

ON THE INTERSECTION OF SOME FAMILIES OF MEANS

ALFRED WITKOWSKI

ABSTRACT. Alzer and Ruscheweyh have shown that any mean that is simultaneously a Gini and a Stolarsky mean belongs to the family of power means. We extend this result by proving analogous result for a broad class of two-parameter means, called S-means.

1. INTRODUCTION

For real r, s and positive x, y the Gini means are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad G(r, s; x, y) = \left(\frac{A(x^s, y^s)}{A(x^r, y^r)} \right)^{1/(s-r)} = \left(\frac{x^s + y^s}{x^r + y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

where $A(x, y)$ is the arithmetic mean.

Exactly in the same way K.B. Stolarsky [6] defined extended mean values taking the logarithmic mean as the base:

$$(1.2) \quad E(r, s; x, y) = \left(\frac{L(x^s, y^s)}{L(x^r, y^r)} \right)^{1/(s-r)} = \left(\frac{r x^s - y^s}{s x^r - y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

The Gini means can be easily defined for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$:

$$(1.3) \quad G(r, s; \mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{A(x_1^s, \dots, x_n^s)}{A(x_1^r, \dots, x_n^r)} \right)^{1/(s-r)} = \left(\frac{x_1^s + \dots + x_n^s}{x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

Unfortunately, there is no such obvious generalisation of the logarithmic mean in n variables (for some possible definitions see [5, 4]). Stolarsky [6] defined E as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad E(r, s; \mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{(r-n+2)_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^s / Q'(x_k)}{(s-n+2)_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^r / Q'(x_k)} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

where $Q(x) = (x - x_1) \dots (x - x_n)$ and $(a)_b = \Gamma(a+b)/\Gamma(a)$.

Three classical means: arithmetic, geometric and harmonic, belong to both families:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{x}) &= G(0, 1; \mathbf{x}) = E(n-1, n; \mathbf{x}), \\ G(\mathbf{x}) &= G(0, 0; \mathbf{x}) = E(-1, n-1; \mathbf{x}), \\ H(\mathbf{x}) &= G(-1, 0; \mathbf{x}) = E(-1, -2; \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

D.H. Lehmer in [3] used the MacLaurin expansion of $E(r, 2r; 1+t, 1)$ and $G(u, u-1; 1+t, 1)$ to show the above means are the only common elements of the two families. H.W. Gould and M.E. Mays ([2]) obtained the same result for $E(r, s; x, y)$ and $G(u, u-1; x, y)$.

H. Alzer and S. Ruscheweyh applied the hypergeometric functions to solve the problem completely:

Date: April 1, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Extended mean values, Stolarsky means, Gini means, Heronian means, power means.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). *The only means which belong to both $G(u, v; \mathbf{x})$ and $E(r, s; \mathbf{x})$ are*

- (i) *the power means, if $n = 2$, and*
- (ii) *the arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means, if $n \geq 3$.*

In case $n = 2$ the Stolarsky means and the Gini means are members of the family of S-means defined as

$$(1.5) \quad S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) = \frac{E(r, s; x^{\alpha+1}; y^{\alpha+1})}{E(r, s; x^\alpha, y^\alpha)}.$$

One can easily verify that $E(r, s) = S(0; r, s)$ and $G(r, s) = S(1; r, s)$. S-means contain some other interesting two-parameter means:

Heronian

$$S(1/2; r, s; x, y) = \left(\frac{x^s + \sqrt{xy^s} + y^s}{x^r + \sqrt{xy^r} + y^r} \right)^{1/(s-r)},$$

and generalized Heronian

$$S(1/n; r, s; x, y) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n x^{\frac{n-i}{n}s} y^{\frac{i}{n}s}}{\sum_{i=0}^n x^{\frac{n-i}{n}r} y^{\frac{i}{n}r}} \right)^{1/(s-r)}$$

The goal of this note is to investigate the intersection of the families $E(r, s; x, y)$ and $S(\alpha; u, v; x, y)$.

2. LEMMAS

We start with some basic properties of E and S .

Lemma 2.1. *S is a mean, i.e. for every real α and $x < y$*

$$x \leq S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) \leq y.$$

Proof. $E(r, s; x, y)$ is increasing in all variables and homogeneous of degree 1 in x and y , so for fixed positive a, b we have

$$x = \frac{E(r, s; ax, bx)}{E(r, s; a, b)} \leq \frac{E(r, s; ax, by)}{E(r, s; a, b)} \leq \frac{E(r, s; ay, by)}{E(r, s; a, b)} = y.$$

Setting $a = x^\alpha, b = y^\alpha$ completes the proof. □

The property below follows immediately from the homogeneity of E ;

Property 2.2.

$$(2.1) \quad E(-r, -s; x, y) = \frac{xy}{E(r, s; x, y)},$$

$$(2.2) \quad S(\alpha; -r, -s; x, y) = \frac{xy}{S(\alpha; r, s; x, y)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *For $r + s \neq 0$*

$$(2.3) \quad E(-r, s; x, y) = (xy)^{\frac{r}{s+r}} E^{\frac{s-r}{s+r}}(r, s; x, y)$$

$$(2.4) \quad S(\alpha; -r, s; x, y) = (xy)^{\frac{r}{s+r}} S^{\frac{s-r}{s+r}}(\alpha; r, s; x, y)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} E(-r, s; x, y) &= \left(\frac{-r}{s} \frac{x^s - y^s}{x^{-r} - y^{-r}} \right)^{1/(s+r)} = (xy)^{\frac{r}{s+r}} \left(\frac{r x^s - y^s}{s x^r - y^r} \right)^{1/(s+r)} \\ &= (xy)^{\frac{r}{s+r}} E^{\frac{s-r}{s+r}}(r, s; x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(2.4) follows immediately from (2.3) and the definition of S . \square

By a straightforward computation we see that for $r, s > 0$

$$(2.5) \quad E(r, s; 0, 1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} E(r, s; x, 1) = \begin{cases} (r/s)^{1/(s-r)} & s \neq r \\ \exp(-1/s) & r = s \end{cases}$$

and if $\alpha > 0$

$$(2.6) \quad S(\alpha; r, s; 0, 1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} S(\alpha; r, s; x, 1) = 1.$$

The fact that for $r, s > 0$ $E(r, s; 0, 1) \neq 1$ will play the crucial role in the proof of the main theorem.

We write $f \approx g$ if $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x)/g(x) = 1$.

Two lemmas that follow describe behaviour of E and S for small values of x .

Lemma 2.4. *If $r + s \neq 0$ then there exist constants a, b , and $C > 0$ such that either*

$$E(r, s; x, 1) \approx Cx^a |\log x|^b \quad \text{with } b \neq 0$$

or

$$E(r, s; x, 1) \approx Cx^a \quad \text{with } C \neq 1$$

Proof. Consider five cases

$$r, s > 0: a = b = 0, C = E(r, s; 0, 1) \text{ by (2.5)}$$

$$r, s < 0: a = 1, b = 0, C = 1/E(r, s; 0, 1) \text{ by (2.1)}$$

$$r < 0 < s: a = \frac{r}{s+r}, b = 0, C = E^{\frac{s-r}{s+r}}(r, s; 0, 1). \text{ by (2.3)}$$

$$0 = r < s: E(0, s; x, 1) = \left(\frac{x^s - 1}{s \log x} \right)^{1/s}, \text{ hence } a = 0, b = -1/s, C = s^{-1/s}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r < s = 0: E(0, r; x, 1) &= \left(\frac{x^r - 1}{r \log x} \right)^{1/r} = \left(x^r \frac{1 - x^{-r}}{r \log x} \right)^{1/r}, \text{ hence } a = 1, b = -1/r, \\ C &= (-r)^{-1/r} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 2.5. *If $rs(r + s) \neq 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a constant d such that*

$$S(\alpha; r, s; x, 1) \approx x^d.$$

Proof.

$$d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r, s > 0 \text{ by (2.6)} \\ 1 & \text{if } r, s < 0 \text{ by (2.2)} \\ \frac{r}{s+r} & \text{if } r < 0 < s \text{ by (2.4)} \end{cases}.$$

\square

3. MAIN RESULT

We have already all the tools to prove the main result of this note

Theorem 3.1. *If $\alpha > 0$ then the intersection of the families of means*

$$S_0 = \{E(r, s; x, y) : r, s \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

and

$$S_\alpha = \{S(\alpha, u, v; x, y) : u, v \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

consists of the family of means

$$(3.1) \quad T_\alpha = \{E((\alpha + 1)t, \alpha t; x, y) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

Proof. Suppose that $E(r, s; x, y) \equiv S(\alpha, u, v; x, y)$. If $r + s = 0$ then the both sides are equal to the geometric mean, which is $E(0, 0; x, y)$ and clearly belongs to T_α . Suppose now that $r + s \neq 0$. If $(u + v)uv \neq 0$ then by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we would have $Cx^a|\log x|^b \approx x^d$ or $Cx^a \approx x^d$, but both are impossible (in the second case $C \neq 1$. Hence $u + v = 0$ which leads again to the geometric mean, or $uv = 0$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha, 0, v; x, y) &= \frac{E(0, v; x^{\alpha+1}, y^{\alpha+1})}{E(0, v; x^\alpha, y^\alpha)} \\ &= \left(\frac{x^{(\alpha+1)v} - y^{(\alpha+1)v}}{v(\log x^{\alpha+1} - \log y^{\alpha+1})} \right)^{1/v} / \left(\frac{x^{\alpha v} - y^{\alpha v}}{v(\log x^\alpha - \log y^\alpha)} \right)^{1/v} \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha v}{(\alpha + 1)v} \frac{x^{(\alpha+1)v} - y^{(\alpha+1)v}}{x^{\alpha v} - y^{\alpha v}} \right)^{1/((\alpha+1)v - \alpha v)} = E(\alpha v, (\alpha + 1)v; x, y) \end{aligned}$$

hence both means belong to T_α . This equation also shows, that every mean from T_α belongs to both S_0 and S_α . The proof is thus complete. \square

The following identity holds

$$S(\alpha; r, s; x, y) = (xy)^{-\alpha} S^{2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{-\alpha}{2\alpha+1}; (2\alpha + 1)r, (2\alpha + 1)s; x, y\right)$$

which shows that the Lemma 2.5 is valid for $-1/2 < \alpha < 0$. Moreover, because

$$S(-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)S(-\frac{1}{2} + \alpha) = S^2(-\frac{1}{2}) = xy$$

the Lemma 2.5 remains valid for $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1/2) \setminus \{-1\}$, which implies that the Theorem 3.1 holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{-1, -1/2, 0\}$.

To complete the analysis observe that $S_{-1} = S_0$ and $S_{-1/2} = \{\sqrt{xy}\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alzer, S. Ruscheweyh, *On the intersection of two-parameter mean value families*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (9) (2001), 2655–2662
- [2] H.W. Gould and M.E. Mays, *Series expansions of means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **101** (1984), 611–621. MR 86a:26025
- [3] D.H. Lehmer, *On the compounding of certain means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **36** (1971), 183–200. MR 43:7411
- [4] E. Neuman, *Stolarsky mean of several variables*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math., **6**(2) (2005), Article 30. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=499>].
- [5] A.O. Pittenger, *The logarithmic mean in n variables*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **92**(2) (1985), 99–104. MR 86h:26012
- [6] K.B. Stolarsky, *Generalizations of the logarithmic mean*, Math. Mag. **48** (1975), 87–92. MR 50:10186

MIELCZARSKIEGO 4/29, 85-796 BYDGOSZCZ, POLAND

E-mail address: alfred.witkowski@atosorigin.com