (xy)^r + y^{2r}}{x^r + y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

means.

In this paper we introduce S-means - a one parameter family of means that contains all the means mentioned so far - and prove the comparison theorem for them. The paper is organised as follows: in the next section we introduce S-means and investigate their monotonicity and convexity properties. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the comparison theorem. In the last section we apply our results to some other families of means.

## 2. S-MEANS

For real  $\alpha$  we define S-means as

$$(11) \quad S(\alpha; r, s) = S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) = \frac{E(r, s; x^{\alpha+1}, y^{\alpha+1})}{E(r, s; x^\alpha, y^\alpha)}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(12) \quad S(0; r, s, x, y) = E(r, s; x, y)$$

$$(13) \quad S\left(\frac{1}{2}; r, s, x, y\right) = N(r, s; x, y)$$

$$(14) \quad S(1; r, s, x, y) = G(r, s; x, y)$$

$$(15) \quad S(2; r, s, x, y) = T(r, s; x, y).$$

Observe that S-means are homogeneous of order 1 with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  and symmetric in  $r, s$  and  $x, y$ .

Investigating monotonicity properties of S-means we will use the weighted extended mean values introduced by the author in [6] and defined as

$$(16) \quad F(r, s; a, b; x, y) = \frac{E(r, s; ax, by)}{E(r, s; a, b)}.$$

so the S-means can be redefined as  $F(r, s; x^\alpha, y^\alpha; x, y)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *S-means are means (i.e.  $\min(x, y) \leq S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) \leq \max(x, y)$ ).*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4 in [6]  $\min(x, y) \leq F \leq \max(x, y)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.**  *$S(\alpha, r, s; x, y)$  is increasing in variables  $r$  and  $s$  if  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  and decreasing if  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 2 in [6] states that  $F(r, s; a, b; x, y)$  increases (resp. decreases) in  $r$  and  $s$  if  $(x - y)(a^2x - b^2y) > (<, \text{ resp. }) 0$ . For S-means this condition reads  $(x - y)(x^{2\alpha+1} - y^{2\alpha+1}) > (<, \text{ resp. }) 0$  and this is equivalent to  $2\alpha + 1 > (<, \text{ resp. }) 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.**  *$S(\alpha, r, s; x, y)$  is increasing in  $\alpha$  if  $r + s > 0$  and decreasing if  $r + s < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since S-means are homogeneous we can assume that  $y = 1$ . By [6] [Theorem 3]  $F(r, s; a, b; x, y)$  increases (resp. decreases) in  $a$  if  $(x - y)(r + s) > (<, \text{ resp. }) 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{sgn}(S(\alpha, r, s; x, 1) - S(\beta, r, s; x, 1)) \\ &= \text{sgn}(F(r, s; x^\alpha, 1; x, 1) - F(r, s; x^\beta, 1; x, 1)) \\ &= \text{sgn}((x^\alpha - x^\beta)(x - 1)(r + s)) \\ &= \text{sgn}(x^\beta(x^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)(x - 1)(r + s)) \\ &= \text{sgn}(\alpha - \beta)(r + s). \end{aligned}$$

because  $\text{sgn}((x^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)(x - 1)) = \text{sgn}(\alpha - \beta)$   $\square$

**Corollary 1.**

$$E(r, s) \leq N(r, s) \leq G(r, s) \leq T(r, s)$$

if  $r + s > 0$ . For  $r + s < 0$  the inequalities reverse.

The above inequality between Stolarsky and Gini means was obtained by Neuman and Páles [3].

It is worth noting that in general S-means are not monotone in  $x$  and  $y$ .

Before investigating convexity of S-means let us recall some elementary properties of convex functions:

**Property 1.** *If  $f$  is convex (resp. concave) then for  $h > 0$   $f(x+h) - f(x)$  increases (resp. decreases) in  $x$ . For negative  $h$  the monotonicity of  $f(x+h) - f(x)$  reverses.*

**Property 2.** *If  $f$  is convex (resp. concave) then for  $t > 0$  and fixed  $x_0$   $f(x_0 - t) + f(x_0 + t)$  increases (resp. decreases) in  $t$ .*

**Property 3.**  *$f$  is convex (resp. concave) if and only if the function  $\frac{f(s) - f(t)}{s - t}$  increases (resp. decreases) in  $s$  and  $t$ .*

The following lemmas will be useful

**Lemma 1.** *If  $f(x)$  is odd and convex for  $x > 0$  then the function  $h(t) = f(x_0 + t) + f(x_0 - t)$  is increasing in  $t$  for  $t > 0$  if  $x_0 > 0$  and decreasing otherwise. If  $f(x)$  is concave for  $x > 0$  then the monotonicity of  $h$  reverses.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 > 0$  and  $f$  be convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . If  $0 < t < x_0$  the  $h$  increases by property 2. If  $t > x_0$  then

$$h(t) = f(x_0 + t) - f(t - x_0) = f((t - x_0) + 2x_0) - f(t - x_0)$$

increases by property 1.

The proof is the same in case  $x_0 < 0$ . For a concave  $f$  it suffices to consider  $-f$ .  $\square$

For real  $t$  and positive  $A, B \neq 1$  let

$$g(t, A, B) = \frac{A^t \log^2 A}{(A^t - 1)^2} - \frac{B^t \log^2 B}{(B^t - 1)^2}.$$

**Lemma 2.**

- (a)  $g(t, A, B) = g(\pm t, A^{\pm 1}, B^{\pm 1})$  for arbitrary choice of signs,,
- (b)  $g$  is increasing in  $t$  on  $(0, \infty)$  if  $\log^2 A - \log^2 B > 0$  and decreasing otherwise.

*Proof.* (a) becomes obvious when we write

$$g(t, A, B) = \frac{\log^2 A}{A^t - 2 + A^{-t}} - \frac{\log^2 B}{B^t - 2 + B^{-t}}.$$

From (a) it follows that replacing  $A, B$  with  $A^{-1}, B^{-1}$  if necessary we may assume that  $A, B > 1$ . In this case  $\text{sgn}(\log^2 A - \log^2 B) = \text{sgn}(A^t - B^t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= -\frac{A^t(A^t + 1)\log^3 A}{(A^t - 1)^3} + \frac{B^t(B^t + 1)\log^3 B}{(B^t - 1)^3} \\ &= -\frac{1}{t^3}(\phi(A^t) - \phi(B^t)) = -\frac{1}{t^3}(A^t - B^t)\phi'(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(u) = \frac{u(u + 1)\log^3 u}{(u - 1)^3}$$

and  $\xi > 1$  lies between  $A^t$  and  $B^t$ . In order to complete the proof it is enough to show that  $\phi'(u) < 0$  for  $u > 1$ .

$$\phi'(u) = \frac{(u^2 + 4u + 1)\log^2 u}{(u - 1)^4} \left[ \frac{3(u^2 - 1)}{u^2 + 4u + 1} - \log u \right],$$

so the sign of  $\phi'$  is the same as the sign of  $\psi(u) = \frac{3(u^2 - 1)}{u^2 + 4u + 1} - \log u$ . But  $\psi(1) = 0$  and  $\psi'(u) = -(u - 1)^4 / (u^2 + 4u + 1)^2 < 0$ , so  $\phi(u) < 0$ .  $\square$

As  $E(r, s; x, y)$  is positive and continuous in all variables  $S$ -means are also continuous which allows us to consider only the general case ( $r \neq s, rs \neq 0$ ) and have the other cases follow by continuity.

Our next step will be investigation of convexity of  $S(\alpha)$ . Once again due to homogeneity of  $S$  as a function of  $x$  and  $y$  we can consider only case  $y = 1$ .

Given that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log |b^\alpha - 1| = -\frac{b^\alpha \log^2 b}{(b^\alpha - 1)^2}$$

and

$$S(\alpha; r, s; x, 1) = \left( \frac{x^{(\alpha+1)s} - 1}{x^{\alpha s} - 1} / \frac{x^{(\alpha+1)r} - 1}{x^{\alpha r} - 1} \right)^{1/s-r}$$

we see, that

$$(17) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log S = \frac{g(\alpha + 1, x^r, x^s) - g(\alpha, x^r, x^s)}{s - r}.$$

This leads to the following

**Theorem 4.** *If  $r + s > 0$  then  $S(\alpha)$  is log-concave for  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  and log-convex for  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*If  $r + s < 0$  then  $S(\alpha)$  is log-convex for  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  and log-concave for  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2(a) we can replace  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1$  in the right hand side of the formula (17) with their absolute values. Since  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  is equivalent to  $|\alpha + 1| > |\alpha|$  applying Lemma 2(b) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log S &= \operatorname{sgn} \frac{g(|\alpha + 1|, x^r, x^s) - g(|\alpha|, x^r, x^s)}{s - r} \\ &= \operatorname{sgn} \left( \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\log^2(x^r) - \log^2(x^s)}{s - r} \right) \\ &= -\operatorname{sgn} \left( \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) (r + s) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

An easy calculation reveals the following properties of  $S(\alpha)$ :

$$(18) \quad S(-\tfrac{1}{2}; r, s; x, y) = \sqrt{xy}$$

$$(19) \quad S(-\tfrac{1}{2} - \alpha)S(-\tfrac{1}{2} + \alpha) = S^2(-\tfrac{1}{2}) = xy,$$

which enables us to prove the

**Theorem 5.** *If  $r + s > 0$  then*

- *if  $\alpha_0 > -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $S(\alpha_0 - t)S(\alpha_0 + t)$  is decreasing for positive  $t$ ,*
- *if  $\alpha_0 < -\frac{1}{2}$  then  $S(\alpha_0 - t)S(\alpha_0 + t)$  is increasing for positive  $t$ .*

*For  $r + s < 0$  the monotonicities reverse.*

*Proof.* From (18) and (19) we see, that the function  $\log S(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) - \log S(-\frac{1}{2})$  is odd, so the theorem follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 4.  $\square$

The following table shows some inequalities between the means that can be obtained using Theorems 4 and 5. In all the cases we assume  $r + s > 0$ , otherwise the inequalities reverse

Inequality	How obtained
$N^2(r, s) \geq E(r, s)G(r, s)$	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}0 + \frac{1}{2}1$
$E^2(r, s) \geq \sqrt{xy}N(r, s)$	$0 = \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$
$E^3(r, s) \geq xyG(r, s)$	$0 = \frac{2}{3}(-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}1$
$N^3(r, s) \geq \sqrt{xy}G^2(r, s)$	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{3}(-\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{2}{3}1$
$N(r, s)G(r, s) \geq \sqrt{xy}T(r, s)$	$\alpha_0 = \frac{3}{4}, t_1 = \frac{1}{4}, t_2 = \frac{5}{4}$ in th. 5

The last theorem of this section refines the inequalities between Stolarsky, Gini and Heronian means.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $0 < \alpha$  and  $r + s > 0$  then*

$$E(r, s) \leq S(\alpha; r/(2\alpha + 1), s/(2\alpha + 1)).$$

*For  $r + s < 0$  the inequality reverses.*

Setting  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = 1$  we get

**Corollary 2.** *If  $r + s > 0$  then*

$$E(r, s) \leq G(r/3, s/3) \quad \text{and} \quad E(r, s) \leq N(r/2, s/2).$$

*The inequalities reverse if  $r + s < 0$ .*

(the first inequality was obtained by Czinder and Páles in [1]).

*Proof of Theorem 6.* For  $-\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 0$  let  $\mu = 2\beta + 1$  and  $\nu = -\beta/(2\beta + 1)$ . Then  $-\beta = \mu\nu$  and  $\beta + 1 = \mu(\nu + 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad S(\beta; r, s) &= \left( \frac{x^{s(\beta+1)} - y^{s(\beta+1)}}{x^{s\beta} - y^{s\beta}} \frac{x^{r\beta} - y^{r\beta}}{x^{r(\beta+1)} - y^{r(\beta+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}} \\ &= \left( \frac{(xy)^{r\beta} x^{s(\beta+1)} - y^{s(\beta+1)}}{(xy)^{s\beta} x^{-s\beta} - y^{-s\beta}} \frac{x^{-r\beta} - y^{-r\beta}}{x^{r(\beta+1)} - y^{r(\beta+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}} \\ &= (xy)^{-\beta} \left( \frac{x^{\mu s(\nu+1)} - y^{\mu s(\nu+1)}}{x^{\mu s\nu} - y^{\mu s\nu}} \frac{x^{\mu r\nu} - y^{\mu r\nu}}{x^{\mu r(\nu+1)} - y^{\mu r(\nu+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu s - \mu r}} \\ &= (xy)^{-\beta} S^\mu(\nu; \mu r, \mu s) \\ &= (xy)^{-\beta} S^{2\beta+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{2\beta+1}; (2\beta+1)r, (2\beta+1)s\right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $r + s > 0$  then  $S(\beta)$  is log-concave in  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ . As  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma + 0(1 - \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma = -2\beta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad (xy)^{-\beta} S^{2\beta+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{2\beta+1}; (2\beta+1)r, (2\beta+1)s\right) &= S(\beta; r, s) \\ &\geq S^{-2\beta}\left(-\frac{1}{2}; r, s\right) S^{1+2\beta}(0; r, s) \\ &= (\sqrt{xy})^{-2\beta} E^{2\beta+1}(r, s). \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof we set  $\alpha = \frac{-\beta}{2\beta+1}$  and observe that if  $\beta$  varies from 0 to  $-\frac{1}{2}$  then  $\alpha$  increases from 0 to  $\infty$ .

In case  $r + s < 0$   $S$  is log-convex, which makes the inequality in (21) reverse.  $\square$

### 3. COMPARISON THEOREM FOR S-MEANS

The theorem that follows is the main result of this paper

**Theorem 7.** *For  $\alpha > 0$*

$$(22) \quad S(\alpha; a, b; x, y) \leq S(\alpha; c, d; x, y)$$

*holds for all  $x, y > 0$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$(23) \quad a + b \leq c + d$$

$$(24) \quad m(a, b) \leq m(c, d)$$

*where  $m$  is defined by (8).*

In other words for positive  $\alpha$  S-means are comparable if and only if corresponding Gini means are.

To start with the proof we need some prerequisites. For  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,  $a < b$ ,  $c < d$ ,  $abcd \neq 0$  define

$$(25) \quad h(x) = h(x; a, b; c, d) = \frac{\frac{bx^b}{x^b-1} - \frac{ax^a}{x^a-1}}{b-a} - \frac{\frac{dx^d}{x^d-1} - \frac{cx^{c-1}}{x^c-1}}{d-c}$$

$$(26) \quad = \left( \frac{\frac{b}{x^b-1} - \frac{a}{x^a-1}}{b-a} - \frac{\frac{d}{x^d-1} - \frac{c}{x^c-1}}{d-c} \right)$$

$$(27) \quad = \left( \frac{\frac{bx^b+1}{2x^b-1} - \frac{ax^a+1}{2x^a-1}}{b-a} - \frac{\frac{dx^d+1}{2x^d-1} - \frac{cx^c+1}{2x^c-1}}{d-c} \right)$$

$$(28) \quad = \frac{1}{\ln x} \left( \frac{k\left(\frac{b \ln x}{2}\right) - k\left(\frac{a \ln x}{2}\right)}{b-a} - \frac{k\left(\frac{d \ln x}{2}\right) - k\left(\frac{c \ln x}{2}\right)}{d-c} \right)$$

where  $k(t) = \frac{t}{\tanh t}$ .

The expressions in numerators of (25), (26) and (27) differ by a linear term that gets annihilated by the difference of difference quotients. The following lemmas will be used in the proof

**Lemma 3.** *If  $a + b < (>, \text{ resp. }) c + d$  then  $h(x)$  is positive (resp. negative) for  $x$  close to 1.*

*Proof.* Writing  $(1+t)^a - 1 = at + \frac{a(a-1)}{2}t^2 + \frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{6}t^3 + o(t^3)$  etc. in (26) we obtain after elementary calculations that

$$h(1+t) = \frac{((a+b) - (c+d))t + o(t)}{12 + O(t)}$$

□

**Lemma 4.** *If  $m(a, b) < (>, \text{ resp. }) m(c, d)$  then  $h(x)$  is positive (resp. negative) for  $x$  close to 0.*

*If  $m(a, b) = m(c, d)$  then  $h(x)$  is positive (resp. negative) for  $x$  close to 0 if  $a + b < (>, \text{ resp. }) c + d$ .*

*If  $m(a, b) = m(c, d)$  and  $a + b = c + d$  then  $h \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* Consider three cases:

If  $\min(a, b, c, d) > 0$  then applying l'Hôpital's rule we see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x)}{x^{\min(a,c)}} = \begin{cases} 1/(b-a) > 0 & a < c, \\ -1/(d-c) < 0 & a > c, \\ 1/(b-a) - 1/(d-c) & a = c \end{cases}$$

Note that in case  $a = c$  the sign of  $h$  near 0 depends on the condition (23)

Case  $\max(a, b, c, d) < 0$  is similar.

If  $\min(a, b, c, d) < 0 < \max(a, b, c, d)$  then we see from (27) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} h(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|a| - |b|}{b-a} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|c| - |d|}{d-c} = \frac{m(c, d) - m(a, b)}{2}$$

which completes the proof in case  $m(a, b) \neq m(c, d)$ .

Consider now the case  $m(a, b) = m(c, d)$ . Geometrically this means that the segments joining corresponding points on the graph of  $-|x|$  are parallel. But this is possible only if  $a, c < 0 < b, d$ . If the segments are parallel to the x-axis then  $a = -b$  and  $c = -d$ . In this case  $h \equiv 0$ .

If they are not horizontal then their midpoints lie on a line passing through the origin and we see that

$$(29) \quad a + b < c + d \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|) \in \{|b|, |c|\}$$

$$(30) \quad a + b > c + d \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|) \in \{|a|, |d|\}.$$

On the other hand

$$(31) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x)}{x^{\min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|)}} = \begin{cases} -a/(b-a) > 0 & |a| = \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|), \\ -b/(b-a) < 0 & |b| = \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|), \\ c/(d-c) < 0 & |c| = \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|), \\ d/(d-c) > 0 & |d| = \min(|a|, |b|, |c|, |d|) \end{cases}$$

Comparing (31), (29) and (30) we obtain required result.  $\square$

**Lemma 5** ([5] Theorem 2). *If  $f$  is an even function satisfying  $f''(x) > 0$ ,  $f'''(x) < 0$  and  $(xf'''(x)/f''(x))' < 0$  for  $x > 0$  and*

$$F_{a,b}(t) = \frac{f(at) - f(bt)}{a - b}$$

*then the inequality  $F_{a,b}(t) \leq F_{c,d}(t)$  holds for all  $t \in [-s, s]$  if and only if  $a+b \leq c+d$  and  $F_{a,b}(s) \leq F_{c,d}(s)$ .*

Now, equipped with the these tools we can prove the theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Due to homogeneity we can assume that  $y = 1$ , and because of symmetry  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$ . Assume also that  $a \neq b$ ,  $c \neq d$  and  $abcd \neq 0$ . By (11) the inequality  $S(\alpha; a, b; x, 1) \leq S(\alpha; c, d; x, 1)$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{E(a, b; x^{\alpha+1}, 1)}{E(c, d; x^{\alpha+1}, 1)} \leq \frac{E(a, b; x^\alpha, 1)}{E(c, d; x^\alpha, 1)}$$

Let

$$H(a, b; c, d; x) = \log \frac{E(a, b; x, 1)}{E(c, d; x, 1)}.$$

The comparison theorem reduces to the question when

$$(32) \quad H(a, b; c, d; x^{\alpha+1}) \leq H(a, b; c, d; x^\alpha)$$

holds for all  $x$ .

Note that

$$(33) \quad H_x(a, b; c, d; x) = x^{-1}h(x; a, b; c, d)$$

where  $h$  is defined by (25).

We will show first that the the conditions (23) and (24) are necessary.

If (32) holds then by the mean value theorem  $H(a, b; c, d; x^{\alpha+1}) - H(a, b; c, d; x^\alpha) = H_x(a, b; c, d; \xi)(x^{\alpha+1} - x^\alpha)$ . But this means that  $H_x$  takes positive values arbitrarily close to 0 and 1. By (33) and by Lemmas 3 and 4 this is impossible if (23) and (24) are not satisfied.

To proof sufficiency assume that (23) and (24) hold. Suppose we know already that the function  $k$  in (28) satisfies assumptions of Lemma 5. Then by Lemma 4



or  $h \equiv 0$  in which case  $H$  is constant in  $x$ , or  $h$  is positive for small  $x$ , which means (using (28)) that

$$\frac{k\left(\frac{b \ln x}{2}\right) - k\left(\frac{a \ln x}{2}\right)}{b - a} \leq \frac{k\left(\frac{d \ln x}{2}\right) - k\left(\frac{c \ln x}{2}\right)}{d - c}$$

for small  $x$ . By Lemma 5 the above inequality holds for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . But this means that  $h$  is nonnegative, and by (33)  $H(a, b; c, d; x)$  increases for  $x < 1$ . As  $H(a, b; c, d; x) = H(a, b; c, d; x^{-1})$  it decreases for  $x > 1$  hence (32) holds for all  $x$ . The case  $a = b, c = d$  and  $abcd = 0$  can be easily proved using continuity of  $H$ .

To complete the proof we have to show that  $k(t) = t / \tanh t$  satisfies  $k''(t) > 0, k'''(t) < 0$  and  $(k f'''(t)/k''(t))' < 0$  for  $t > 0$ .

$$(34) \quad k''(t) = 2 \frac{t \cosh t - \sinh t}{\sinh^3 t} > 0$$

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} k'''(t) &= 2 \frac{3 \sinh t \cosh t - t(1 + 2 \cosh^2 t)}{\sinh^4 t} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sinh^4 t} (3 \sinh(2t) - 2t(2 + \cosh(2t))) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $3 \sinh(t) - t(2 + \cosh(t)) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{2k+1} - 1\right) \frac{t^{2k+1}}{(2k)!} < 0$ .

Now we have to show that  $tk'''(t)/k''(t)$  is decreasing

$$(36) \quad tk'''(t)/k''(t) = t \frac{3 \sinh t \cosh t - t(1 + 2 \cosh^2 t)}{t \cosh t \sinh t - \sinh^2 t}$$

$$(37) \quad = -2t \frac{4t + 2t \cosh(2t) - 3 \sinh(2t)}{2t \sinh(2t) + 2 - 2 \cosh(2t)} \equiv -2tu(2t).$$

Since  $u(t)$  is positive by (34) and (35) it is enough to show that  $u$  is increasing.

$$(38) \quad u'(t) = \frac{\cosh^2 t - 8 \cosh t + 7 + 6t \sinh t + t^2(1 - 2 \cosh t)}{(t \sinh t + 2 - 2 \cosh t)^2}$$

$$(39) \quad = \frac{1}{(t \sinh t + 2 - 2 \cosh t)^2} \sum_{\substack{k=6 \\ k \text{ even}}}^{\infty} \frac{2(2^{k-2} - (k-2)^2)}{k!} t^k > 0$$

□

**Theorem 8.** For  $-\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 0$   $S(\alpha; a, b) \leq S(\alpha; c, d)$  for all  $x, y > 0$  if and only if (23) and (24) hold.

*Proof.* Follows immediately from (20) and the fact that (23) and (24) are invariant under multiplication by a positive constant. □

Finally from (19) we obtain

**Corollary 3.** For  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha \neq 1$   $S(\alpha; a, b) \leq S(\alpha; c, d)$  if and only if  $a + b \geq c + d$  and  $m(a, b) \geq m(c, d)$  hold.

The following table summarises the results of this section

$$S(\alpha; a, b) \leq S(\alpha; c, d)$$

$\alpha \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$	$a + b \leq c + d$ and $m(a, b) \leq m(c, d)$
$\alpha = 0$	$a + b \leq c + d$ and $e(a, b) \leq e(c, d)$
$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$	$S(\alpha; a, b) = S(\alpha; c, d) = \sqrt{xy}$
$\alpha = -1$	$a + b \geq c + d$ and $e(a, b) \geq e(c, d)$
$\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$	$a + b \geq c + d$ and $m(a, b) \geq m(c, d)$

#### 4. OTHER MEANS

Observe that for  $r \neq s$  S-means can be written as

$$(40) \quad S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{E(\alpha, \alpha + 1; x^s, y^s)}{E(\alpha, \alpha + 1; x^r, y^r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}}$$

and this encourages us to consider more general means defined by

$$(41) \quad R(u, v; r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{E(u, v; x^s, y^s)}{E(u, v; x^r, y^r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}}$$

As  $E$  is continuously differentiable the definition of  $R$  can be extended for  $r = s$ .  $R$  contains some well known means like

$$R(1, n + 1; 0, 1; x, y) = \left( \frac{x^n + x^{n-1}y + \dots + xy^{n-1} + y^n}{n + 1} \right)^{1/n}$$

and its two-parameter generalization

$$R(1, n + 1; r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{x^{ns} + x^{(n-1)s}y^s + \dots + x^s y^{(n-1)s} + y^{ns}}{x^{nr} + x^{(n-1)r}y^r + \dots + x^r y^{(n-1)r} + y^{nr}} \right)^{1/n(s-r)}$$

Note that in general case

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} R(u, v; r, s; x, y) &= \left( \frac{E(u, v; x^s, y^s)}{E(u, v; x^r, y^r)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}} \\ &= \left( \frac{x^{sv} - y^{sv}}{v} \frac{u}{x^{su} - y^{su}} \frac{v}{x^{rv} - y^{rv}} \frac{x^{ru} - y^{ru}}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{v-u} \frac{1}{s-r}} \\ &= \left( \frac{x^{sv} - y^{sv}}{s} \frac{r}{x^{rv} - y^{rv}} \frac{x^{ru} - y^{ru}}{r} \frac{s}{x^{su} - y^{su}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r} \frac{1}{v-u}} \\ &= \left( \frac{E(r, s; x^v, y^v)}{E(r, s; x^u, y^u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} = R(r, s; u, v, x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Using this identity we can express  $R$  in terms of  $S$  for  $u \neq v$ . In this case  $u = (v - u) \frac{u}{v-u}$  and  $v = (v - u) (\frac{u}{v-u} + 1)$ , so that from (42)

$$(43) \quad R(u, v; r, s; x, y) = \left( \frac{E(r, s; x^v, y^v)}{E(r, s; x^u, y^u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} = S\left(\frac{u}{v-u}; r, s; x^{v-u}, y^{v-u}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}$$

This shows that  $R$  is indeed a mean and allows us to prove the

**Theorem 9.** *If  $u + v > 0$  then  $R(u, v; a, b; x, y) \leq R(u, v; c, d; x, y)$  if and only if*

$$a + b \leq c + d$$

and

$$w(a, b) \leq w(c, d),$$

where

$$(44) \quad w(r, s) = \begin{cases} e(r, s) & uv = 0, \\ m(r, s) & uv \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $u + v < 0$  then  $R(u, v; a, b; x, y) \leq R(u, v; c, d; x, y)$  if and only if

$$a + b \geq c + d$$

and

$$w(a, b) \geq w(c, d).$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \neq v$ . By (43)

$$R(u, v; a, b; x, y) \leq R(u, v; c, d; x, y)$$

is equivalent to

$$S\left(\frac{u}{v-u}; a, b; x^{v-u}, y^{v-u}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} \leq S\left(\frac{u}{v-u}; c, d; x^{v-u}, y^{v-u}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}$$

The assertion follows from the comparison theorem for S-means and Stolarsky means by noting that the sign of  $\frac{u}{v-u} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{u+v}{2(v-u)}$  is responsible for signs in the comparison criteria, and additional sign change may be caused by removal of exponents  $\frac{1}{v-u}$ . Note that  $uv = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{u}{v-u} = 0$  or  $-1$ , so in this case the  $e$  function must be used instead of  $m$ .

The case  $u = v$  follows by continuity.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *R increases in r and s if  $u + v > 0$  and decreases otherwise.*

*Proof.* Follows from the previous theorem and the fact that the comparison criteria are preserved when parameters increase.  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of (42)

**Corollary 5.** *R increases in u and v if  $r + s > 0$  and decreases otherwise.*

Next corollary is a simple consequence of Theorem 4 and Property 3.

**Corollary 6.** *The function  $l(r) = \log E(u, v; x^r, y^r)$  is convex (resp. concave) if  $u + v > (<, \text{ resp. } ) 0$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Czinder P. and Páles Zs., *Some comparison inequalities for Gini and Stolarsky means*, Math. Inequal. Appl. (to appear).
- [2] Leach E. and Scholander M., *Extended mean values II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **92** (1983), 207-223.
- [3] Neuman E., Páles Zs., *On comparison of Stolarsky and Gini means* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **278** (2003), 274-285.
- [4] Páles Zs., *Inequalities for sums of powers*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131** (1988), 265-270.
- [5] Páles Zs., *Comparison of two variables homogeneous means*, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, vol. 103 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1992), p. 59-70
- [6] Witkowski A., *Weighted extended mean values*, Colloq. Math. **100**(1) (2004), 111-117. [ONLINE: RGMIA Research Report Collection, **7**(1), Article 6, 2004 <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n1.html>]

MIELCZARSKIEGO 4/29, 85-796 BYDGOSZCZ, POLAND  
E-mail address: [alfred.witkowski@atosorigin.com](mailto:alfred.witkowski@atosorigin.com)