

TWO WEIGHTED PRODUCT TYPE MEANS AND ITS MONOTONICITIES

V. LOKESHA, ZHI-HUA ZHANG, AND YU-DONG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define the following two weighted product type means in n variables and prove their monotonicities:

$$I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r-1}{r-2} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}},$$

$$I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{r} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (1+i_k)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r+1}{r} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}},$$

where $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ $a_i \geq 0$ and $\lambda_i > 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and r be a nonnegative integer.

1. INTRODUCTION

For positive numbers a_0, a_1 , let

$$(1.1) \quad I = I(a_0, a_1) = \begin{cases} \exp \left[\frac{a_1 \ln a_1 - a_0 \ln a_0}{a_1 - a_0} - 1 \right], & a_0 < a_1, \\ a_0, & a_0 = a_1. \end{cases}$$

This is called the identric mean (see [1]).

In 2003, Xiao and Zhang [2] gave a new product type mean and its dual form in two variables respectively as follows

$$(1.2) \quad I(a_0, a_1; k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{(k+1-i)a_0 + ia_1}{k+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad I^*(a_0, a_1; k) = \prod_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{(k-i)a_0 + ia_1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

where k is a natural number. Authors proved that $I(a_0, a_1; k)$ is a monotone decreasing function and $I^*(a_0, a_1; k)$ is a monotone increasing function with k , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} I(a_0, a_1; k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} I^*(a_0, a_1; k) = I(a_0, a_1).$$

Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and r be a nonnegative integer, where a_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$ are nonnegative real numbers. Then

$$(1.4) \quad I_n^{[r]}(a) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{\frac{1}{\binom{n+r-1}{r-1}}},$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Mean, weighted, product, inequality, monotonicity.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$.

and

$$(1.5) \quad I_n^{*[r]}(a) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{r} a_k \right]^{\frac{1}{\binom{n+r}{r}}},$$

are called the product type mean and its dual form of a , respectively.

Also, in 2003, Zhang and Xiao [2] obtained that for any nonnegative integers r, s with $s > r$, then

$$(1.6) \quad I_n^{[r]}(a) \geq I_n^{[s]}(a),$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad I_n^{*[r]}(a) \leq I_n^{*[s]}(a),$$

with equalities if and only if $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n$, and

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{[r]}(a) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{*[r]}(a) = I(a) = \exp \left\{ \frac{V(a; n, 1)}{V(a; n, 0)} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right\},$$

where $I(a)$ is called the identric mean in n variables, and $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$,

$$(1.9) \quad V(a; r, k) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_0 & a_0^2 & \dots & a_0^{n-1} & a_0^r \ln^k a_0 \\ 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_1^{n-1} & a_1^r \ln^k a_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & a_n & a_n^2 & \dots & a_n^{n-1} & a_n^r \ln^k a_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

In this paper, the definition of the weighted product type mean and its dual form in n variables are given, its monotonicities are obtained.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and r be a nonnegative integer, where $a_i \geq 0$ and $\lambda_i > 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$(2.1) \quad I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r-1}{r-2} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda) = \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{r} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (1+i_k)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r+1}{r} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}},$$

is called the weighted product type mean and its dual form of a for λ , respectively.

Now, we give a theorem relating to the weighted product type mean $I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda)$ and its dual form $I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.1. If $r \in N$, then $I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda)$ is a monotone decreasing function and $I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda)$ is a monotone increasing function with r , those are

$$(2.3) \quad I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) \geq I_n^{[r+1]}(a, \lambda) \geq I(a, \lambda) \geq I_n^{*[r+1]}(a, \lambda) \geq I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda),$$

with equality holding if and only if $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n$, where

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda) = I(a, \lambda) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\int_E (\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i x_i) \ln(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i) dx}{\int_E (\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i x_i) dx} \right\},$$

$x_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$ denotes the differential of the volume in E :

$$(2.5) \quad E = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq 1, x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda)$ is a monotone decreasing function with r , i.e. the following inequality holds:

$$(2.6) \quad I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) \geq I_n^{[r+1]}(a, \lambda),$$

or

$$(2.7) \quad \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r-1}{r-2} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}} \\ \geq \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r+1 \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1 \text{ are integers}}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right]^{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r}{r-1} \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i}}.$$

If $r \in N$ and $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_n = n + r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j - 1) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k - 1) \lambda_k - \lambda_j \right] &= \sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j - 1) \sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k - 1) \lambda_k - \sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j - 1) \lambda_j \\ &= r \sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k - 1) \lambda_k - \sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k - 1) \lambda_k \\ &= (r - 1) \sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k - 1) \lambda_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} \cdot \frac{n+r+1}{n+r} a_k = \frac{n+r+1}{n+r} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k \\ &= \frac{1}{n+r} \left[\sum_{j=0}^n i_j + 1 \right] \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k \\ &= \frac{1}{n+r} \left[\sum_{j=0}^n i_j \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+r} \left[\sum_{j=0}^n i_j \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r+1} a_k + \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{i_j}{n+r+1} a_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{i_j}{n+r} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r+1} + \frac{a_j}{n+r+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By using the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \geq \prod_{j=0}^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r+1} + \frac{a_j}{n+r+1} \right]^{i_j/(n+r)},$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k} \\
& \geq \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1}} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r+1} + \frac{a_j}{n+r+1} \right]^{i_j/(n+r)} \right\}^{\sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k} \\
& = \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=n+r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r+1} + \frac{a_j}{n+r+1} \right]^{i_j \sum_{k=0}^n (i_k-1)\lambda_k/(n+r)} \\
(2.8) \quad & = \prod_{j=0}^n \prod_{\substack{\nu_0+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_n=n+r+1 \\ \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{j-1}, \nu_{j+1}, \dots, \nu_n \geq 1; \nu_j \geq 2}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\nu_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right]^{(\nu_j-1)[\sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k-1)\lambda_k + \lambda_j]/(n+r)} \\
& = \prod_{\substack{\nu_0+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_n=n+r+1 \\ \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\nu_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right]^{\sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j-1)[\sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k-1)\lambda_k + \lambda_j]/(n+r)} \\
& = \prod_{\substack{\nu_0+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_n=n+r+1 \\ \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\nu_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right]^{(r-1)\sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j-1)\lambda_j/(n+r)} \\
& = \prod_{\substack{\nu_0+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_n=n+r+1 \\ \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \geq 1}} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\nu_k}{n+r+1} a_k \right]^{\sum_{j=0}^n (\nu_j-1)\lambda_j \binom{n+r-2}{r-1} / \binom{n+r}{r}},
\end{aligned}$$

notice that the result from line 4 to line 5 in (2.8) follows from a simple fact that

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\nu_k}{n+r} a_k \right]^{(\nu_j-1)[\sum_{k=0}^n (\nu_k-1)\lambda_k + \lambda_j]/(n+r)} = 1$$

for $\nu_j = 1$. The equalities above are valid if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r} + \frac{a_0}{n+r} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r} + \frac{a_1}{n+r} = \dots = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k a_k}{n+r} + \frac{a_n}{n+r}$ which is equivalent to $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n$. This implies that inequality (2.7) or (2.6).

Secondly, we can similarly obtain that $I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda)$ is a monotone increasing function with r .

In the final, it is easy to see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{[r]}(a, \lambda) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda)$, and straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \ln I_n^{*[r]}(a, \lambda) \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (1+i_k)\lambda_k}{\binom{n+r+1}{r}} \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_n=r \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0}} \ln \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i_k}{r} a_k \\
&= \frac{\int_E (\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i x_i) \ln (\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i) dx}{\int_E (\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i x_i) dx} \\
&= \ln I(a, \lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where $x_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$ denotes the differential of the volume in E as (2.5).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-Ch. Kuang, *Chángyòng Búděngshì (Applied Inequalities)*, 2nd ed. Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1993. (Chinese)
- [2] Zh.-G. Xiao and Zh.-H. Zhang, *The Inequalities $G \leq L \leq I \leq A$ in n Variables*, J. Ineq. Pure & Appl. Math., 4(2) (2003), Article 39. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v4n2/110_02.pdf]

(V. Loksha) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SOLDEVAHNALLI, HESARAGATTA ROAD, KARNATAKA BANGALORE-90 INDIA

E-mail address: lokiv@yahoo.com

(Zh.-H. Zhang) ZIXING EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH SECTION, CHENZHOU, HUNAN 423400, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: zxzh1234@163.com

(Y.-D. Wu) XINCHANG MIDDLE SCHOOL, XINCHANG, ZHEJIANG 312500, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: zjxcwyd@tom.com