

SOME UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE PRODUCT $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$

MEHDI HASSANI

ABSTRACT. In this note, using refined Mandl's inequality, Robin's inequality and a refinements of the AGM inequality, we find some upper bounds for the product $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$.

As usual, let p_n be the n^{th} prime. The Mandl's inequality (see [1] and [5]) asserts that for every $n \geq 9$, we have:

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i < \frac{p_n}{2}.$$

Robin's inequality (see [1], page 51) gives a lower bound for the average $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$; for every $n \geq 2$, it asserts that:

$$(1.2) \quad p_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

A refinement of Mandl's inequality has been obtained in [2], as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i < \frac{p_n}{2} - \frac{n}{14} \quad (n \geq 10).$$

Using (1.3) and the AGM Inequality [3], we obtain:

$$(1.4) \quad p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n < \left(\frac{p_n}{2} - \frac{n}{14} \right)^n \quad (n \geq 10).$$

Note that (1.4) holds also for $5 \leq n \leq 9$. This yields an upper bound for the product $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$, which has been appeared in [2], already. In this short note, we use a refinement of the AGM inequality to get some better bounds. In [4], Rooin shows that for any non-negative real numbers $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n$, we have:

$$(1.5) \quad A_n - G_n \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2}^n A_{\frac{n-k}{n-1}} \left(x_n^{\frac{1}{n}} - A_{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right)^k \geq 0,$$

in which:

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i,$$

and

$$G_n = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A41.

Key words and phrases. Primes, Inequalities, AGM-Inequality.

Applying (1.5) on $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_n$, and using relations (1.2) and (1.3), for every $n \geq 10$, we obtain:

$$(1.6) \quad p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n < \left\{ \left(\frac{p_n}{2} - \frac{n}{14} \right) - \Omega(n) \right\}^n,$$

in which,

$$\Omega(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2}^n p_{\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor} \left\{ p_n^{\frac{1}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_n}{2} - \frac{n}{14} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\}^k > 0.$$

In fact, all members under summation are positive. So,

$$\Omega(n) > \frac{1}{n} \left\{ p_n^{\frac{1}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_n}{2} - \frac{n}{14} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\}^n > \frac{p_n}{2n} \left(2^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right)^n.$$

Using this bound for $\Omega(n)$ and considering (1.6), for every $n \geq 10$, we obtain:

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n < \left\{ \frac{p_n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\left(2^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \right)^n}{n} \right) - \frac{n}{14} \right\}^n.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Pierre Dusart, Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers, PhD. Thesis, 1998.
- [2] M. Hassani, A Refinement of Mandls Inequality and Approximation of the Product $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics (JIPAM)*, submitted.
- [3] J. Rooin, Some New Proofs for the AGM Inequality, *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, Vol. 7, No. 4, (2004)517-521.
- [4] J. Rooin, AGM inequality with binomial expansion, *Elemente der Mathematik*, 58 (2003) 115-117.
- [5] J. Barkley Rosser & L. Schoenfeld, Sharper Bounds for the Chebyshev Functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, *Math. Of Computation*, Vol. 29, Number 129 (January 1975) pp. 243-269.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED, STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 45195-1159, ZANJAN, IRAN.
E-mail address: mmhassany@yahoo.com